Exhibit B

```
Page 1
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN
CASE NO. 1500-cv-279123 LHB
Assigned to the Honorable Lorna H. Brumfield
Reservation No.: 4676
DEPOSITION OF BRIAN FLYNN, M.D. January 7, 2015
COLEEN M. PERRY,
Plaintiff,
VS.
HUNG T. LUU, M.D.; JOHNSON & JOHNSON, a New Jersey
corporation; ETHICON, INC., a New Jersey corporation;
and DOES 1-60,
Defendants.
APPEARANCES:
    WAGSTAFF & CARTMELL, LLP
         By Jeffrey M. Kuntz, Esq.
         4740 Grand Avenue
         Suite 300
         Kansas City, Missouri 64112
            Appearing telephonically on behalf of
            Plaintiff.
    BUTLER SNOW, LLP
         By Nils B. (Burt) Snell, Esq.
         500 Office Center Drive
         Suite 400
         Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034
     and
    BOWMAN AND BROOKE, LLP
         By Barry J. Koopmann, Esq.
         150 South Fifth Street
         Suite 3000
         Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
           Appearing on behalf of Defendants.
    Also present: Sean Keith, Esq.
```

Page 2 Pursuant to Notice and the California Rules 1 2 of Civil Procedure, the deposition of BRIAN FLYNN, M.D., 3 called by Plaintiff, was taken on Wednesday, January 7, 2015, commencing at 1:37 p.m., at 1801 California Street, Suite 5100, Denver, Colorado, before Dianna 5 L. Buckstein, Professional Shorthand Reporter and 6 7 Notary Public within and for the State of Colorado. 8 9 10 TNDEX 11 12 DEPOSITION OF BRIAN FLYNN 13 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 14 Mr. Kuntz 5, 266, 269 235, 268, 271 15 Mr. Snell 16 Mr. Koopmann 17 18 EXHIBITS INITIAL REFERENCE 19 20 Exhibit 1 Rule 26 Expert Report of Brian J. Flynn 2.0 Exhibit 2 Plaintiff's Second 42 21 Amended Notice of Oral and Videotaped Deposition 2.2 of Defendant Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon, Inc.'s Expert Brian J. Flynn, M.D. 23 Exhibit 3 Chart of billing that 24 44 totals \$10,200.00 25

			Page 3
1	EXHIBITS	INITIA	L REFERENCE
2	Exhibit 4	Article entitled Surgical	52
		management of lower	
3		urinary mesh perforation	
		after mid-urethral	
4		polypropylene mesh sling:	
		mesh excision, urinary tract	
5		reconstruction and concomitant	
		pubovaginal sling with	
6	- 1'1''	autologous rectus fascia	0.6
7	Exhibit 5	3	96
8		Agreement	
0	Evhihit 6	Black binder	96
9	DATIDIC 0	Didek Dinder	90
	Exhibit 7	Black binder labeled	96
10		Records: Dr. Luu and	
		Dr. Allen	
11			
	Exhibit 8	Orange folder of	96
12		documents	
13	Exhibit 9		96
		Dr. Allen Deposition	
14	- 1 '1 '. 10		0.6
1 -	Exhibit 10	Black binder labeled	96
15	Ewhihi+ 11	TVT-O Company Docs Black binder labeled	96
16	EXIIIDIC II	TVT-R RCTs	90
17		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
' '	Exhibit 12	Black binder labeled	96
18		TVT-R Materials	
19	Exhibit 13	Black binder labeled	96
		Ethicon Pelvic Mesh	
20		Litigation	
21		Black binder	96
22		Black binder	96
23	Exhibit 16	Black binder labeled	96
		SUI Medical Literature	
24	D. h ! h ! + 17		0.0
2 =	Exhibit 1/	Black binder labeled	96
25		TVT Company Docs	

			Page 4
1	EXHIBITS		INITIAL REFERENCE
2	Exhibit 18	Black binder labeled TVT-O RCTs	96
3			
	Exhibit 19	Deposition of Anju	96
4		Mathur, M.D.	
5	Exhibit 20	Deposition of Eric	96
		Rovner, M.D.	
6			
	Exhibit 21	CD labeled Ethicon	96
7		Pelvic Mesh Litigation	
8	Exhibit 22	CD labeled Gyne-mesh:	96
		Medical Literature;	
9		SUI	
10	Exhibit 23	CD labeled Mesh Materials	96
11	Exhibit 24	CD labeled Ethicon	96
		Gynecare Pelvic Mesh	
12		Litigation	
13	Exhibit 25	Silver thumb drive	96
14	Exhibit 26	Handwritten notes	96
15	Exhibit 27	Document entitled	96
		Flynn, Brian - Materials	
16		List.XLSX, Medical	
		Literature	
17			
	Exhibit 28	Black thumb drive	96
18			
	Exhibit 29	Document entitled	192
19		Anatomy	
20	Exhibit D1	Brian J. Flynn, MD,	236
		Summary of Opinions	
21			
	Exhibit D2	IME report by	237
22		Brian J. Flynn, M.D.	
23			
24			
25			

```
Page 5
                     PROCEEDINGS
 1
 2
                       BRIAN FLYNN, M.D.,
 3
     being first duly sworn in the above cause, was
     examined and testified as follows:
 4
 5
                          EXAMINATION
 6
     BY MR. KUNTZ:
 7
              Doctor, state your full name for the
         Q
     record, please.
 8
              I'm Dr. Brian Joseph Flynn, M.D.
 9
10
              And where do you currently work?
         0
11
         Α
              I work for the University of Colorado at
12
     Denver.
13
              And you understand we're here to talk today
14
     about the TVT Abbrevo as it related to Coleen Perry,
15
     correct?
16
         Α
              Correct.
17
              And you currently don't use the TVT
18
     Abbrevo, right?
19
         Α
              Incorrect.
2.0
              Okay. When did you start using the TVT
         Q
21
     Abbrevo after you stopped for a brief period of time?
22
              MR. SNELL: Objection to form.
23
              I don't remember ever stopping. I've used
24
     the TVT Abbrevo starting in 2011.
25
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And you use it currently?
```

```
Page 6
              It's one of the many procedures I perform
 1
         Α
 2
     for stress incontinence, yes.
 3
              How many did you put in in the year 2014?
         0
 4
         Α
              I don't recall an exact number.
 5
              Well, give me your best estimate.
         Q
 6
              Less than five.
         Α
 7
              Okay. How many did you put in in 2013?
         0
 8
              2013, probably around 10.
         Α
 9
              Okay. What about 2012?
         Q
              2012, at least 25.
10
         Α
11
              Okay. And 2011?
         0
12
         Α
              That was my most common procedure in '11.
13
     Probably around 50 or so.
14
              Why have you decreased your use of the TVT
15
     Abbrevo?
16
              I find the efficacy, meaning the success
17
     rate of the procedure, in terms of dry rates are
18
     higher with retropubic tapes in patients with
19
     intrinsic sphincter deficiency, which accounts for a
20
     large percentage of my patients.
21
              So you believe the TVT Retropubic product
22
     works better as far as efficacy and success rates?
23
              MR. SNELL:
                          Form.
                                  It misstates.
24
              Can you repeat the question?
         Α
25
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz)
                               Yeah. Tell the jury what
```

```
Page 7
     "efficacy" means, Doctor.
 1
 2
              Efficacy means the effectiveness -- the
 3
                 So it's a number that reports the good
     cure rate.
 4
     side of the outcome, how many people are dry by the
 5
     procedure.
 6
              And you use the TVT Retropubic device now
         0
 7
     because you believe the cure rate is better than for
     -- than the TVT Abbrevo?
 8
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. It misstates.
 9
10
              I believe that it has a higher success rate
11
     in terms of dry rates. So in a patient with
12
     intrinsic sphincter deficiency, which is a more
13
     severe type of stress urinary incontinence, which
14
     accounts for a large percentage of my patients,
15
     that's my preferred procedure.
16
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) How many TVT-Os did you
17
     implant in 2014?
18
         Α
              Meaning the TVT Obturator product?
19
         0
              Correct.
2.0
         Α
              Zero.
21
              Okay. How many TVT-Os did you implant in
         0
22
     2013?
23
              Zero.
         Α
24
              How many TVT-O products did you implant in
         0
25
     2012?
```

```
Page 8
 1
         Α
              Zero.
 2
              Is there a reason that you no longer use
 3
     the TVT-O, Obturator, product?
 4
              I prefer the TVT Abbrevo over the TVT
 5
     Obturator. So once I started using TVT Abbrevo, I
 6
     stopped using TVT Obturator.
 7
              And why do you prefer using the TVT Abbrevo
     over the Obturator?
 8
              The procedure is very similar, and I find
 9
10
     it to be easier to perform, less trauma to the
11
     tissue. You don't have to perforate the obturator
12
     membrane with the wing guide, and it leaves less mesh
13
     in either leg. Probably about 3 centimeters less
14
     mesh in each hemipelvis. So you leave about
15
     6 centimeters less mesh in the patient.
16
         Q
              Why is it important to have less mesh left
17
     in the patient after a mesh procedure?
18
              MR. SNELL:
                          Form.
19
              I think you want to have the optimal amount
20
     of mesh, just enough to cure the problem but not too
21
     much that you create other problems.
22
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What other problems do you
23
     create if there's too much mesh left in the patient?
24
              Well, there could be a number of issues.
25
     Primarily it occurs with prolapse kits, transvaginal
```

```
Page 9
     for instance, but if the mesh overlaps or the mesh is
 1
 2
     bunched, it won't incorporate into the patient, so it
 3
     won't become part of them.
 4
              Any other problems that can occur if too
 5
     much mesh is left in the patient after a mesh
 6
     procedure?
 7
              Well, you can have sequela from the mesh
         Α
 8
     not incorporating.
 9
              And why -- what are the reasons why mesh
10
     cannot incorporate?
                         What causes that?
11
              There's a variety of factors, but the one
         Α
12
     that I've seen primarily in my own practice is mesh
13
     overlapping, so if the mesh is not lying flat.
                                                      Ιf
14
     you have mesh lying on mesh, then there's no
15
     surrounding native tissue to grow into the pores.
16
         Q
              Before getting involved in this litigation
17
     as a consultant, did you know that Ethicon sold the
     TVT Obturator in both mechanical-cut mesh and
18
19
     laser-cut mesh?
2.0
              Yes. I've been using the Ethicon products
21
     since I started in practice, and I've used both
22
     products.
23
              And how did you know what product you were
24
     using was either laser-cut mesh or mechanical-cut
25
     mesh?
```

```
Page 10
              Well, a number of ways. First off, it's
 1
 2
     labeled. So if you look at the box, say, for classic
 3
     TVT, when they started offering classic TVT as
 4
     laser-cut, the last number would end in the letter L
 5
     to signify that it was laser-cut.
 6
              Additionally, when it got ordered, you were
     asked which product you'd want to order. So when you
 7
 8
     had your person in the OR doing the ordering, they
 9
     would ask you that.
10
              Also, you were detailed by the salesperson
11
     in your area, and then certainly when you have the
12
     mesh in your hand, you can look and feel some subtle
13
     differences.
14
              So it's your testimony that -- let me ask
15
     you this: Do you -- you believe that when you hold
16
     the mesh in your hand, you can tell a difference
17
     between the laser-cut mesh and the mechanical-cut
18
     mesh?
19
         Α
              I can.
20
              Okay. Do you believe -- have you ever
         Q
21
     talked to doctors who've told you that they could not
22
     find a difference in the mesh?
23
                          Foundation, form.
              MR. SNELL:
24
             (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this,
         0
25
     Doctor: Have you ever told Ethicon that if you
```

```
Page 11
     change the mesh, that doctors wouldn't know the
 1
 2
     difference?
 3
              I've never said a statement like that, no.
 4
              Okay. You understand you're under oath
         0
 5
     today, and you've never made that statement?
 6
     your testimony today?
 7
         Α
              Why don't you repeat the question so we're
 8
     clear.
 9
              Have you ever told Ethicon that if you
10
     changed the mesh, doctors would not know the
11
     difference?
12
              MR. SNELL: Form. Go ahead.
13
              I don't -- I don't believe I ever said that
14
     statement.
15
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. How many of the
16
     TVT-O meshes that you've placed in your career were
17
     laser-cut mesh versus mechanical-cut mesh?
18
              I would be approximating, but I used TVT-O
19
     from 2004 to the time that TVT Abbrevo came out,
20
     around 2010, 2011, and I believe laser-cut mesh was
21
     offered in and around 2007 or '8.
22
              So I would say for half of the time of
23
     that, you know, eight years -- seven or eight years
24
     that I was doing TVT-O, half of them were laser-cut,
25
     half were mechanically-cut.
```

```
Page 12
              Do you know that number for sure?
 1
         Q
 2
              I'm just going by years. So, you know,
 3
     some years I might have done more procedures than
 4
     other years, but if I'm just counting number of
 5
     years, then that would be my best approximation.
 6
              And it's your testimony that when you were
     ordering the laser-cut mesh -- strike that.
 7
 8
              It's your testimony that when you were
 9
     ordering TVT Obturator products, you could choose on
10
     the form whether you wanted laser-cut mesh or
11
     mechanical-cut mesh?
12
         Α
              I could direct the people who did the
13
     ordering to -- to order what I would like to have,
14
     yes.
15
              And what did you tell them that you would
     like to have when you were ordering your TVT
16
17
     Obturator products?
18
              I told them I would like to use the
19
     laser-cut mesh.
2.0
              So you prefer the laser-cut mesh over
         Q
     mechanical-cut mesh in the TVT Obturator product?
21
22
         Α
              Correct.
23
              And why do you prefer laser-cut mesh over
24
     mechanical-cut mesh?
25
         Α
              There's a --
```

```
Page 13
                          Form. Go ahead.
 1
              MR. SNELL:
 2
              There's a number of reasons, but primarily
 3
     I like the tissue-handling -- I should say the mesh-
 4
     handling characteristics better. It -- it's easier
 5
     to deploy, and the ends are nontanged, which means
 6
     that they're sealed so there's less fraying at the
 7
     edges.
 8
             (By Mr. Kuntz) Anything else?
         0
              I believe that it maintains its form or
 9
     integrity better, so there's less deformation of the
10
11
     laser-cut mesh.
12
         0
              Anything else?
13
              I think it's a little bit easier to see.
14
     think the color blue is a little bit brighter for
15
     whatever reason on the laser-cut mesh.
16
         Q
             Okay. Anything else?
17
              There may be something else I'm leaving
18
     out, but those are the things that come to me
19
     immediately.
2.0
              You have said that your detail person --
         0
21
     which is -- for the jury's standpoint means sales
22
     rep, correct?
23
              That's correct.
24
              Okay. You said your detail person at
25
     Ethicon had told you or informed you about Ethicon's
```

```
Page 14
     decision to sell laser-cut mesh and mechanical-cut
 1
 2
            Is that your testimony?
 3
              MR. SNELL: Form. Misstates the prior
 4
     testimony.
 5
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, let me ask you this:
 6
     You said you learned about laser-cut mesh from your
 7
     detail person. Isn't that what you testified to,
 8
     Doctor?
 9
              MR. SNELL: Same objection, form.
                                                  Ιt
10
     misstated the testimony.
11
              He was one of many people. There's other
         Α
12
     people that informed me.
13
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Who were the other
14
     people that informed you?
15
              People within the company.
         Α
16
         Q
              And who were those people?
17
              People in professional education, my local
18
     sales person's manager and his manager.
19
         0
              Who are the people within the company that
20
     informed you? Give me a name.
21
              Well, the sales person -- I'm guessing --
         Α
22
     around 2007 or '8 would have been John Fernandez or
23
     Laura Hutto. And then their manager was Marcus
24
     Olderler. Scott Jones is another person I know.
25
         Q
              Who's Scott Jones?
```

```
Page 15
              Scott Jones was also involved in
 1
 2
     professional education.
 3
              Was Scott Jones a national sales rep or
         0
 4
     national sales leader for Ethicon?
              I've known Scott in a lot of different
 5
     capacities. He initially -- I knew him first when he
 6
 7
     was the sales rep in Denver in and around 2004, and
     then he became a regional manager, and then I believe
 8
     he moved into professional education.
 9
10
              I mean, you'd agree he's a higher-up at
11
     Ethicon now or was?
12
              MR. SNELL: Form, vague. Go ahead.
13
              Can you explain to me what you mean by
     "higher-up."
14
15
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, I mean, he's in upper
     management at Ethicon, correct?
16
17
              He -- he did, you know, advance to those
     positions. I don't know what his position is now,
18
19
     but at least the last time I had contact with him, he
20
     was in upper management.
21
              Okay. Do you suspect -- or strike that.
         0
              Do you expect your detail person or sales
22
23
     rep, as a practicing physician using Ethicon's
24
     products, to inform you about things like difference
25
     in the cuts of the mesh?
```

```
Page 16
              MR. SNELL: Form, vaque. Go ahead.
 1
 2
              I expect them to be familiar with the
 3
     products that they're offering to me.
 4
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you know if Dr. Luu, in
 5
     this case, was told -- ever told about a difference
 6
    between laser-cut mesh and mechanical-cut mesh?
 7
              I know that he went to a cadaver lab to
 8
     learn about the procedure. I can't say definitively
 9
     whether or not that was brought up with him, but if
10
     he was paying attention at the lab, I'm sure he would
11
     have became aware of it.
12
              Do you know what lab he went to?
13
              I don't know the exact lab, but I know that
14
     he did go to a cadaver lab. That's pretty standard
15
     when people start doing new procedures, if they're
     not familiar with them.
16
17
              But as you sit here today, you don't know
18
     whether he knew the difference between laser-cut mesh
     and mechanical-cut mesh, correct?
19
2.0
              MR. SNELL: Form and foundation as to
     "difference." Go ahead.
21
22
              I reviewed his deposition, and I can't say
23
     either way. I don't remember him being asked that
24
     question.
25
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you read the sales
```

```
Page 17
     rep's deposition in this case?
 1
 2
              I don't know who the sales rep is.
 3
     I don't believe I've read their deposition.
 4
         0
              So that was a deposition that was not
 5
     provided to you by Ethicon's attorneys, correct?
 6
              You might have to say the name, or I can
     tell you the depositions. I'll just give you a list
 7
     of the ones that were provided to me.
 8
              Okay. Go ahead and give me a list.
 9
10
              This is just off the top of my head.
11
     don't have it in front of me, but it is on the thumb
12
     drive.
13
              I've reviewed the depositions of Coleen
14
     Perry; her husband, Mr. Perry. I've reviewed the
15
     deposition of Dr. Margolis, Dr. Rosenzweig, Dr. Helm,
16
     Dr. Allen, Dr. Luu, I believe Dr. Singh, Dr. Marthur.
17
     I've reviewed Dr. Guelcher -- I believe he's a
18
     physician -- Guelcher -- at Vanderbilt University --
19
     Doug Grier -- Dr. Doug Grier.
2.0
              There may be a few others that I'm leaving
21
     out, but those are the ones that immediately come to
22
     mind.
23
              Have you read any depositions of any of the
24
     Ethicon corporate employees?
25
              I don't believe so.
         Α
```

```
Page 18
              Okay. Do you know if you were provided
 1
         0
 2
     with any depositions of any of the corporate
 3
     employees from Ethicon?
 4
              Not in this case.
 5
                     What case have you reviewed those
 6
     depositions?
 7
         Α
              I was involved in the Lewis case, and I
 8
     would have to go back and look at my notes, but I
 9
     believe I may have reviewed a deposition from the
10
     Lewis case, but I'm not certain.
11
                     You can agree with me that none of
              Okay.
         0
12
     the depositions -- well, strike that.
13
              None of the corporate Ethicon depositions
14
     formed the basis for any of your opinions in this
15
     case, correct?
              That's correct.
16
17
              In speaking of the Lewis case, you -- have
18
     you reviewed your report that you submitted in
19
     federal case for the Lewis case recently?
2.0
              I have, and I have it in front of me here.
         Α
21
              Okay. And you still stand by every
         0
22
     statement you make in that report, correct?
23
              I would have to go through each individual
24
     statement, but I'm very comfortable with that
25
     document.
```

```
Page 19
              Okay. Well, you reviewed it recently to
 1
 2
     prepare for this deposition, didn't you?
 3
              I did. I read it this morning and last
         Α
 4
     evening.
 5
              Okay. And there was nothing that stood out
 6
     that you wanted to correct or change or felt was
 7
     inappropriate, correct?
 8
              MR. SNELL: Form, compound. Go ahead.
 9
              I would like to have a minute just to look
10
     at that real quick before I make the answer to that
11
     question. So I'm opening up my folder here.
12
              MR. SNELL: You can take your time to look
13
     at it, if you need to look at it. It's not a memory
14
     test.
15
              Can we go off the record? I've just got to
16
     go use the restroom while he's reading or looking at
17
     this report.
18
              MR. KEITH: We're going to go off the
19
     record, Jeff.
2.0
                          That's fine.
              MR. KUNTZ:
21
              (Recess from 1:58 p.m. to 1:59 p.m.)
22
              MR. KUNTZ: Let's go ahead and mark -- mark
23
     the Carolyn Lewis report as Exhibit No. 1.
24
              MR. KEITH:
                          There's another exhibit sticker
25
     on it. Do you want me to go over the top of it or to
```

```
Page 20
     the side of it? Does anybody have any suggestions?
 1
 2
              MR. KUNTZ: You can go over it. It doesn't
 3
     matter.
 4
              MR. SNELL: Yeah, I don't care. Just put
 5
     it over it.
 6
              (Exhibit 1 was marked.)
 7
              MR. KEITH: Okay. It's been marked as
     Exhibit 1.
 8
 9
              MR. KUNTZ: We're back on the record,
10
     right?
11
              MR. KEITH: That's correct.
12
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Dr. Flynn, you have
         Q
13
     reviewed what has been marked Exhibit 1, which is
14
     your Rule 26 Expert Report in the Carolyn Lewis case,
15
     and you've had a chance to review that, correct?
16
         Α
              Correct.
17
              Okay. And is there any -- do you stand by
18
     all the statements that have been made in this
19
     report?
2.0
         Α
              No.
21
              Okay. What would you like to change about
22
     this report?
23
              On Page 3, Section B, like in boy, the very
     last sentence, it says, "Currently I use TVT Exact
24
     and TVT Obturator for the treatment of stress
25
```

```
Page 21
     incontinence." That statement is not correct today.
 1
 2
     It wasn't correct then.
              Okay. And I take it you reviewed that
 3
         0
 4
     report several times before putting your signature at
 5
     the back of it and filing it in federal court,
 6
     correct?
 7
         Α
              Correct.
              MR. SNELL: Form and foundation, "filed."
 8
 9
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And tell me now what you
10
     want to change about that statement.
11
              It should say, "Currently I use the TVT
         Α
12
     Exact and TVT Abbrevo for treatment of stress
13
     incontinence."
14
              Okay. Did you have help writing this
15
     report in the Carolyn Lewis case?
16
              MR. SNELL: Form. Don't answer that.
17
     Jeff, we have an agreement we don't ask those
18
     questions in the federal court. You know that.
19
              I'm going to instruct the witness -- I'm
20
     not going to let him answer that. I don't ask --
              (All speaking simultaneously.)
21
22
              MR. SNELL: I don't ask Margolis and your
23
     experts about --
24
              MR. KUNTZ: Hey, Burt, calm down. It was a
    mistake. I agree.
25
```

```
Page 22
 1
              MR. SNELL: Okay.
 2
              THE DEPONENT: I'm not going to answer that
 3
     question.
 4
              MR. KUNTZ: I withdrew the question.
 5
              MR. SNELL: He withdrew it.
 6
              THE DEPONENT: All right.
 7
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So at the time of that
         0
 8
     report, you used the Exact and the TVT Abbrevo; you
 9
     did not use the TVT Retropubic or the TVT Obturator?
10
              The word "Retropubic" is confusing, but TVT
11
     Exact is a retropubic. You may hear me today use
12
     those words interchangeably, but TVT Classic and TVT
13
     Exact are both retropubic tapes.
14
              And I understand that, Doctor. Which one
15
     of them do you use today?
16
              I see. I use the TVT Exact.
17
              Okay. When is the last time you used the
         Q
18
     TVT Retropubic?
19
         Α
              Well, again, the TVT Exact is a retropubic.
20
     Are you referring to TVT Classic?
21
         Q
              Right. Let me clarify this.
              There's no such thing as the TVT Classic,
22
23
     Doctor.
              There's a TVT Retropubic; there's the TVT
24
     Exact; there's a TVT Obturator and the TVT Abbrevo.
25
              I know people have put the term "Classic"
```

```
Page 23
     on the Retropubic, but I guess we can use
 1
 2
     "Retropubic" and "Classic" interchangeably.
 3
              And my question is: When did you stop
 4
     using the TVT Retropubic or Classic?
 5
              That would be when I started using the TVT
 6
             So I was probably the first person in
 7
     Colorado to use the TVT Exact product.
              I believe that was sometime around 2011 or
 8
 9
            I don't remember the exact year, but when I
10
     started using Exact, I stopped using Retropubic.
11
              Okay. In your Lewis report, you also state
         0
12
     up until 2012, that you performed 900 stress urinary
13
     incontinence procedures.
14
              Do you see that in the report?
15
              I do.
         Α
16
              And it also states that you used -- you
         Q
17
     performed 535 TVT procedures between 2006 and 2012.
18
     Do you see that?
19
         Α
              Yes.
2.0
              So what are the other 365 procedures?
         Q
21
              You want me to name all of them?
         Α
22
         Q
              Yes.
23
              Okay. So I'll use autologous rectus fascia
24
     pubovaginal sling. Allograft pubovaginal sling, so
     that's Procedure No. 2.
25
```

```
Page 24
              I use -- artificial urinary sphincter would
 1
 2
     be No. 3. I also use transurethral bulking agents.
 3
     Specifically Macroplastique is a product I use. And
 4
     Burch colposuspension. Very few cases, but I have
 5
     done that. That would be included in that other
 6
     number.
 7
              And when I speak to TVT procedures, that's
     -- probably 500 out of the 535 are the Ethicon
 8
 9
     product. There's probably part of that 535 that was
10
     from some of the other manufacturers.
11
              Okay. And what other manufacturers'
         0
12
     products do you use or have you used?
13
         Α
              American Medical Systems, Boston --
14
             Which products?
         0
15
             From American Medical Systems --
         Α
16
         Q
              Yes.
17
              -- I have used Sparc, Monarc, RetroArc, and
18
    MiniArc with -- are you speaking specifically to
     incontinence?
19
2.0
              Yeah. Let's keep it at that.
         Q
21
         Α
              Yes.
22
              Have you used any Bard products?
         Q
23
              I don't believe I've ever used any Bard
         Α
24
    products.
25
         Q
              Have you ever used any Boston Scientific
```

```
Page 25
     products?
 1
 2
         Α
              I have, and I still do.
 3
              Which one?
         0
 4
         Α
              I use the Boston Scientific Advantage Fit.
 5
         Q
              Anything else --
              No --
 6
         Α
 7
              -- I --
         0
              -- not for --
 8
         Α
              (All speaking simultaneously, and reporter
 9
10
              requested clarification.)
11
              MR. KEITH: Say that again, Jeff.
12
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Anything else for SUI
         0
13
     treatments?
14
              Nothing else for SUI treatments from Boston
     Scientific.
15
16
              Is the MS Monarc product laser cut, Doctor?
17
              I don't know the answer to that. I've used
18
     the American Medical Systems' products sparingly, so
19
     I'm not as familiar with those products.
2.0
              Is the MiniArc -- do you know if any of the
         Q
21
     products, the Sparc, Monarc, or MiniArc, are
22
     laser-cut mesh?
23
              Just looking at them, I don't believe they
24
     are, but I don't know those products as well as I
25
     know the Ethicon products.
```

```
Page 26
              Have you ever consulted for AMS?
 1
         Q
 2
              I have.
         Α
 3
              Okay. What years did you consult for them?
         0
 4
         Α
              From around 2004 to 2010.
              You never consulted with them after 2010?
 5
         0
 6
              For female urology products?
         Α
 7
         Q
              Correct.
              Not for female urology. I never really
 8
     consulted for female urology. I was more of a
 9
10
     consultant on the male side.
11
              Did you ever receive payments or
12
     reimbursements or any type of money from AMS after
13
     2010?
14
              I would have to go back and look at my
15
     records, but I think two thousand -- either '10 or
16
     2011 -- one of those two years was when I ended my
17
     relationship with them.
18
              Do you keep records on your relationships
     -- your consulting relationships with these different
19
2.0
     manufacturers?
21
              I keep some records, you know, for recent
22
     years for tax purposes, but I don't -- I don't keep
23
     all of my records. No. I hang on to important
24
     documents that I give to my accountant, recent
25
     documents.
```

```
Page 27
              Okay. But you do keep some of the
 1
         0
     documents?
 2
 3
              I do keep some of the documents, yes.
 4
         0
              And you keep those on your computer or in a
     hard file?
 5
 6
              Either/or.
         Α
 7
              Okay. Did you bring any of those documents
         Q
 8
     with you today?
 9
              I was able to find one contract, but that
10
     wasn't a contract with American Medical Systems.
11
     Most contracts I've received came in paper form, not
12
     electronic form.
13
              Okay. Do you keep those paper documents?
14
              I went through everything in the last few
15
     days, and what I've brought in to today's deposition,
16
     I could only find one contract, and I do have that
17
     with me today.
18
              Did you find any contracts that you have
     with Ethicon?
19
2.0
                    That's the contract I'm speaking of.
21
     I couldn't locate anything from AMS or any of the
22
     other venders.
23
              Okay. And we'll get into that in a little
         0
     bit.
24
25
              Do you keep other documents on your
```

```
Page 28
     computer?
 1
 2
              Can you be more specific?
 3
              Well, do you -- you obviously keep all the
         Q
 4
     e-mails that you have between Ethicon and Johnson &
 5
     Johnson and your role as a consultant with them,
 6
     correct?
 7
              MR. SNELL: Foundation, form.
                                              Ιt
 8
     misstates.
 9
              I keep very little in e-mail. You know, I
     respond to an e-mail, but I don't -- I don't archive
10
11
     e-mails.
12
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. So you don't keep a
13
     folder of any e-mails of -- you don't have a folder
14
     that says "Ethicon Consulting," and you don't keep
15
     any of those e-mails anywhere?
16
         Α
              I have a folder -- a folder, and I clear
17
     out my folders periodically. And I have brought all
18
     e-mails that I have from Ethicon, and that is on the
         It's in a folder listed "e-mails," and there's
19
2.0
     about 20 e-mails in that folder.
              How far back do those e-mails go? To what
21
         0
22
     year?
            Is that just for the last year?
23
              It goes back to around 2012 or so.
         Α
24
         Q
              Okay.
25
         Α
              That's an approximate date. It's about
```

```
Page 29
     two, maybe three years of e-mails.
 1
 2
              Do you have a consultant agreement with
 3
     Ethicon in 2012?
 4
              I don't believe so.
 5
              Did you have a consultant agreement with
     Ethicon in 2013?
 6
 7
         Α
              No.
 8
              Do you currently have a consulting
     agreement with them?
 9
10
         Α
              I do not.
11
              Do you have a current -- do you currently
         0
12
     have a consulting agreement with any mesh
13
     manufacturer?
14
              I do not.
15
              Why is that? I mean, did you stop -- why
16
     did you stop deciding to consult with mesh
17
     manufacturers?
18
              MR. SNELL: Form and foundation.
     misstates. Go ahead.
19
2.0
              It was a mutual decision on both parties.
     There just wasn't enough activity for it to really be
21
22
     something that was interesting to me at that point.
23
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And --
24
              So Ethicon, American Medical Systems,
25
     others, their prof ed events had decreased
```

```
Page 30
     significantly to the point that it really wasn't
 1
 2
     anything that I was interested in doing anymore.
 3
              Do you -- did you ever ask Ethicon why they
         0
 4
     withdrew you as an expert in the Carolyn Lewis case?
 5
         Α
              I did.
 6
         0
              Okay. And what were you told?
 7
              MR. SNELL: I'm going to object. He's not
     allowed to answer that, Jeff. We're not going to --
 8
 9
                          I don't --
              MR. KUNTZ:
10
              MR. SNELL: Again, Jeff, you're doing stuff
11
     that we agree we don't do. We don't talk about
12
     communications. I'm frankly, you know, shocked that
13
     you're asking this.
14
              MR. KUNTZ: Oh, Burt. Give it up.
15
              MR. SNELL: Well, I'm going to instruct him
16
     not to answer. You know I'd never ask your people of
17
           People would have -- they would have a --
     that.
18
              MR. KUNTZ: -- never withdrew --
19
              MR. SNELL: -- heart attack.
20
              MR. KUNTZ: -- any of them.
21
              MR. SNELL:
                          Yes, you have.
22
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          So --
23
              MR. SNELL: Yes, you have.
              MR. KUNTZ: -- feel free to ask.
24
25
              (All speaking simultaneously.)
```

```
Page 31
              (By Mr. Kuntz) When were you first trained
 1
         Q
 2
     on the TVT-O procedure by Ethicon?
 3
              When or where?
         Α
 4
         Q
              When.
                     When I started using the products.
 5
     So I started using the product, you know, early in my
 6
 7
     practice, 2004 -- in and around 2004.
              And you became a preceptor for them in two
 8
         0
     thousand and -- December of 2004?
 9
10
              I don't know the exact date, but somewhere
11
     in and around 2004.
12
              And you've authored instructional videos
         Q
13
     for Ethicon for the Abbrevo, the Secur, and the
14
     Prolift, correct?
15
              Incorrect.
         Α
16
              Okay. What products have you authored
     instructional videos for?
17
18
              On behalf of Ethicon, just Abbrevo. I do
19
     have videos on the other products, but they were for
2.0
     scientific meetings, not for Ethicon.
21
              So did you prepare instructional videos for
         0
22
     scientific meetings for Secur and Prolift?
23
              Yes.
24
              And what meetings did you prepare those
         Q
25
     for?
```

Page 32 They were presented at a variety of 1 Α 2 meetings. 3 Well, which ones? 0 4 Α American Urologic Association, the south 5 central section of the American Urologic Association, I would have to go back and look at my CV, but I know 6 at least at those two meetings. 7 And you understand that the Secur and the 8 0 9 Prolift are off the market? 10 I understand that those products are no 11 longer being offered. 12 Okay. What is your understanding as to why Q 13 those products are no longer being offered? 14 I believe it was a business decision that 15 Ethicon made. They weren't popular products. 16 Did you ever ask or have any discussions 17 with Ethicon why they decided to guit selling those 18 products? 19 You'd have to separate the two products. 2.0 Okay. Did you ever have discussions with Q 21 Ethicon why they stopped selling the TVT Secur? 22 Α Yes. 23 What were those conversations? 24 That the product just was not a popular 25 product amongst their providers, and so they were

```
Page 33
     going to discontinue it.
 1
 2
              Anything else a part of those
 3
     conversations?
 4
              I believe that the FDA had mandated 522
     studies on mini slings, and TVT Secur was included in
 5
     that, and that that wasn't something they were going
 6
 7
     to pursue.
 8
              Do you know what a 522 order is?
              I do.
 9
              Okay. Did you review the 522 order related
10
11
     to the TVT Secur issued by the FDA?
12
              It wasn't sent to me. I've never seen the
         Α
13
     document. I understand 522 concept in general, but
14
15
              Okay. What's your -- what's -- did you
16
     ever discuss the 522 concept with Ethicon?
17
         Α
              No.
18
              Did they ever send you information related
     to the 522 orders?
19
2.0
         Α
              No.
21
              What is your understanding as to what a 522
22
     order is?
23
              522 study is a rigorous scientific study of
24
     a product. It's something that the federal
     government mandates certain devices in certain
25
```

```
Page 34
     categories. So you have different categories of
 1
 2
     devices, and if a device is in, say, Category B, then
 3
     they need 522s. If it's in the -- another category
 4
     may be a 510(k) study or process.
 5
              Did you ever review Ethicon's response to
     the 522 order?
 6
 7
              I don't believe so.
         Α
 8
              MR. SNELL: Form -- hold on. Form, vague
 9
     as to the product you're referencing, Jeff.
10
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you ever reviewed
11
     Ethicon's response on the TVT Secur to the 522 order
12
     issued by the FDA?
13
         Α
              No.
14
              Have you ever seen any letters or responses
15
     between Ethicon and the FDA related to the 522 orders
16
     on the TVT Secur?
17
              No.
         Α
18
              Did you ever ask Ethicon to see anything
     related to the 522 orders on the TVT Secur and their
19
20
     response?
21
              No.
         Α
22
              Do you know what studies that Ethicon
23
     proposed or submitted in response to the 522 order on
24
     the TVT Secur to the FDA?
25
         Α
              I don't know, no.
```

```
Page 35
              Do you have any idea what the FDA told
 1
 2
     Ethicon about the adequacy of the studies they
 3
     submitted in response to the 522 order for the TVT
 4
     Secur?
              MR. SNELL: Foundation. Go ahead.
 5
 6
         Α
              No.
 7
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Same questions on the
         Q
     Prolift. Did you ever review the 522 order issued to
 8
     Ethicon on the Prolift?
 9
10
         Α
              No.
11
              Did you ever ask or did Ethicon ever show
         0
12
     you any of their responses to the 522 orders on the
13
     Prolift?
14
         Α
              No.
15
              Did you ever ask to see or do you know of
16
     any of the studies that Ethicon submitted to the FDA
17
     in an attempt to satisfy the 522 order on the
18
     Prolift?
19
         Α
              No.
2.0
              How many TVT Securs did you implant before
         Q
21
     they went off the market?
22
         Α
              More than 100.
23
              When's the last time you used the TVT
24
     Secur, if you know?
25
         Α
              Probably in and around 2010 or 2011.
```

```
Page 36
              How did you know that the TVT Abbrevo uses
 1
 2
     laser-cut mesh?
 3
              A number of ways. It's labeled on the box
         Α
 4
     with the letter L, like I mentioned earlier.
 5
     Information from the sales rep and from the people in
     professional education, from attendance at cadaver
 6
 7
     labs in being faculty in those events, from
     instructing other physicians on TVT Abbrevo, I was
 8
     very familiar with the product.
 9
10
              Is it your testimony that the TVT Abbrevo
11
     is marked with an L on the product ID sticker?
12
              I would have to look at that. I know it is
         Α
13
     for the Retropubic and the Exact, I think, because
14
     the products were being offered in both forms.
15
              Okay.
         0
16
              I want to take that back. I don't know for
17
            I'm speaking more to the Retropubic
18
     procedures. So I don't know with 100 percent
     confidence if the Abbrevo has the L on the box.
19
2.0
              So if the L is not on the box for TVT
         0
21
     Abbrevo, a doctor, looking at that box before it
22
     performed a TVT Abbrevo procedure, would not
23
     necessarily know that it was laser-cut mesh?
24
              MR. SNELL: Objection, lacks foundation --
25
              I would --
         Α
```

```
Page 37
              MR. SNELL: -- improper hypothetically. Go
 1
 2
     ahead.
 3
              I would disagree with that.
         Α
 4
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And why?
              Well, like I mentioned earlier, there's
 5
     many ways of communicating information. The letter L
 6
 7
     on the box was just one of many ways. Other ways
     would be through the sales person, through attendance
 8
     at professional educational events, from reading the
 9
10
     IFU.
11
              So a physician has a responsibility to
     obtain as much information as he or she can on a
12
13
     product before using it.
14
              Dr. Flynn, does the TVT Abbrevo IFU state
15
     that it's laser-cut mesh?
16
              I would have to have the IFU in front of
17
     me.
18
              So as you sit here -- let me ask you this:
19
     Did you review the IFU in preparation for this
2.0
     deposition today?
21
              I did.
         Α
22
              Okay. And you teach Abbrevo preceptor or
         0
23
     cadaver lab courses?
24
              I did.
         Α
25
         0
              But you don't know, as you sit here right
```

```
Page 38
     now, one way or another, whether the TVT Abbrevo IFU
 1
 2
     states that the mesh is laser cut, correct?
 3
              Correct.
         Α
 4
              Okay. And you don't know if the box that
 5
     the TVT Abbrevo comes in states that it's laser-cut
 6
     mesh?
 7
              That's correct.
         Α
              And you can't tell me that every cadaver
 8
         Q
 9
     lab or preceptorship or meeting -- that physicians
10
     are told that the Abbrevo was laser cut as you sit
11
     here today?
12
              I can tell you the ones that I attended and
     the ones that I instructed and lectured on, certainly
13
14
     they were told, but I can't speak of labs that I
     didn't attend.
15
16
              And you never attended, to your knowledge,
17
     any classes that Dr. Luu was present at with regard
18
     to either the TVT Abbrevo -- with the TVT Abbrevo?
19
         Α
              I've never met Dr. Luu in any capacity, so
2.0
     no.
21
              Ever talk to Dr. Luu?
         0
22
         Α
              No.
              Ever talk to Dr. Allen, who's involved in
23
         Q
24
     this case?
25
         Α
              No.
```

```
Page 39
              Ever talk to Dr. Grier about his expert
 1
         Q
 2
     opinions in this case?
 3
              I spoke to Dr. Grier last night.
         Α
 4
         0
              Okay. What did you guys talk about?
 5
         Α
              He called me to wish me good luck.
 6
              Okay. Do you talk to him often?
         0
 7
         Α
              A few times a year, three or four times a
 8
     year.
 9
              Did you talk -- did you ever talk to
10
     Dr. Grier about the IME you performed on Mrs. Perry?
11
         Α
              No.
12
              Did you work on -- you provided a Summary
13
     of Opinions sheet in this case. You understand that
14
     that lists 25 or 26 opinions?
15
              Yes, I have that document in front of me.
         Α
16
         Q
             Did you prepare that yourself?
17
              You would have to be more specific.
         Α
18
              Did you prepare the Summary of Opinions
19
     sheet by yourself? Did you write those opinions
20
     yourself, or did you receive help from counsel?
21
              MR. SNELL: I'm going to object and
22
     instruct not to answer. I don't think we're doing
23
     that here again, Jeff.
24
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Did you -- did you
         Q
25
     prepare your report with Dr. Grier, your Summary of
```

```
Page 40
     Opinions report?
 1
 2
                   I had seen Dr. Grier's Summary of
 3
     Opinions report before I prepared mine, so I was
 4
     aware of his, but we didn't directly communicate
 5
     about it.
 6
              Okay. When did you prepare your Summary of
         0
 7
     Opinions report?
 8
              Yesterday. I had been working on it, you
         Α
 9
     know, for months, but it was completed yesterday.
10
     I've been working on this probably for six months.
11
              You've been working on preparing for this
         0
12
     deposition for six months?
13
              On and off I've been receiving documents,
14
     and I've had communication with Burt Snell over the
15
     last six months.
16
              How many times have you met with Mr. Snell?
         Q
17
              Face-to-face?
         Α
18
         0
              Yes.
              At least four or five times.
19
         Α
2.0
              How many times have you talked on the phone
         Q
21
     with Mr. Snell?
22
         Α
              Not including like e-mail or text?
23
              Yes.
         0
24
              Probably at least 20 times.
         Α
25
              Have you billed every time for those calls
         Q
```

```
Page 41
     and meetings?
 1
 2
              Not for phone calls -- not for most phone
 3
     calls.
             If it was just a phone call to organize a
 4
     meeting or to try to connect or find out when we'd be
 5
     meeting, you know, I would not. If they were
 6
     scheduled -- you know, teleconferences at a scheduled
     time and date, yes, I did.
 7
 8
              And we'll get into your billing in a little
         Q
 9
     bit.
10
              Did you meet with any other lawyers that
11
     are on the defense team for Mrs. Perry's case to
12
     prepare for this deposition in the last six months?
13
         Α
              Yes.
14
              Who else did you meet with?
         0
15
              Barry Koopmann.
         Α
16
         Q
              How many times?
17
              Probably similar to Mr. Snell, about the
     same number of phone calls and face-to-face
18
19
     encounters.
2.0
              Were those -- was Mr. Snell at these, or
         0
21
     these are separate meetings and phone calls?
              The phone calls were sometimes together,
22
         Α
23
     sometimes separate. The face-to-face meetings, I
     believe all of them were at the same time.
24
25
         0
              Okay.
```

```
Page 42
              So five meetings or so with Burt Snell and
 1
 2
     Barry Koopmann. Phone calls -- you know,
 3
     organizational phone calls were separate. Scheduled
 4
     teleconferences were usually -- at least for a
 5
     percentage of the phone call -- at the same time.
 6
              MR. KUNTZ: I'm going to go ahead and mark
 7
     as Exhibit 2 the depo notice. Sean, do you have
 8
     t.hat.?
 9
              MR. KEITH:
                          I do.
10
              (Exhibit 2 was marked.)
11
              MR. KEITH: All right. That's been given
12
     to the witness and counsel.
13
              MR. KUNTZ: Okay. It's marked as
14
     Deposition Exhibit No. 2, I believe.
15
              MR. KEITH:
                          That's correct.
16
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, did you review this
         Q
17
     before today?
18
         Α
              I have.
19
         0
              When's the first time you looked at it?
2.0
              The date I received it.
         Α
21
              Have you gone through and reviewed the
         0
22
     requests in Exhibit A and tried to comply with
23
     Exhibit A?
24
              To the best of my ability, yes.
         Α
25
         Q
              Okay. And have you -- obviously, on No. 1,
```

Page 43 you provided or brought with you an up-to-date CV? 1 2 I do. Do you want me to submit that? 3 No. It's fine. 0 4 Α Okay. 5 On Request No. 2 -- have you brought all 6 the documents in your possession, including CDs, DVDs, and flash drives, and USB drives? It sounds 7 8 like you have. 9 I have CDs. I have DVDs. I have USBs. 10 do not have any photographs. I don't have any kind of data bank. 11 12 Expected testimony, I have my Summary of 13 Opinions. I have my opinions from the Lewis case. 14 So I believe I complied with No. 2. 15 No. 3, it's the same documents that you've 16 brought to the deposition? 17 Yes, I have that. Some in paper form; some 18 in electronic form. There is some overlap between 19 the paper and the electronic. In efforts to be as 20 complete as possible, I just brought both. 21 Okay. We talked about depositions. 0 I'm 22 going to jump ahead. 23 On Request No. 8, have you brought 24 documents that comply with that request? 25 Α I have two time sheets. I have an attached

```
Page 44
     invoice on one of them. Time sheets and time records
 1
 2
     would be the same to me. Billing records -- my only
 3
     billing record is the invoice. So that's the same
 4
     document.
              And how much -- strike that.
 5
         0
 6
              What is the bill that you've submitted to
 7
     date that you brought with you?
              Would you like me to go ahead and submit
 8
         Α
 9
     that?
10
              Yeah. Let's go ahead and mark that as
     Exhibit No. 3.
11
12
              MR. SNELL: And I'm going to note for the
13
     record that the witness did comply as requested. And
14
     I'm still waiting -- the bills and time sheets from
15
     your experts, Jeff. So I will make that record now.
16
              MR. KEITH:
                          This is a two-page -- two
17
     pages, Jeff. I'm going to mark it as Exhibit 3.
18
              (Exhibit 3 was marked.)
19
              (By Mr. Kuntz) How much total time have
20
     you billed to date, Doctor?
21
              Well, what's reflected on those two
         Α
22
     documents, there's an invoice time sheet that goes
23
     from July through October, and that is 25 hours. And
     then the second invoice -- same exhibit -- is October
24
     and November, and that is 19 hours.
25
```

```
Page 45
              I haven't completed my December time sheet
 1
 2
     or invoice or January.
 3
              Do you know approximately how much time
         0
 4
     you've billed in December?
 5
              It's about the same. I -- I -- probably
 6
     right around 18 to 20 hours.
 7
              Do you keep itemizations for your billings
 8
     as to exactly what you were working on to generate
 9
     the hours?
10
              I do, and that's reflected on these
11
     exhibits.
12
              Okay. How much time have you spent since
         0
13
     January in the last week or seven days preparing for
14
     this case or billing on this case?
15
              Can you repeat the question? I haven't
16
     submitted a bill for January.
17
              Right. Approximately how -- and that was
         Q
18
     my question. How much time, approximately, that you
19
     spent since the beginning of January on this case or
20
     preparing for this deposition.
21
              I see. Probably in and around 10 to 15
         Α
22
     hours.
23
              How much do you charge an hour for record
24
     review and deposition time?
25
         Α
              For record review, $400 an hour.
                                                 For an
```

Page 46

- 1 in-person meeting, \$500 an hour. For a scheduled
- 2 teleconference, \$400 an hour. For a deposition, it
- 3 would be \$600 an hour.
- 4 Q Can we jump ahead to Request No. 10. Did
- 5 you comply with that request?
- 6 **A** No.
- 7 O Do you keep any of your time sheets or
- 8 invoices or records for all the consulting time that
- 9 you've done for Ethicon?
- 10 A Only companies that I'm actively consulting
- 11 with. So no.
- 12 **Q** So you no longer have any -- any of these
- documents that relate to your time consulting with
- 14 Ethicon from 2007 to 2011?
- 15 A That's correct. I have one contract that I
- 16 was able to locate, but I don't have any time sheets
- or invoices or any of those documents.
- 18 **Q** Do you have any of your e-mails that you
- 19 have sent back and forth with Ethicon employees about
- 20 consulting work?
- 21 **A** I don't have any of them. My personal
- 22 e-mail changed. I used to be with hotmail. Now I'm
- 23 with GMail, and I don't have access to hotmail
- 24 anymore. That account has been closed.
- 25 **Q** Your hotmail account has been closed?

```
Page 47
              Yeah. It's probably been closed for at
 1
 2
     least two to three years.
 3
              Was there a point in time that you told
         0
 4
     Ethicon to quit e-mailing you at your University of
 5
     Colorado e-mail and to e-mail you personally because
     you were concerned about the University of Colorado's
 6
 7
     policies on honorariums? Do you remember that?
              T do.
 8
         Α
              MR. SNELL: Form. Go ahead.
 9
10
         Α
              Yes.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. What is University
         Q
12
     of Colorado's policy on honorariums?
13
              The university policy -- starting in 2010,
14
     they developed a conflict of interest policy.
15
     There's no policy before 2010.
16
         Q
              Have you provided information to them about
17
     your mesh consultation since 2010?
18
              MR. SNELL: Form, vaque, "mesh
     consultation."
19
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) I'm sorry. Mesh
         0
     consultations.
21
22
              Have you informed the University of
23
     Colorado about all of the mesh companies you've been
24
     working with since 2010?
25
              MR. SNELL: Form and foundation, vague.
```

```
Page 48
                    I informed them of the work I did in
 1
 2
     2010 and, I believe, in 2011. There was no activity
 3
     after those years, so there was nothing to inform
 4
     them of.
 5
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. You don't believe
 6
     you had a contract or were paid any money by mesh
 7
     companies in 2011?
              I was paid in 2010. I don't believe in two
 8
     thousand -- maybe in 2011. I would have to look at
 9
10
     the exact -- my tax return for those two years, but I
11
     can certainly say for 2012, '13, 2014 there was no
12
     activity.
13
              Okay. So you didn't receive any money for
14
     doing your expert report in the Carolyn Lewis case in
15
     2012?
16
             Not directly from Ethicon.
17
              Okay. Were you paid by Butler Snow to
18
     perform the work in the Lewis case?
19
              Yeah, by Butler Snow.
2.0
              Okay. And you don't have a duty to report
         0
21
     to the University of Colorado outside litigation
22
     consulting you're doing for medical device
23
     manufacturers?
24
              That's not part of the conflict of interest
25
    policy, no.
```

```
Page 49
              Is it part of the conflict of interest
 1
         Q
 2
     policy for the AUA? Do you know that?
 3
              To report medical-legal work?
         Α
 4
         0
              Yes.
 5
         A
              Report it to whom?
 6
              To the AUA or to the university.
         0
 7
              MR. SNELL: Objection, compound, form.
                                                        Go
 8
     ahead.
 9
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this,
         0
10
              Have you ever reviewed the AUA conflict of
11
     interest policies?
12
         Α
              Absolutely. I have to update them
13
     manually, and I'm actually listed as an expert
14
     witness on the AUA expert witness registry.
15
              If you were paid money by a mesh company,
16
     do you believe you have a duty to disclose that in
17
     any type of publication you publish?
18
              If it pertains to that product, yes.
19
              What if it's a company that makes products
2.0
     about what the article is about?
21
              You'd have to give me a more specific
         Α
22
     example.
23
              You're saying it has to relate to the exact
24
     product that the article is about? Is that your
25
     testimony?
```

```
Page 50
              Again, that's too vague. I would need an
 1
         Α
 2
     example.
 3
              Well, if you were receiving products from a
         0
 4
     company like AMS, who has female urology products --
 5
     and maybe your consulting was only for male urology
 6
     products and you were writing an article -- do you
 7
     have a duty to disclose a relationship with AMS?
 8
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague as to
     "receiving products," and also foundation.
 9
10
              Are you saying receiving honorarium, Jeff?
         Α
11
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz)
                             Yes.
12
              Yes. So if you look at the update I wrote
         Α
13
     for the American Urologic Association, I disclosed on
14
     that document -- it's the first page -- my
15
     relationship with AMS and Ethicon even though I
16
     wasn't using any of AMS's products and I wasn't
17
     consulting with them on female urology, just on male
18
     urology.
19
              So I really tried to stick to the policy,
20
     and I update that annually on the Web site and in any
21
     publication that I'm involved in. I take that
22
     seriously.
23
              So -- and I think you answered my question.
24
              So if you were going to publish something
25
     and you had any type of relationship with AMS or
```

```
Page 51
     Ethicon, you would make sure to disclose that in the
 1
 2
     publication?
 3
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form --
 4
         Α
              We would have --
              (All speaking simultaneously, and reporter
 5
 6
              requested clarification.)
              MR. SNELL: -- overbroad.
 7
 8
              So what I responded to is: You'd have to
         Α
     cite the specific publication you're referring to for
 9
10
     me to give an appropriate answer.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Well, you published
12
     in 2013 an article with Shah and Gilsdorf, Surgical
13
     Management of Lower Urinary Mesh Perforation.
14
              You know that article, correct?
15
              Correct.
         Α
16
         Q
              International Urogynecology Journal?
17
              That's correct.
         Α
18
              And you don't disclose any relationships
         0
19
     with any mesh manufacturers in that article, correct?
              Can we submit the article as an exhibit?
2.0
         Α
21
              We sure can.
         0
22
         Α
              Okay.
23
              MR. KUNTZ: Sean, it's in the back. It's
24
     in the folder Vaginal Sling Article.
25
              MR. KEITH:
                          Okay.
```

```
Page 52
 1
              MR. SNELL: Do you have a copy for me?
 2
              MR. KEITH: Yes.
 3
              MR. SNELL: Okay. Thank you.
 4
              MR. KEITH: I've got it. It's Exhibit 4,
 5
     Jeff.
 6
              (Exhibit 4 was marked.)
 7
              MR. KEITH: It's published July 4, 2013.
 8
              MR. KUNTZ: It should be received April 8,
 9
           Yeah, published July 4, 2013. And that's
10
     Exhibit No. 4, I believe.
11
              MR. KEITH: Exhibit 4, that's correct.
12
     Let's make sure we keep up with all the exhibits.
13
     That's the only thing -- because we've got a lot of
14
     papers on this desk.
15
              (Discussion off the record.)
16
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And, Doctor, in this
         Q
17
     article on the back page, it says "Conflict of
     Interest" and it says "None," correct?
18
19
         Α
              Correct.
20
              And my question is: If you had a
21
     relationship with Ethicon in 2013, it would require
22
     you to disclose that in this article?
23
              MR. SNELL: Objection, lacks foundation.
24
              Like I mentioned earlier, I wasn't
         Α
25
     consulting with Ethicon on any of their female
```

```
Page 53
     urology products in 2013. So I was very -- I'm very
 1
 2
     comfortable with this disclosure, Jeff.
 3
              (By Mr. Kuntz) That's not my question.
         0
 4
     I'm sure you are.
 5
              Were you working with AMS in 2013 or
 6
     receive any money from AMS in 2013?
 7
         Α
              No.
 8
              Okay. If you did receive money from AMS,
         0
 9
     would you disclose that in the Conflict of Interest
10
     section of this article or should you have?
11
              MR. SNELL: Compound, form.
12
              So I'd have to look at what International
         Α
13
     Urogynecology Journal requires. Each journal has
     their own standards.
14
15
              The conflicts pertain to conflicts within
16
     that article. We're not obligated to list every
17
     single relationship we have in the article, only
18
     relationships that pertain to that article.
19
              There's nothing in my relationships with
2.0
     AMS or Ethicon that related to this article.
21
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. I just thought you
         0
22
     testified earlier that you take it very serious and
23
     you're very careful and you disclose everything, but
24
     now you're saying it depends on the article, it
     depends on the relationship, and it depends on the
25
```

```
Page 54
     guidelines of the publication.
 1
 2
              Is that what you're telling me now?
 3
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, compound, also
 4
     misstates prior testimony -- go ahead -- and asked
 5
     and answered. Go ahead.
 6
              Can you break the question down because
     it's a complicated one?
 7
 8
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this:
         Q
     you were receiving money from AMS in 2013, do you
 9
10
     believe you had a duty to disclose that in this
11
     article?
12
              MR. SNELL: Objection form, asked and
13
     answered.
14
              No, I don't. And, again, I said I don't
15
     have a relationship with them. I didn't have a
16
     relationship with them. I wasn't using their female
17
     urology products. I wasn't consulting on the female
18
     urology side; hence, there's no disclosure.
19
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And I take it you don't
2.0
     feel a need to disclose in publications that you are
21
     consulting with Ethicon on litigation matters?
22
         Α
              If the article was about medical-legal
     matters, yes, I would disclose that, but if it was
23
24
     about a scientific article, a procedure or a disease,
25
     no.
```

```
Page 55
              In 2013, you write in this article that
 1
 2
     you, in the last six years -- so back in 2007, there
 3
     was an alarming increase --
 4
              (Reporter requested clarification.)
 5
              MR. KUNTZ: Yes.
                                Sorry.
 6
              (By Mr. Kuntz) In this article, you would
     agree that you write, "In the past six years, we have
 7
 8
     seen an increase in the overall number of
 9
     transvaginal mesh complications. Cases referred to
10
     your center, " correct?
11
              MR. SNELL: What page are you on, Jeff, so
     we are all --
12
13
              MR. KUNTZ: Page 3.
14
              I believe I did make a comment in those
15
     regards. There's a few statements around that
16
     comment that explain that.
17
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, you thought the
18
     amount of complications that were coming in during
19
     that six-year period were an alarming increase,
2.0
     correct?
21
              It was something that caused concern, yes.
         Α
22
         0
              Why did it cause concern?
23
              The ones involving the lower urinary tract
     -- you can see Figure 2 in 2012, for instance, there
24
     was almost 10. And so that is something that is a
25
```

```
Page 56
     severe complication, and that was something that was
 1
 2
     concerning. I thought that physicians, the AUA,
 3
     gynecologists would want to know that.
 4
              Can we go back to Exhibit A in the depo
 5
     notice.
             Did you bring your copies of your 1099s?
 6
              MR. SNELL:
                          I'm sure we objected to that,
    but we are not producing 1099s. Your experts had not
 7
     produced any 1099s nor any billing records. So we
 8
     will not be producing 1099s.
 9
10
              MR. KUNTZ: Even better.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, with your 1099s,
         Q
     you had some problems with Ethicon, didn't you, in
12
13
     the past as to how they were reporting those to the
14
     IRS?
15
              You'd have to be specific about what you
16
     mean by "problems."
17
              Well, did you give notification to the IRS
     about Ethicon's billings to you on 1099s for
18
     consultation work?
19
20
              Ethicon was inconsistently issuing 1099s,
21
     and so I didn't want to create a red flag with the
22
           So I just asked them to please issue me a 1099
23
     so I know how much I made that year.
24
              Okay. So -- well, were you issued 1099s in
         0
25
     both your personal Social Security and your LLC that
```

```
Page 57
     you bill through?
 1
 2
              No.
                   That was the -- that was the concern,
 3
     that it wasn't being sent to -- it wasn't being
 4
     recorded under the right number.
 5
              Okay. So for several years income was
 6
     being reported -- or recorded under two different
 7
     numbers. Is that the problem?
 8
              I wouldn't say several years. I would say
 9
     for that year involved at least or maybe the previous
10
     year.
11
              I know that there was a concern when I
12
     contacted them, and it had to be about a particular
13
     year, but I don't -- I don't know if it was several
14
     years.
15
              Okay. So if we wanted to track down your
16
     total income for a year, would we have to look under
17
     both your Social Security number and your LLC tax ID
18
     number?
              I don't have an LLC. I have never had an
19
         Α
2.0
     LLC.
21
              Have you had a company that you do your
         0
22
     billings out of?
23
         Α
              Yes.
24
              Okay. And what's the name of that?
         Q
25
         Α
              Flynn Consulting.
```

```
Page 58
              Okay. And how long has that been around?
 1
              Probably since 2007, 2008. I don't know
 2
 3
     the exact year.
 4
              Is that who you still do your billings
 5
     through now?
 6
         Α
              That's correct.
 7
              You've been a paid consultant for Ethicon
         Q
     for over 10 years, correct?
 8
 9
              Incorrect.
10
              Okay. How long have you been a consultant
     for Ethicon?
11
12
              I mentioned earlier in the deposition from
13
     2004 to around 2011.
14
              And you only have one consulting agreement
15
     that you can find from -- I apologize. What year
16
     again?
17
              It's 2011. It was a contract that I have
18
            I can submit that if you'd like.
19
              MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. Let's mark that as
2.0
     Exhibit No. 5.
21
              (Exhibit 5 was marked.)
              MR. SNELL: We've been going about an hour
22
23
     and a half. After this document, Jeff, can we take a
24
     break?
25
              MR. KUNTZ: We can take one now.
```

```
Page 59
              (Recess from 2:52 p.m. to 3:13 p.m.)
 1
 2
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, we're back on the
 3
     record after a short break. I wanted to go back to
 4
     something we were talking about before.
 5
              You said you have taught or proctored TVT
     Abbrevo training classes.
 6
 7
              Yeah. I was involved in a video that I did
         Α
 8
     for Ethicon that was part of training for TVT
 9
     Abbrevo.
10
              Okay. Does that video discuss laser-cut
         0
11
     mesh?
12
                   That video is just a procedural video
         Α
              No.
13
     on how to do the procedure. Nothing more than that.
14
              Okay. So never in that video does it
15
     discuss the Abbrevo being laser-cut mesh or any
     difference between the two meshes?
16
17
              Correct.
         Α
18
              Have you ever taught an Abbrevo class to
19
     any surgeons that were about to use or using the TVT
2.0
     Abbrevo product?
21
              I work at a teaching institution. I have
22
     fellows and residents, medical students I teach every
23
     day. And yes, I mention to them laser cut,
24
     mechanically cut. It's a conversation I've had with
25
     people that work around me.
```

```
Page 60
              Okay. And that wasn't exactly my question
 1
 2
     about the "people that work around me."
 3
              Have you ever taught a class for Ethicon
 4
     about the TVT Abbrevo?
              I'm uncertain. I don't know when I -- I
 5
     mentioned I think the last event I did for Ethicon
 6
     was in and around 2010, and I don't remember the
 7
     exact content of those events, if it was TVT Abbrevo
 9
     or TVT Exact.
10
              Do you ever remember discussing or telling
11
     surgeons at an Ethicon event about the differences of
12
     laser-cut mesh and mechanical-cut mesh?
13
              Not in a formal session. When we would
14
     have cadaver labs, you know, those conversations
15
     would come up when we were instructing in small group
16
     sessions.
17
              And what would you tell surgeons about
18
     laser-cut mesh?
              That there's some subtle differences in the
19
2.0
     tensioning.
              What kind of subtle differences in the
21
22
     tensioning are there between laser-cut mesh and
23
     mechanical-cut mesh?
24
              Oh, for one, that the laser-cut mesh was
25
     easier to deploy. So it was less likely -- you know,
```

```
Page 61
     I should say it was easier just to insert and to get
 1
 2
     it to lie correctly. I would make that comment.
 3
              And then more specifically in terms of
 4
     tensioning, I would say that generally we tension it
 5
     slightly looser than we would do on mechanically-cut
 6
     mesh.
 7
              Have you ever reviewed any documents from
 8
     Ethicon in preparation to form your opinions in this
 9
     case that talk about laser-cut mesh and the
10
     deployment of it?
11
              I have, and I have documents in the folder
12
     I had in front of me in regards to some testing that
13
     was done.
14
              Okay. And I understand there's documents
15
     related to testing, and we're going to get into that,
16
     but do you specifically recall seeing any documents
17
     related to the deployment of the laser-cut mesh?
18
              I've read some comments, some memos --
19
     intraoffice memos amongst employees, but I don't --
2.0
     nothing was sent to me directly or -- you know, I
21
     didn't receive a letter. It didn't appear in a
22
     PowerPoint presentation.
23
              Do you recall reviewing any specific
     documents in this case while forming your opinions
24
25
     about tensioning in laser-cut from Ethicon employees?
```

```
Page 62
              Yes.
 1
         Α
 2
              And what do you recall about those
 3
     documents, or what documents did you review?
 4
         Α
              I can't remember the specific documents
 5
     without having them in front of me, but just in
 6
     general, that people were at least opening up the
 7
     discussion on what key opinion leaders and others
 8
     thought about how to tension it. Should it be
 9
     tensioned the same or differently.
10
              Do you recall reviewing any documents that
11
     physicians -- or strike that.
12
              Do you recall reviewing any documents in
13
     forming your opinion in this case about Ethicon
14
     employees having concerns about tensioning with
     laser-cut mesh?
15
16
              I think that there was some awareness.
17
     quess you could use the word "concern." There was
18
     discussion, like I mentioned, that it's something
19
     that people who instruct on the procedure were
20
     wondering what they should be telling their students.
21
              Is there any -- you had talked about easier
         0
22
     to deploy and tensioning -- I think you said looser
23
     with the laser-cut mesh are things you taught at
     cadaver labs, correct?
24
25
         Α
              Those were comments that I would make to
```

```
Page 63
     people who would ask me advice about it. I can't say
 1
 2
     for sure it occurred at a cadaver lab. It could have
 3
     occurred at other Ethicon events or at scientific
 4
    meetings or just, you know, amongst -- conversation
 5
     with colleagues in other specialties at the hospitals
 6
     that I work at.
 7
              What do you tell your students at
         Q
     University of Colorado about laser-cut mesh versus
 8
 9
     mechanical-cut mesh?
10
              Well, at this point, it's not a
11
     conversation we really have because all we've been
12
     using is laser-cut mesh really since it became
13
     available.
              So it's more of a historic conversation.
14
15
     That probably would be beyond what a student would be
16
     interested in, but it was conversations that I had,
17
     you know, when there was a transition period.
18
              So during those years, say, from '7 to like
19
     '10 or '11, it would be a conversation, but once we
2.0
     had a group of residents who only had experience with
21
     the laser-cut, that's all they knew. They really
22
     didn't need to understand tensioning mechanically-cut
23
     because it was something that I wasn't using in my
     practice; hence, they wouldn't be using.
24
25
              Is the TVT Exact laser-cut mesh?
         0
```

```
Page 64
 1
         Α
              It is, yes.
 2
              Is -- do you believe laser-cut mesh is
 3
     better than mechanical-cut mesh?
 4
         Α
              I would say it's different. I prefer it,
 5
     but I don't think there's any scientific studies to
 6
     say it's superior.
 7
              Do you -- have you read any deposition of
     Ethicon employees that believe that the laser-cut
 8
 9
     mesh is superior to the mechanical-cut mesh?
10
              MR. SNELL: Foundation objection. Go
11
     ahead.
12
              (By Mr. Kuntz) I quess you haven't read
         Q
13
     any internal depositions from any Ethicon employees?
14
                  I've just seen bits and pieces from
     depositions. Some of them in the form of exhibits
15
16
     that were put in front of me in other depositions
17
     that I've given, and then some of the in-house
18
     documents that I have in front of me, they -- but no,
     I don't have a lot of that information.
19
20
     privy of a lot of that information.
              Did you ever, in forming your opinions,
21
         0
22
     think, "Hey, maybe I want to read what the internal
23
     Ethicon employees, scientists, and medical directors
     were saying about the issues in this case"?
24
25
              MR. SNELL:
                          Objection, form, compound.
```

```
Page 65
                   I don't think that's really my role in
 1
 2
     this case. I'm not a materials science expert, and I
 3
     think there's other people that are experts for
 4
     Ethicon that can probably speak to it better than me.
 5
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Speak to what better than
     you?
 6
 7
              Speak to the biomechanical data, if there's
         Α
     any differences. I'm aware of differences that I see
 8
 9
     grossly with my naked eye when I have the material in
10
     front of me, you know, how the edges are smoother on
11
     the mechanically-cut, how the mesh is -- appears to
12
     be brighter or bluer.
13
              You know, those are things that I see, you
14
     know, in my practice and when I'm implanting a mesh.
15
              So you believe other people are suited to
     talk about the scientific or differences between
16
17
     laser-cut mesh and mechanical-cut mesh as opposed to
18
     you?
19
              MR. SNELL: Objection. It misstates.
20
              I'm stating I'm prepared to answer
         Α
21
     questions as a physician and a clinician with respect
     to laser-cut and mechanically-cut, but I'm not an
22
23
     employee of Ethicon. I didn't develop the mesh.
24
              I feel there's people better in the company
25
     to explain those differences than I am, yes. I'm not
```

```
Page 66
     a practicing engineer. I'm not the inventor of the
 1
 2
     mesh. I didn't develop the mesh. I didn't bring it
 3
     to market. So I think those questions are better for
 4
     Ethicon than me.
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Do you believe that
 5
     the laser-cut mesh is stiffer than the mechanical-cut
 6
 7
     mesh?
              I think there's probably as much as 4 to 5
 8
 9
     percent difference between the two meshes. Like I
10
     mentioned earlier, there's some subtle differences.
11
     And so if that mesh is less elastic and doesn't have
12
     the same amount of elongation, then you're going to
13
     want to tension it looser. That's how I feel, and
14
     that's how I practice.
15
              So you do agree that the laser-cut mesh is
     stiffer than the mechanical-cut mesh?
16
17
              MR. SNELL: Objection. It misstates.
18
         Α
              I'm not going to agree to that, no.
19
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. So you -- okay.
                                                       So
20
     you -- you disagree that the laser-cut mesh is
21
     stiffer than the mechanical-cut mesh? That's your
     testimony?
22
23
              My testimony is, there's not a clinically
     significant difference, you know, one that reaches
24
25
     significance. It's less than 5 percent. They're
```

```
Page 67
     subtle differences, and that's going to be my
 1
 2
     testimony.
 3
              Okay. So your testimony under oath is that
         0
 4
     there's no clinical difference between the laser-cut
     and mechanical-cut mesh, correct?
 5
 6
         Α
              Incorrect.
 7
              Well, I thought that's what -- okay. Let's
 8
     try this again because you keep changing around on
     me, Doctor. It's a really simple question, a
 9
10
     two-parter.
11
              Do you believe the laser-cut mesh is
12
     stiffer than the mechanical-cut mesh? Yes or no?
13
              MR. SNELL: Objection, asked and answered.
14
              Like I said earlier, no.
15
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you believe that there
         0
16
     is clinical complications that are different with the
     laser-cut mesh compared to the mechanical-cut mesh?
17
18
         Α
              No.
19
         Q
              Do you believe that -- strike that.
2.0
              Do you believe that the different -- strike
21
     that.
22
              Do you believe there's no clinical
23
     significance between the laser-cut mesh and the
     mechanical-cut mesh?
24
25
              MR. SNELL: Objection, asked and answered.
```

Page 68 Correct. I don't believe there's any 1 2 clinical significant difference between laser-cut and 3 mechanical-cut in my own practice or what's reported 4 in the literature or from conversation with 5 colleagues. 6 (By Mr. Kuntz) What literature are you 0 7 talking about? 8 There's just the volumes of records here I 9 have in front of me, my own personal review of the 10 medical literature. 11 I personally have never read an article in 12 the medical literature that shows any clinical differences between laser-cut and mechanically-cut. 13 14 Doctor, do you know if a study has ever 15 been performed to look at the differences between --16 strike that. 17 Doctor, do you know if there's ever been a clinical study performed to look at the differences 18 19 between laser-cut mesh and mechanical-cut mesh? 2.0 I'm not aware of that study, not a clinical Α 21 study. 22 Have you ever seen any internal Ethicon 23 documents discussing the need for a clinical study to determine the differences between laser-cut mesh and 24 25 mechanical-cut mesh?

```
Page 69
 1
         Α
              No.
 2
              Have you ever seen any documents from
 3
     Ethicon discussing clinical complications that arose
 4
     because of laser-cut mesh versus mechanical-cut mesh?
 5
              I have not.
 6
              None of those documents, as you sit here
     today, have been provided, if they exist, to you by
 7
 8
     Ethicon's counsel? You haven't reviewed them, have
 9
     you?
10
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation.
11
              I have the documents that I have brought on
         Α
12
     laser-cut and mechanically-cut. We can go through
13
     those, but I don't have anything that calls for a
14
     trial or, you know, raises concern beyond the issues
     I mentioned earlier.
15
16
              The issues we mentioned earlier are subtle
17
     differences with tensioning and --
18
              (By Mr. Kuntz)
                              Okay.
19
         Α
              -- you know, those conversations.
2.0
              And so you prepared -- you've prepared for
         Q
21
     six months for this deposition. You've met with
22
     lawyers -- two lawyers five times and had
23
     approximately 20 phone calls, and you've never seen
24
     any Ethicon internal documents to discuss the concern
25
     about clinical complications of laser-cut mesh?
```

```
Page 70
              MR. SNELL: Objection, vague.
 1
                                              Ιt
 2
    misstates.
 3
              That's correct. I have not seen documents
         Α
 4
     from Ethicon that discuss complications from
 5
     laser-cut versus mechanically-cut.
 6
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree in general
 7
     that it's better to have a less stiff mesh in an SUI
 8
     product?
 9
              That's overly vaque. I can't agree one way
10
     or the other. There's an optimal amount of
11
     stiffness. There's an optimal amount of tension.
12
     And you don't want it too loose; you don't want it
13
     too tight. And it's something that we deal with
14
     every day in our practice.
15
              And I'm not talking about tensioning,
16
     Doctor. I'm asking you a very simple question.
17
              Do you believe it's better to have a less
18
     stiff mesh as opposed to a rigid mesh?
19
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
2.0
     answered.
21
              I would say no. My answer is no.
22
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. And so you would
23
     disagree with any person who made a statement that
     it's better to have a less stiff mesh for an SUI
24
25
    product?
```

```
Page 71
              MR. SNELL: Objection, lacks foundation,
 1
 2
     vaque.
 3
              It would depend on the context of the
         Α
 4
     conversation. I think that -- tensioning and
 5
     stiffness, those two things go hand in hand, and
     there's an optimal amount of tension, and that
 6
 7
     optimal amount of tension comes from characteristics
 8
     of the mesh.
 9
              If the mesh is too elastic, it's not going
10
             If it's too stiff, potentially it can cause
11
     complications. So you have to find the right
12
     balance.
13
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So would you agree -- you
14
     keep talking about tensioning.
15
              Would you agree that the forces applied to
16
     a mesh during implantation and tensioning can relate
17
     to the stiffness?
18
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, overbroad as
19
     application.
2.0
              I would say that tensioning and the mesh
     characteristics -- in terms of stiffness or
21
22
     elongation, elasticity, those things go hand in hand.
23
     They're very closely associated with one another.
24
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree that a stiffer
25
     mesh can cause more erosion?
```

```
Page 72
              Can you define the word "erosion"?
 1
         Α
 2
              What's your definition of "erosion,"
         0
 3
     Doctor?
 4
         Α
              I don't use the word "erosion" anymore.
 5
     try to follow the ICS and IUGA classification and
 6
     terminology with respect to mesh implants.
 7
         0
              Do you understand --
 8
              (Reporter requested clarification.)
 9
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you understand Ethicon
         0
     still uses the word "erosion," Doctor?
10
              I think everyone still uses the word, but
11
         Α
12
     it's a word that we're trying to avoid using.
13
              Okay. Have you told Ethicon that they need
         0
14
     to take that out of their IFU or internal documents
15
     that go to doctors, the word "erosion"?
              I have not.
16
         Α
17
              Okay. Would you agree that a stiffer mesh
18
     can cause more exposures?
19
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague.
2.0
              I -- I think if it was extreme, yes.
         Α
21
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Do you have any
         0
22
     idea, as you sit here today, why Ethicon switched
23
     from mechanical-cut mesh to laser-cut mesh?
24
              My general understanding was that that's
         Α
25
     what some of the competing products had done, and
```

Page 73 they were receiving positive feedback from 1 2 physicians, and so it was something that physicians 3 wanted. 4 And why did physicians want laser-cut mesh over mechanical-cut mesh? 5 6 I think there's this general understanding 7 that if the edges look smoother and they're 8 nontanged, then there would be less exposure, less 9 pain, less perforation if the edges were smoother. 10 So that's why physicians wanted that. 11 Do you have any idea, as you sit here 0 12 today, about any other reasons why Ethicon switched from mechanical-cut mesh to laser-cut mesh? 13 14 I don't have any other reasons beyond 15 trying to meet the needs of their physicians. 16 Q Tell me exactly how the tensioning of the 17 mesh for laser-cut differs from that with 18 mechanically-cut mesh. 19 So what I mentioned earlier is the -- my --20 at least -- own personal initial impressions were, 21 that I was going to tension the mesh looser with 22 laser-cut than with mechanically-cut since there was 23 less deformity and less potential for elongation, 24 that the mesh would be less likely to loosen over 25 time.

```
Page 74
              Doctor, you believe that dyspareunia
 1
 2
     increases in the use with laser-cut mesh versus
 3
     mechanical-cut mesh, don't you?
 4
              MR. SNELL: Objection. It misstates.
                                                      Ιt
 5
     lacks foundation.
 6
              I would not agree to that statement.
 7
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. You've never made
 8
     that statement in the past to Ethicon?
 9
              I don't believe so, no.
10
              If you've made that statement to Ethicon in
11
     the past, then it was wrong, correct?
12
              I don't believe I ever made that statement.
         Α
13
     That --
14
              Do you remember having discussions with
15
     Ethicon about laser-cut versus mechanical-cut mesh?
16
              MR. SNELL: Form, vague as to application.
17
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you had meetings with
         Q
18
     engineers and medical directors?
19
              MR. SNELL: Same objection. Foundation
2.0
     now. Also form as to application.
21
              No, I don't remember having any
22
     conversations that I had personal concerns over the
23
     laser-cut mesh.
24
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Especially as it
25
     relates to dyspareunia, correct? You don't remember
```

Page 75 that conversation? 1 2 MR. SNELL: Same objections, asked and 3 answered. 4 I don't believe the conversation -- ever It's not that I don't remember it. I didn't 5 have that conversation with Ethicon. 6 7 Q (By Mr. Kuntz) Even better. Thanks. Have you ever talked to any physicians that 8 has concerns with laser-cut mesh? 9 10 What I mentioned earlier is that physicians 11 would ask me how I would tension laser-cut mesh 12 versus mechanically-cut. 13 It was a question that I had received in 14 various programs or in my own practice. And what I 15 told them is that I was generally going to tension it 16 looser. 17 The reason I felt that way is, I had 18 experience with the Boston Scientific Advantage Fit. 19 I had experience with AMS Sparc. 2.0 And so, you know, I was just trying to find 21 the right balance. I feel there were subtle 22 differences in tensioning, and I -- that was just my 23 own personal feelings about that, and I would give 24 people my own anecdotal experience in that. It was 25 nothing scientific. It was just from my own clinical

```
Page 76
     experience.
 1
 2
              And that wasn't my question, but my
 3
     question was: Have you ever had any physicians tell
 4
     you that they were having problems with the laser-cut
 5
     mesh?
 6
         Α
              No.
 7
              I want to go back to -- I think we marked
     as Exhibit 4 or 5 your consulting agreement with
 8
 9
     Ethicon.
10
         Α
              Okay.
11
              And that -- and I apologize. That's your
12
     2011 contract?
13
              That's a 2011 contract.
14
              Okay. Did you have a contract with them in
     2004 as well?
15
16
              I believe I had contracts with them during
17
     the period of time I was consulting. Each year the
18
     contract came for renewal.
              So you had a contract every year with them,
19
20
     a consulting agreement from 2004 to 2011?
21
              I would say for the most part it was a
         Α
22
     continuous relationship. There may have been some
23
     short gaps, but yes.
24
              Okay. Do you have any idea, as you sit
25
     here today, how much those contract amounts called
```

```
Page 77
     for for each particular year?
 1
 2
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague, "called
 3
     for."
 4
         Α
              I could read from the 2011 one. I can't
 5
     remember before '11, but I don't think the amounts
 6
     changed a whole lot over the years.
 7
              So what's listed on the 2011 contract is
 8
     probably fairly representative of that time period.
 9
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And the 2011 contract has a
10
     maximum amount of $50,000. Do you see that?
11
              I thought it was 75,000, but I have to find
         Α
12
     it in this contract. So I'm flipping through the
13
     contract right now.
14
              (Pause.)
15
              There's the daily per diem rates.
                                                  There's
         Α
     an hourly rate. There's probably a maximum in here
16
17
     somewhere. I'm just not seeing it immediate.
18
     There's numbers on travel expenses.
19
              Do you know how much you were paid by
     Ethicon in 2011?
2.0
21
              I don't know the amount.
              Do you know the amount you were paid by
22
         Q
23
     Ethicon in 2004?
24
              No.
         Α
25
         Q
              Do you know the amount that you were paid
```

```
Page 78
     by Ethicon in 2005?
 1
 2
         Α
              No.
 3
              Have you ever been paid over $100,000 in
         0
 4
     one year by Ethicon?
 5
         Α
              Never.
              Ever?
 6
         0
 7
         Α
              Never ever.
              If there were any documents -- internal
 8
         Q
     documents from Ethicon that state that, do you
 9
10
     believe those would be wrong?
11
         Α
              That would be correct.
12
              Okay. Do you think reports to the
         Q
13
     government and Medicare payment systems about amounts
14
     paid for each year would be wrong?
              That's a question for Ethicon.
15
                                                I don't
16
     know how they did their reporting, but I know I never
17
     made more than $100,000 in a single year.
18
              Okay. Have you ever made over $50,000 in a
19
     single year from Ethicon?
2.0
              I don't recall.
         Α
21
              Do you know how much you made from Ethicon
22
     in 2005?
23
              No.
         Α
              2007?
24
         Q
25
         Α
              No.
```

```
Page 79
              2008?
 1
         Q
 2
         Α
              No.
 3
              2009?
         0
 4
         Α
              Yes.
              Why do you know 2009?
 5
         0
 6
         Α
              The University of Colorado, when they
     started their conflict of interest policy in 2009 and
 7
 8
     2010, they asked us to report that. So I remember
     preparing that for the years 2009 and 2010.
 9
10
              And what did you report to them in 2009?
11
         Α
              I believe it was around $1,000.
12
              Were you required by University of Colorado
         Q
13
     in 2009 to report to them the total amount you had
14
     been paid by Ethicon for consulting work?
15
                   The policy began in 2010, but they had
16
     a period of time where they encouraged people to
17
     offer previous relationships as well.
18
              Okay. And so in 2009, you reported to the
19
     University of Colorado that you had made $1,000 from
2.0
     Ethicon?
21
              I believe that's what I told them.
         Α
22
         0
              Do you have any idea what you made in 2010?
23
              It was somewhere around 21- or $22,000.
24
              And that's what you reported to the
25
     University of Colorado?
```

```
Page 80
              That's correct.
 1
         Α
 2
              What about 2011?
 3
              I don't believe I reported anything.
         Α
 4
     don't believe I made anything in 2011 and on, from
 5
     those years forward.
 6
              Okay. So it's your belief you weren't paid
 7
     anything from Ethicon in 2011?
              I have to go back and look at the exact
 8
 9
     record, but I was paid for doing the TVT Abbrevo
10
     video, and that was the last consulting project, the
11
     video that I did with them. So it was either 2010 or
12
     2011.
13
              Okay. This is a really dumb question.
14
     There's not another Brian Flynn at the University of
15
     Colorado, is there?
16
         Α
              There is, but I'm the only Brian Flynn
17
     who's a physician there.
18
              Okay. How much did you charge Ethicon for
19
     all your work on the Carolyn Lewis case?
2.0
              I'd have to go back and look at the
         Α
21
     invoices, but it was a similar amount of work to this
22
     case. So my best estimate would be somewhere between
23
     15- and $20,000.
24
              Okay. Have you ever reviewed any Ethicon
25
     internal documents that they call you one of their
```

```
Page 81
     key surgeons?
 1
 2
              I believe I saw that in an exhibit from a
 3
     previous deposition.
 4
              Have you ever reviewed any documents that
 5
     Ethicon calls you one of their go-to people?
 6
              I'm not familiar with that document.
 7
         0
              Okay. How many events do you think you've
 8
     attended since 2004 up until -- your time consulting
     for Ethicon ending in 2011 do you think you've
 9
10
     attended on behalf of Ethicon?
11
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form and foundation,
12
     vaque also.
13
              So can you define the word "event"?
14
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, let's start -- how
15
     many dinners have you been to with Ethicon over that
16
     seven-year period?
17
              In terms of me being the speaker of the
18
     dinner program or an attendee?
19
         0
              The attendee.
2.0
              As an attendee --
         Α
21
              Yes.
         0
22
         Α
              -- probably 15 to 20.
23
              Okay. How many -- if you just had to
24
     quess, how many different states have you been to on
25
     behalf of Ethicon for dinners or preceptorships or
```

```
Page 82
 1
     speaker engagements?
 2
              How many different states?
 3
              Yes.
         0
 4
         Α
                    Okay. Well, I was a preceptor in the
 5
     western United States. That's where most of my
 6
     activity was. So Colorado, Arizona, Nevada,
 7
     California. And then if there was a summit, I
 8
     attended one in Florida and one in Baltimore,
 9
     Maryland. And I've been to the home office in New
10
     Jersey.
11
              How many times have you been there?
         0
12
         Α
              I've been to Somerville, New Jersey, to the
13
     J&J probably once or twice. And we were at events,
14
     you know, on the campus in neighboring conference
15
     centers. I wasn't in the lab. I wasn't in the
16
     actual, you know, buildings or -- it was a summit
17
     that I attended in New Jersey. It was in New
18
     Brunswick, which is just right next-door.
19
              And then there was a hospital that they
20
     would do activity at with Dr. Labib Riachi that I
21
     attended. I believe that's maybe in Hackensack.
22
              How many summits have you been to for
         Q
23
     Ethicon?
24
              Three or four.
         Α
25
         0
              So 15 to 20 dinners, three to four summits
```

```
Page 83
     you think?
 1
 2
              MR. SNELL: Objection, asked and answered.
 3
              Dinners that I was an attendee or lunch?
         Α
 4
     That might -- some of those might have been -- 15 to
 5
     20 lunch or dinners and then the three to four
 6
     summits.
 7
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And were those all in New
 8
     Jersey?
 9
              No.
                   No.
                        The dinners were usually at
10
     meetings that I would have been attending anyway, and
11
     the summits were at various locations.
12
              How many different advisory boards have you
         Q
13
     been on for Ethicon?
14
              Just one.
         Α
15
              Do you remember what year that was?
         0
              It was 2010. Maybe 2009. One of those
16
         Α
17
     years. Either '9 or '10.
18
              How many speaking engagements have you been
     to for Ethicon?
19
2.0
              MR. SNELL: Form, vague, "been to."
21
              As the speaker?
         Α
22
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Yeah.
23
              Probably 5 to 10.
         Α
24
              Have you ever traveled overseas for
25
     Ethicon?
```

```
Page 84
 1
         Α
              No.
 2
              Did you keep any of your PowerPoints where
         Q
 3
     you were the speaker?
 4
         Α
              Yes.
 5
         0
              Did you bring those with you today?
 6
         Α
              I have.
 7
         0
              Okay. Do you agree that Ethicon has to
 8
     have final approval over your PowerPoints before you
 9
     speak?
10
              MR. SNELL: Objection. Form as to scope.
11
              No, I don't agree with that.
         Α
12
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree that you've
13
     given Ethicon your permission to use your name and
14
     bio to promote its products?
15
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation.
16
         Α
              I disagree with that.
17
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You disagree with that
         Q
18
     statement?
19
              I disagree that I gave them permission to
20
     use my name to promote their products.
21
              Okay. How many company sales training
         0
22
     presentations have you attended?
23
              As an attendee?
24
         0
              Yeah.
25
              MR. SNELL:
                           I'm going to object as vague as
```

```
Page 85
     to which company you're talking about.
 1
 2
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Ethicon.
 3
              MR. SNELL: Okay. Then I'll withdraw that
 4
     objection. Go ahead.
 5
              Three to four.
 6
              (By Mr. Kuntz) How many product review
 7
     meetings with Ethicon have you attended?
         Α
              Well, that was the function of the advisory
 8
 9
             So those two things are one and the same.
10
     believe we met annually, and we would have occasional
11
     conference calls maybe once or twice a year.
12
         Q
              How many cadaver courses or preceptorships
13
     have you ran for Ethicon?
14
              I never ran any. I was never the director
15
     of any of those.
16
             How many did you teach at?
              I would say 10 to 15.
17
18
              Did I ask you how many product advisory
19
     boards you had been a part of?
2.0
              Just one for Ethicon.
21
              Have you been involved with any product
22
     research teams with Ethicon?
23
              I'm not certain what you mean by "research
     team."
24
25
         0
              Have you ever heard the term "product
```

```
Page 86
     evaluation teams"?
 1
 2
         Α
              No.
 3
              Have you ever performed any of these
         0
 4
     things, advisory boards, training presentations,
     dinners, with AMS?
 5
 6
         Α
              Not for female urology.
             For what, male urology products?
 7
         0
              Artificial urinary sphincter and male
 8
         Α
 9
     sling.
10
         0
              Okay. Have you ever attended any events
11
     for Boston Scientific as it relates to female urology
12
     products?
13
              I've been to a dinner, but I've never been
14
     to any cadaver labs or anything as it relates to
15
     professional education.
16
         Q
              How many events do you think you've been to
17
     on the male urology products for AMS?
18
         Α
              As an attendee or as a speaker?
              Either/or. Total number of events that
19
2.0
     you've attended or as a speaker. I mean combined.
21
     Let's just take them one at a time. As an attendee.
         Α
              As an attendee, three or four.
22
23
         Q
              What about as a speaker?
24
         Α
              5 to 10.
25
         0
              What about for Bard? Have you attended any
```

```
Page 87
     events for Bard female urology products?
 1
 2
              No.
         Α
 3
              Do you do any consulting work with any drug
         0
 4
     companies?
 5
         Α
              Not at this point.
 6
              What have you done in the past?
              From 2004 to 2008 or '9, I did some work
 7
         Α
     for Pfizer and for Astellas.
 8
 9
              What products?
              Drugs for overactive bladder. Pfizer's
10
11
     drug was Toviaz. Astellas's drug was VESIcare.
12
     Currently I am involved in a clinical trial for
13
     Allergan, looking at Botox.
14
              Do you agree with this statement:
     financial conflict of interest exists when an
15
16
     individual is in or may reasonably be perceived to be
     in a position to gain or suffer financial loss as a
17
18
     result of an action?
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague, lacks
19
2.0
     foundation.
21
              Yeah, I can't agree with that statement.
22
     It's too vaque.
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So you've never heard that
23
24
     statement before?
25
         Α
              I've heard that statement commonly,
```

```
Page 88
     actually, and it's a statement that I think is very
 1
 2
     poorly written.
 3
              Do you know where that statement comes
 4
     from?
              It comes from a number of professional
 5
     societies and medical schools.
 6
 7
              Why do you think it's poorly written?
         Q
              It's not clear. I think that there's so
 8
     many different scenarios that exist that you would
 9
10
     really need to have some well-defined case studies
11
     describing each scenario to see how people would view
12
     that.
13
              Are you a member of the American Board of
         0
14
     Urology?
15
              I am.
         Α
16
         Q
              Have you reviewed their conflict of
17
     interest statements?
18
         Α
              I have.
19
              Okay. Do you agree that they say
     relationships between industry and opinion leaders
20
     should be disclosed?
21
22
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation.
                                                   Form as
23
     to -- it sounds like it's incomplete. The document,
24
     I would assume, speaks for itself, too.
25
         Α
              Yeah, I think I said earlier in the
```

Page 89 deposition each event needs to be looked at 1 2 individually, whether it's a scientific article, it's 3 a meeting, it's a presentation. 4 I probably fill out a conflict of interest 5 statement almost weekly. It's that common from that many different sources. So it's something that I'm 6 7 well aware of, and they're all written and looked at differently. So that's my statement. 8 9 (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you tell your patients 10 when you're going to implant an Ethicon product in 11 them, that you consulted with them for seven years in 12 the past? 13 I tell them I have a long-standing 14 relationship with Ethicon. I don't use the word "consult." Most patients don't understand what that 15 16 means. 17 Do you tell them that you've been paid 0 18 money by Ethicon? I tell them that I work with them and that 19 20 I stand behind their products and I have a lot of 21 confidence in their products. Do you have a duty to go back and 22 supplement amounts made in years for University of 23 24 Colorado the numbers you submitted are incorrect? 25 MR. SNELL: Objection, improper

Page 90 hypothetically, calls for a legal conclusion. 1 2 I think we've been through that. 3 Everything before 2010 I have no responsibility. 4 Around 2010 into '11 is when the policy came into 5 place. I'm very comfortable with what I've disclosed 6 to them. 7 (By Mr. Kuntz) And your 1099s would tell Q us really how much you made from Ethicon in those 8 9 years, wouldn't it, Doctor? 10 That's the only documents we know what you 11 report to the government and what Ethicon reports to 12 the government as to what you made in those years from Ethicon, correct? 13 14 Incorrect. 15 Okay. How else do you know? 16 I don't really know. I mentioned earlier that the 1099s were being issued inconsistently, and 17 18 that was something I tried to make Ethicon aware of 19 because many of us didn't know exactly what we were 20 making. 21 Well, somebody knows exactly how much you 22 were making, correct? 23 MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation. 24 (By Mr. Kuntz) You pay taxes on it with a Q 25 1099. You have to tell the government what you made

```
Page 91
     from Ethicon, correct?
 1
 2
              Incorrect.
 3
              So you don't have to report to the
 4
     government your total income from Ethicon in a given
 5
     year?
 6
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, calls for a
 7
     legal conclusion.
              I have an obligation to tell the government
 8
     what I feel I made, and that's hard when you don't
 9
10
     receive a 1099. That's what I was trying to voice to
11
     Ethicon.
12
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you ultimately receive
13
     1099s from Ethicon that were appropriate and
14
     accurate?
15
              Not for all of those years involved.
16
              Did you have any role in the marketing of
17
     TVT Abbrevo?
18
              I had a role in making the video. That was
19
     my role in the TVT Abbrevo. That was my role.
2.0
              So all you did with TVT Abbrevo was make
     the video?
21
22
              Well, I helped with the narration on the
23
     video, you know, describing key procedural steps.
     That was my position on TVT Abbrevo. That was my
24
25
     role in the project.
```

Page 92 Do you know how much Ethicon makes on each 1 2 Abbrevo it sells? 3 I know the list price, but I don't know 4 what their margin is. You've never reviewed any documents from 5 Ethicon that discuss their profit margins on the TVT 6 7 Abbrevo? Α 8 Never. How much money do you make on each Abbrevo 9 10 that you put in? 11 MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation. 12 ahead. 13 That would depend on the insurer. 14 (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Well, how about for 15 -- well, what private insurance do you take? 16 Α We take United. We take Rocky Mountain 17 Health Plan. We see some Kaiser, Aetna, Cigna. 18 Okay. Do you have any idea, on any of 19 those, what prices you charge or what you make on 2.0 each Abbrevo you put in? 21 MR. SNELL: Form and foundation as to "you 22 charge." 23 University of Physicians, Incorporated, 24 submits the bill, and the bill -- typically just for 25 the surgical portion of the procedural, the surgeon's

```
Page 93
     fee is around $3,000. Typically we receive 6- to
 1
 2
     $700 for the procedure.
 3
               (By Mr. Kuntz) You still believe the Burch
         0
 4
     procedure is still within the standard of care,
 5
     correct?
 6
         Α
              Correct.
 7
              You believe pubovaginal slings are still
         0
     within the standard of care?
 8
 9
         Α
              Yes.
10
              And do you still teach Burch and
11
     pubovaginal sling at the University of Colorado?
12
              Pubovaginal sling. Burch, I do such a
         Α
13
     limited number of them that it's difficult for me to
14
     teach that.
15
              Do they still teach the Burch procedure at
16
     Duke University?
17
              I believe so.
18
              Do they still teach pubovaginal slings at
19
     Duke University?
2.0
              I believe so.
21
              And you'd agree with me that there's many
22
     medical schools and universities throughout the
23
     United States that still teach the Burch and
24
     pubovaginal sling?
25
              MR. SNELL:
                          Foundation objection.
                                                  Go
```

```
Page 94
     ahead.
 1
 2
              You'd have to tell me which years.
                                                   I think
 3
     in recent years people are starting to relearn, if
 4
     you will, or teach those procedures again.
              There was a big gap of probably about 10 to
 5
     15 years where residents and fellows weren't learning
 6
 7
     those procedures because they weren't really being
 8
     taught or they were being done in such few numbers
     that it was hard for people to feel comfortable doing
 9
10
     those procedures after graduation.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) But today, as we sit here
12
     now, there's numerous medical schools that are
13
     teaching the Burch and pubovaginal sling, correct?
14
              MR. SNELL: Objection, asked -- sorry.
15
     Object to form, asked and answered.
16
         Α
              In 2014, I believe that people are learning
17
     those procedures.
18
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Right. Well, the medical
19
     school that you trained at and went to still teaches
2.0
     both, correct?
21
              Well, I did my fellowship at Duke
22
     University. I didn't do medical school there.
                                                      Τ
23
     went to medical school at Temple University, and I
24
     don't have as close ties to Temple. So I'm not aware
25
     of what they do at Temple University at this point.
```

```
Page 95
              But Duke University still teaches the Burch
 1
 2
     and pubovaginal sling?
 3
              I would agree with that.
         Α
 4
              And they still offer the Burch and
 5
     pubovaginal sling?
 6
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation, vague.
 7
              I suspect they do. I have no reason to
         Α
 8
     believe they don't.
 9
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What are all the hospitals
     you have current privileges at?
10
11
              All of them are in Colorado, and all of
         Α
12
     them are within our network. It's -- the University
13
     of Colorado Hospital, that's where I spend 95 percent
14
     of my time. Veterans Administration Hospital in
15
     Denver. The Denver Health Medical Center, which is
16
     in Denver. It's a public hospital in Denver. And
17
     then The Children's Hospital of Colorado.
18
              Have you ever had your privileges revoked
19
     or suspended or limited in any way?
2.0
              No.
         Α
              Have you ever been sued for malpractice?
21
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
              How many times?
         Q
24
         Α
              At least two or three times.
25
         0
              Any of those cases involve pelvic mesh?
```

```
Page 96
 1
         Α
              No.
 2
                          Jeff, can we take another break
              MR. SNELL:
 3
     whenever you get to a good stopping point. Our food
 4
     has been here for a while.
              MR. KUNTZ: No, that's fine.
 5
                                             Take a break.
 6
              (Recess from 4:06 p.m. to 4:45 p.m.)
              (Exhibits 6 through 28 were marked.)
 7
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, I think when we
 8
         Q
 9
     left off you were telling me about your malpractice
10
     claims and said none had involved pelvic mesh.
11
         Α
              Personal malpractice claims against me?
12
         0
              Yes.
13
         Α
              That's correct.
14
              What were the issues in the three
15
     malpractice cases against you?
16
         Α
              The first case was a case that a guy had
     some issues with the way his penis looked after an
17
18
     urethroplasty operation. That was a male patient,
19
     and they never followed through with the lawsuit.
                                                          So
2.0
     nothing came of that.
21
              Second case was a patient who we -- it
22
     really wasn't a lawsuit, but we did reach settlement.
23
     We settled with the patient before there ever was a
24
     lawsuit, and that was a patient who had complications
25
     from insertion of an artificial urinary sphincter
```

```
Page 97
     device.
 1
 2
              Third case was a patient that had alleged
 3
     that there was a delay in diagnosis of cancer, and he
 4
     never pursued the case. He filed it but never
 5
     pursued it.
 6
              Prior to using the TVT Retropubic device,
 7
     what devices did you use to treat stress urinary
     incontinence?
 8
              So you're speaking of what I did from 2002
 9
10
     to 2004 at my own practice?
11
         0
              Correct.
12
              I used the American Medical Systems Sparc.
13
     I used the American Medical Systems BioArk.
14
     the allograft fascia and autologous fascia to do
     pubovaginal sling. And I did bulking agents using
15
16
     collagen.
17
              MR. KEITH: Jeff, let's stop and go off the
     record for one second.
18
19
              (Discussion off the record.)
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you ever use the
         Q
21
     Protegen device, Doctor?
22
         Α
                   That existed before I had graduated.
              No.
23
              I want to talk about revisions performed by
24
     you. How many mesh revisions did you perform in the
25
     year 2014?
```

```
Page 98
              2014. So in this past year?
 1
         Α
 2
              Yes.
         0
 3
              Somewhere in and around 40 to 50.
         Α
 4
         Q
              Did you do, actually, any revisions where
 5
     you removed the entire mesh?
 6
         Α
              Yes.
 7
         Q
              How many?
              About four or five.
 8
         Α
              Were these all your patients or patients
 9
10
     that were referred to you?
11
              This year I would say probably 99 percent.
         Α
12
     So if the number was 50, I would say 47 or 48 were
13
     referred to me.
14
              Did the referring -- well, strike that.
15
              To your knowledge, did the implanting
16
     physicians, who put that mesh in, know you were doing
     revision or removal surgeries on their patients?
17
18
              Most of them did.
         Α
19
              How do you know that?
2.0
              I communicated with them by phone, by fax,
         A
21
     by e-mail, by written communication in terms of
22
     getting a referral from them for the problem and
23
     sending communication back to them.
              The ones that I didn't have communication
24
25
     with were usually someone who maybe moved into the
```

```
Page 99
     area and their original surgery was out of state and
 1
 2
     I didn't know that referring physician or have any
 3
     relationship with them.
 4
              Do you know how many TVT Abbrevos that
 5
     you've revised or removed?
 6
              In 2014?
         Α
 7
              In 2014.
         0
 8
         Α
              Maybe one or two.
              How many revisions did you perform in 2013
 9
10
     of all the SUI products?
11
              Somewhere around 40.
         Α
12
         0
              Do you know how many of those were TVT
13
     products?
14
              The whole family of products?
         Α
15
              Yeah, the whole family of products.
         0
16
         Α
              Probably about one-quarter of them.
17
              And I don't think I asked this.
                                                The same
         Q
18
     question. 2004 (sic) after -- from the 50 revisions
19
     you did, do you know how many were out of the TVT
     line of products?
20
21
              In 2014?
22
         Q
              Yes.
23
              Well, I'd like to be clear on that.
24
     would say each year it was -- about 25 percent were
25
     Ethicon products, and of the 50, I'm speaking of all
```

```
Page 100
     transvaginal mesh, both for prolapse, as well as for
 1
 2
     incontinence.
 3
              So your 50 revisions in 2014 included
 4
     slings and POP products?
 5
              That's correct.
 6
              Do you know how many were slings versus
         0
 7
     POP?
              It's about 50/50. So let's say 25 for POP;
 8
         Α
 9
     25 for sling surgery. In really all of the years,
     that's been a pretty consistent number.
10
11
             Okay. So for every year, it's been about
         0
     half and half POP -- half POP revisions and half
12
13
     sling revisions?
14
         Α
              Yes.
15
              In 2013, you did 40 revisions you think.
     How about in 2012?
16
17
              Less than that. Maybe 30 or so.
                                                 38, 35.
     It's in that article that I published in
18
19
     International Urogynecology. There's a bar graph --
2.0
     not a bar graph. There's a scatter plot, if you
21
     will, showing it. If I can refer to that, I can give
22
     you more precise numbers.
23
              So I'm opening that up, and I believe we
24
     marked it as Exhibit 4. So let's look at -- well,
25
     the data goes to 2012. It looks like there was a
```

Page 101 total of 50. 1 2 So I would say from 2012, 2013, 2014 it's 3 plateaued. I've been doing about 50 cases a year for 4 the last three years. Have the number of revisions in 5 6 complications increased in the last three years? 7 MR. SNELL: Objection, compound. 8 Α No. 9 (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. So you believe there are the same amount of mesh complications in, say, 10 11 2011 as there is in 2014? 12 2012, '13, and '14 I believe are the same. Α 2011, I have 40. 2012, there was 50. And then 13 14 that's where it plateaued at 2012. What about 2010? 15 0 16 Α 2010, it looks like there's around 26, 27. 2009? 17 0 18 Α Similar amount. 27, maybe 28. 2008? 19 Q 2.0 Α 2008, it was around 18. 21 Have you ever performed a revision surgery 0 because the patient was reporting pain? 22 23 That's the primary reason. 24 Okay. Have you ever done a revision Q 25 surgery because the patient was reporting

```
Page 102
     dyspareunia?
 1
 2
         Α
              Yes.
 3
              Have you ever performed a revision surgery
         0
 4
     because the patient suffered an erosion?
 5
         Α
              Exposure through the vaginal wall?
 6
              An exposure through the vaginal wall.
         0
 7
         Α
              Yes.
 8
              What do you believe Mrs. Perry had?
         Q
 9
              She had an exposure through the vaginal
10
     wall, vaginal mesh exposure.
11
              Are you doing as many surgeries now to
12
     treat mesh complications as you are implanting
13
     meshes?
14
         Α
              No.
15
              What do you think the ratio is?
         0
16
         Α
              For incontinence or prolapse?
17
              Incontinence.
         0
18
         Α
              With respect to incontinence, I would say
     in 2014, you know, we did 50 mesh revisions, 25 for
19
2.0
     incontinence, 25 for prolapse. And I probably did in
21
     and around 80 to 100 SUI surgeries. So that ratio
22
     would be close to two to one, I guess.
23
              And is that incontinence surgeries with a
24
     mesh device that are 80 to 100?
25
         Α
              No.
                   50 percent of my incontinence
```

Page 103 surgeries involve mesh. 50 percent don't. 1 2 Okay. So we can say 40 to 50 SUI mesh 3 placements a year and 25 revisions of mesh SUI 4 surgeries a year? Yeah. 5 So still at around two to one. 6 25 SUI mesh sling revisions and 50 or so primary 7 implants. 8 And you obviously believe all those 9 revision surgeries you did were medically necessary, 10 correct? 11 I felt strongly about the indication, and Α 12 the patients desired me to do the surgery, so yes. 13 Did you know whether any of those patients 0 14 were involved in litigation against a mesh 15 manufacturer? Yeah. I'm aware of at least, say, 8 or 10 16 17 patients. 18 And you performed surgery on them because 19 you thought it was medically necessary and not because of a lawsuit, correct? 2.0 21 MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and 22 answered. It misstates. 23 I felt that the indications were valid. 24 (By Mr. Kuntz) When did you start teaching Q

25

mesh complications courses at society organizations

```
Page 104
     or conventions?
 1
 2
              I've given lectures. I haven't taught
 3
     courses. I think there's a big difference between
 4
     those two things.
              Okay. Well, when did you start giving
 5
 6
     lectures?
 7
              I'm going to look at my CV to give you the
     exact date. So if I have a moment here.
 8
 9
              (Pause.)
10
              It looks like somewhere in and around 2008.
11
              Is the first time you gave a lecture on the
         0
12
     treatment of mesh complications?
13
                    I've given lectures previous on
14
     complications from other type of surgeries more
15
     related to male incontinence, but specific for, you
16
     know, female prolapse and incontinence surgery
17
     procedures involving mesh, 2008.
18
              Have you ever given any lectures on
19
     long-term mesh -- or strike that.
2.0
              Have you ever given any lectures on
21
     complications related to the Burch procedure?
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
              And when was that?
24
              That was the same lecture. So that lecture
25
     was entitled Surgical -- or I'm sorry --
```

```
Page 105
     Complications of Prolapse and Incontinence Surgery, a
 1
 2
     Step-wise Approach, Jackson Hole Seminars, February
 3
     2006.
            That was one lecture.
 4
         Q
              Where was that -- who was that lecture for?
 5
         Α
              That was --
 6
              MR. SNELL: Form, compound. Go ahead.
 7
              -- a group of urologists at a meeting in
         Α
     Jackson Hole, Wyoming. Probably about 80 to 100
 8
 9
     people in attendance.
10
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Who sponsored the lecture?
11
         Α
              There's no sponsor. It's -- it's a
12
     scientific meeting, a seminar. It's a seven-day
13
     meeting. That was one of six talks I gave there as
14
     an invited speaker. It wasn't sponsored by industry.
15
              Did you bring that lecture with you today?
         0
              I believe that's on the USB.
16
17
              What do you believe the long-term
18
     complications for the Burch procedure are?
19
              Probably the biggest one would be voiding
20
     dysfunction. So the Burch procedure could be too
21
     tight, and then that could lead to urethral
22
     obstruction, which results in urinary retention,
23
     bladder incomplete emptying, and eventual bladder
24
     decompensation.
25
              What else?
         0
```

Page 106

- 1 A Other risks with the procedure could be
- 2 suture perforation through the vaginal wall, suture
- 3 perforation into the urethra or into the bladder.
- 4 There can be wound-related complications, wound
- 5 dehiscense, seroma, hernia, wound pain.
- If the procedure is done laproscopically,
- 7 there could be laproscopic-related complications from
- 8 trocars, such as bowel injury, injuries related to
- 9 the CO2 insufflation into the abdomen. But the main
- 10 ones center around voiding dysfunction.
- 11 **Q** Do you believe that chronic or long-term
- dyspareunia is a risk with the Burch procedure?
- 13 A I would say it's a very small risk, but
- 14 yes, it's a risk.
- 15 **Q** Do you have any literature to support that
- 16 it is a risk?
- 17 **A** I don't have anything in front of me. Let
- 18 me take a look at my Summary of Opinions.
- I don't -- I think it would be rare, but,
- 20 you know, if you had a suture perforate through the
- 21 vaginal wall, then potentially that could cause
- 22 dyspareunia. That seems logical to me.
- 23 As you sit here today, you don't have any
- 24 literature on your Summary of Opinions and you can't
- 25 recall any that talks about chronic or long-term

```
Page 107
     dyspareunia being a risk of the Burch procedure --
 1
 2
                          Objection, form.
              MR. SNELL:
 3
              (By Mr. Kuntz) -- correct?
         0
 4
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. It misstates.
 5
              I don't have that in my Summary of
 6
     Opinions, and I can't think of an article offhand,
7
     no.
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Do you believe that
 8
         Q
     chronic, long-term pain is a risk of the Burch
 9
10
     procedure?
11
         Α
              Potentially, yes.
12
              Do you have any literature to support your
         0
13
     opinion or can you cite me any literature to support
14
     your opinion that chronic pain is a risk of the Burch
15
     procedure?
16
              MR. SNELL: If you need to go through your
17
     materials, you can go through them.
18
              I'm going to just take a look at my
     materials here.
19
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay.
         0
21
              MR. KEITH: Just for the record, he's
22
     looking through materials that are contained in the
23
     orange folder, which is Exhibit 8, I think.
24
              (Pause.)
25
         Α
              I don't -- I don't see anything in front of
```

Page 108 me in terms of an article on Burch reporting 1 2 dyspareunia. 3 (By Mr. Kuntz) And I think we talked about 0 4 that before, but my question was: Show me any 5 literature you brought or relied on or remember reading that chronic, long-term pain is a risk 6 7 associated with the Burch procedure. Okay. I can't produce that. 8 Α 9 Okay. 10 MR. SNELL: You can go through your 11 materials, if you want to, and take your time. He's 12 asking for a specific article. 13 (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, did you review 14 every single document you brought with you today or 15 that was supplied to you by plaintiff's counsel? 16 Α I have, but, you know, it's been over a 17 six-month period, as I mentioned earlier, and there's 18 probably well over 4- or 500 articles in front of me 19 here. So I'm sorry if I'm a little slow in producing 2.0 things. 21 That's all right. And you reviewed No. 22 all of those articles -- 4- or 500 articles, and they

23 form the basis of your opinion in the -- I think you

24 told me 45 -- 55 hours you spent reviewing this case,

25 you reviewed all 400 articles?

Page 109 Some of the --1 Α No. 2 Objection. MR. SNELL: Hold on. 3 misstates. Also, asked and answered. Go ahead. 4 A Some of the articles are articles that I've 5 had for years and articles that I may have already 6 been familiar with well before I agreed to be an 7 expert in this case. 8 (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you ever told -- when Q 9 you're giving lectures or teaching at AUGS, that 10 there's papers out there that suggest that with the 11 Burch procedure, you can have long-term, chronic 12 pain? 13 I wouldn't have referenced the Burch 14 procedure specifically, but certainly I've mentioned 15 that long-term, chronic pain or dyspareunia is a risk 16 of any incontinence and prolapse surgery. 17 Do you have any literature to specifically 18 support those opinions, that long-term dyspareunia or 19 chronic pain are associated with the Burch procedure? 2.0 You can't cite me any as we sit here in your depo 21 today? 22 Α That's correct. 23 Okay. And you would agree with me that 24 chronic pain can be associated with the implantation 25 mesh SUI device, correct?

```
Page 110
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. Go ahead.
 1
 2
              Incorrect.
         Α
 3
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You don't believe that
         0
 4
     long-term pain is an adverse risk associated with the
 5
     placement of mesh?
 6
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, application.
 7
     It misstates the prior testimony. Go ahead.
 8
         Α
              I believe the issue is with transient pain
 9
     and then pain related to exposure or perforation
10
     that's untreated.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So do you -- I mean, can
12
     you answer my question, Doctor? Do you believe
13
     there's long-term pain associated with the placement
14
     of mesh SUI devices?
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
15
16
     answered.
17
              Yeah, I believe I've already answered that.
18
     So I'm not going to answer that again.
19
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, what's the answer to
20
     the question?
21
              My answer was that I think if it's
22
     associated with a complication -- if the mesh is
23
     associated with the complication, then you can have
24
     long-term pain, but I think the way you stated that
25
     was overly broad.
```

```
Page 111
              So, you know, mesh implants, in general, I
 1
 2
     don't find associated with chronic pain. If someone
 3
     has a foreign body in the urinary tract, then that
 4
     can cause them pain.
 5
              What if somebody has an exposure through
 6
     their vaginal wall, can they have long-term pain?
 7
         Α
              If it's untreated, yes.
 8
              Does the University of Colorado have a
         0
 9
     center set up to deal with mesh complications?
10
              We have physicians that see patients with
     those issues, but we don't have a dedicated center.
11
12
         0
              Do you advertise for those sort of
13
     complications on your Web site?
14
              We list on our Web site what procedures we
15
     perform, but we don't -- I wouldn't consider it an
16
     advertisement.
17
              Do you know of any university centers in
         Q
18
     the United States who are now refusing to use mesh
19
     products?
20
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation.
              I have heard that Mayo Clinic maybe, but
21
22
     it's -- it wasn't from personal communication with
23
     any colleagues there. Just, you know, what I've
24
     heard through various people.
25
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you heard of any
```

```
Page 112
     centers that are refusing now to use mesh?
 1
 2
              MR. SNELL: Objection, vague as to
 3
     application.
 4
         Α
              Yeah.
 5
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, Doctor, you go to
 6
     AUGS meetings and a lot of society meetings, and you
 7
     talk to colleagues all the time, don't you?
              I do go to some AUGS meetings, but I am a
 8
 9
     urologist by trade. I tend to go to -- SUFU and AUA
10
     are the meetings I more regularly attend.
                                                 I maybe go
11
     to AUGS once every four or five years.
12
              Okay. Any meetings you go to, have you
         Q
13
     ever had discussions with anybody about major US
14
     universities who are no longer using mesh?
15
              No.
         Α
16
              Okay. Have you ever heard that from
17
     anybody?
18
         Α
              Yeah.
19
              MR. SNELL: Form -- hold on.
                                             Form, vaque,
2.0
     overbroad.
21
              I did hear that about the Mayo Clinic, but
22
     that wasn't at a meeting.
23
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So is the Mayo Clinic the
24
     only institution you've heard about that has stopped
25
     using mesh?
```

```
Page 113
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, overbroad,
 1
     lacks foundation as to "Mayo Clinic stopping using
 2
 3
     mesh" in general.
 4
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this,
 5
     Doctor: Have you ever seen any internal Ethicon
     documents that talk about different centers in the
 6
 7
     U.S. that have stopped using mesh?
 8
         Α
              No.
 9
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. Overbroad as
     to application, just "mesh."
10
11
         Α
              I have not.
12
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Are you aware of any
13
     countries in the world that have stopped using mesh?
14
              MR. SNELL: Same objection.
15
         Α
              No.
16
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you ever done any
     research to see if there are centers in the United
17
18
     States who have stopped using mesh?
19
              MR. SNELL: Same objection, "mesh," vague.
2.0
              I'm aware of research and articles looking
         Α
21
     at practice patterns of physicians.
22
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And what articles are
23
     those?
24
              I believe Howard Goldman did a study
25
     looking at practice patterns in the United States,
```

```
Page 114
     and I don't have the article in front of me right
 1
 2
     now, but I have reviewed that recently, where it had
 3
     mentioned that as many as 95 percent of physicians
 4
     performing mid-urethral sling, in terms of those
 5
     physicians who treat stress urinary incontinence, as
 6
     opposed to only 5 percent of physicians doing the
 7
     Burch procedure or other more traditional procedures.
 8
         Q
              Do you know when that study was published?
              I believe that's fairly recent. In 2014,
 9
10
     in International Urogynecology Journal, I believe.
11
              And did you read any of the study sites in
         Q
12
     that paper as to where they came up with that number?
13
              I would have to go back and look at that
14
     again to see where he got that number, but I believe
15
     it was either from survey data or from looking at the
16
     Medicare data.
17
              Have you ever reviewed the Clemons article
18
     that's cited in the AUGS position statement?
19
              I'd have to see that article. I may have.
     I'm familiar with Dr. Clemons, and I'm familiar with
20
21
     the AUGS statement, but I'm not certain which article
22
     you're referring to.
23
              Well, I'm talking about the Clemons article
24
     that you list in your Summary of Opinions and that's
25
     cited in the AUGS statement. Have you reviewed that
```

```
Page 115
     article?
 1
 2
              Yeah. The article from 2013?
         Α
 3
             Yes.
         0
 4
         Α
              Yes.
 5
              Okay. Were you aware that Scotland has
     suspended the use of all mesh, including synthetic --
 6
 7
     or strike that.
 8
              Are you aware that Scotland has suspended
 9
     the use of all mesh even for SUI products?
10
              MR. SNELL: Foundation objection.
11
     ahead.
12
              I'm familiar with the N-I-C-E, the NICE
         Α
13
     data --
14
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Right.
              -- but I'm not familiar specific to what
15
16
     they're doing in Scotland but maybe the UK as a
     whole.
17
18
              So you're familiar with the NICE
     quidelines?
19
2.0
         Α
              I am.
21
              And you've read those thoroughly?
         0
22
         Α
              I've read them, yes.
23
              And I think they support some of your
     opinions in this case that the mid-urethral sling is
24
25
     the gold standard?
```

```
Page 116
              That's correct.
 1
         Α
 2
              And you've relied on that document to
 3
     support that opinion, correct?
 4
         Α
              Correct.
              And which NICE guidelines did you review?
 5
 6
     When were they issued?
 7
              Let me go ahead and pull it out of the
         Α
     orange folder here.
 8
 9
              Sure.
10
              MR. KEITH: Doc, tell me what exhibit
11
     number that orange folder is so we're clear. It's on
12
     the front of the orange folder.
13
              THE DEPONENT:
                             Eight.
14
                          Eight. Okay.
              MR. KEITH:
15
              But now I'm going into Exhibit 6. This is
16
     one of the black binders, and Exhibit 6 starts out
17
     with the AUGS statement, then goes into the NICE
18
     2013, Urinary Incontinence -- The Management of
19
     Urinary Incontinence, issued September 2013.
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) But no knowledge, as we sit
         Q
21
     here today, that Scotland suspended the use of --
22
              (Reporter requested clarification.)
23
              (By Mr. Kuntz) But today -- as we sit here
24
     today, you've never been provided or have no
25
     knowledge about Scotland's decision to suspend the
```

```
Page 117
     use of all mesh, including that mesh in stress
 1
 2
     urinary incontinence products?
 3
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation. Go
 4
     ahead.
 5
              I'm not aware specific of what Scotland's
 6
     position is. So that's correct. I'm not aware of
 7
     it. I shouldn't say -- I'm unaware of that, sir.
 8
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you believe the Abbrevo
         Q
 9
     product uses the transobturator approach?
10
         Α
              Certainly.
11
              And would you agree that there's a lack of
         0
12
     long-term outcome data for transobturator-approach --
13
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
14
             (By Mr. Kuntz) -- slings?
         0
15
              I would disagree.
         Α
16
         Q
             You disagree with that statement?
17
              I do. I disagree with that statement.
         Α
18
         0
              Okay. Do you disagree with the statement
19
     in the AUGS position statement that says, "Data is
2.0
     only good for up to one year"?
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form and foundation.
21
22
     It misstates the document.
23
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, let's pull it out.
24
              Okay. Let's pull it out. I'm aware of
25
     Dr. de Leval's data going out more than three years
```

```
Page 118
 1
     for TVT obturator.
 2
              Have you ever seen any internal documents
         Q
 3
     from Ethicon talking about the deficiencies or
 4
     problems with Dr. de Leval's TVT-O studies?
 5
         Α
              No.
              Those weren't provided to you?
 6
         Q
 7
              MR. SNELL: Form objection --
              I don't have --
 8
         Α
              MR. SNELL: -- foundation.
 9
10
         Α
              I don't have those.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So no documents discussing
         0
12
     the decision in Dr. de Leval's TVT-O studies were --
13
     formed the basis for your opinions in this case,
14
     correct?
15
              Correct.
         Α
16
         Q
              Do you have the AUGS statement in front of
17
     you?
18
              The position statement on restriction of
         Α
19
     surgical options for pelvic floor disorders.
2.0
              Let me find the right one. The 2014
         0
21
     position statement.
22
              I apologize. I can't find my copy.
                                                    I have
23
     to find it. Give me one second. Do you guys have a
24
     copy of it there?
25
              MR. SNELL: Which one were you talking
```

```
Page 119
     about, Jeff? You said the --
 1
 2
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          The AUGS --
 3
              MR. SNELL: -- two thousand --
 4
              MR. KUNTZ: -- one. 14, position
 5
     statement. The one you used in every trial a hundred
 6
     times.
 7
              MR. SNELL: Let's see if I have it.
 8
     don't know which one that is. I'm trying to see if
 9
     we have one printed out here. The problem is, we've
10
     got like -- he's got like a million documents all
11
     over this table, and it's kind of getting
12
     unmanageable with all this crap here.
13
              MR. KUNTZ: Well, I will get one on the
14
     break.
15
              MR. KEITH: I don't have one in the folder,
16
    do I, or the box?
17
              MR. KUNTZ: I thought we did, but maybe
18
     not. Maybe it didn't make its way in there.
19
              MR. SNELL: The AUGS/SUFU statement -- the
20
    position statement --
21
              MR. KUNTZ: Yeah.
22
              MR. SNELL: -- that's the one you want?
23
              MR. KUNTZ: Yeah.
24
              MR. SNELL: Okay.
25
              MR. KUNTZ: Yeah. I'm going to have to get
```

Page 120 my copy, so I'll come back to it. 1 2 (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, do you believe --3 and I think you state in your opinions that the TVT 4 Abbrevo is the gold standard? 5 I believe I said that the TVT is the gold standard, the family. I think that the TVT Abbrevo 6 is an obturator sling, and it can be included in that 7 8 conversation. What's your definition of "gold standard"? 9 10 "Gold standard" is probably an overutilized 11 term, but it's not the standard of care. It's 12 probably just reflective of what's done most commonly 13 and what's the most reliable procedure. 14 Doesn't gold standard mean there's only one 15 thing that can be the gold standard? 16 Α Not necessarily. 17 Okay. So you believe that the TVT Classic 18 or Retropubic is the gold standard, you believe the TVT Abbrevo is the gold standard, you believe the TVT 19 2.0 Obturator is the gold standard, and you believe the 21 TVT Exact is the gold standard, correct? 22 I believe that those are all effective 23 procedures similar to what's stated in the SUFU/AUGS 24 statement, that I believe that the mid-urethral 25 sling, both retropubic and transobturator, have been

```
Page 121
     extensively studied. They're safe and effective,
 1
 2
     reliable treatments.
 3
              I know that from the literature, and I know
 4
     that from my own practice.
 5
              How many studies have there been done on
 6
     the TVT Abbrevo?
 7
         Α
              I know of at least seven to eight good
     studies that have been done.
 8
              And what studies -- are those studies you
 9
10
     brought with you?
11
              I have copies of them, yeah, and they've
         Α
12
     been submitted on the -- on the USB, but, you know,
13
     for --
14
             Do you believe that the AUGS statement
15
     applies to the TVT Abbrevo?
16
              I do because I believe the TVT Abbrevo
17
     meets the criteria of the transobturator sling.
18
              Okay. You would agree with me that the
19
     AUGS statement only applies to full-length slings,
2.0
     correct?
21
              MR. SNELL: Form, misstates.
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you know that one way or
22
         Q
23
     the other?
24
              I believe in their justification -- in
25
     Statement No. 3, it says, "Full-length mid-urethral
```

```
Page 122
     slings."
 1
 2
              And do you believe that TVT Abbrevo is a
         Q
 3
     full-length sling?
 4
              I believe it's in its own category, really,
 5
     but it's a transobturator sling that courses through
 6
     all the necessary structures in the obturator
 7
     foramen.
 8
              So what doesn't it do? It doesn't go into
 9
     the fat and skin maybe like a TVT Obturator
10
     full-length.
11
              Doctor, that's not my question. Do you
         0
12
     think the TVT Abbrevo is a full-length sling?
13
              I would have to --
         Α
14
              That's a "yes" or "no" question.
         0
15
              All right. No. I would say no.
         Α
16
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
17
              (By Mr. Kuntz)
         Q
                              No --
18
              MR. SNELL: He can answer how he wants.
19
     It's not a "yes" or "no."
2.0
              MR. KUNTZ: Oh, yes, it is.
21
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You just said "no" -- the
         0
22
     answer to the question is "no," correct, Doctor?
23
              MR. SNELL: Same objection, asked and
24
     answered.
25
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) I asked you --
```

```
Page 123
              MR. KUNTZ: No, Burt. Absolutely not.
 1
                                                       Ιt
 2
     is a "yes" or "no" question.
 3
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Is the TVT Abbrevo a
         0
 4
     full-length sling, Doctor?
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
 5
 6
     answered. Go ahead.
              I said no. I would like to say that, you
 7
     know, it's a 12-centimeter sling. I think it's
 8
     plenty long.
 9
10
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. So what is the
11
     length of the TVT Abbrevo?
12
              12 centimeters.
         Α
13
              Okay. What is the length of a TVT-O?
14
         Α
              Out of the box or what's left in the
15
    patient?
16
         Q
              Both.
17
              Out of the box is 45 centimeters. What's
18
     typically left in the patient is around 18
     centimeters, somewhere between 15 and 18 centimeters.
19
2.0
              Do you know -- do you know how Ethicon
         0
     defines -- strike that.
21
22
              Do you have any knowledge as to what --
23
     strike that again. I apologize.
              Do you have any idea of what Ethicon's
24
     definition of "mini sling" is?
25
```

```
Page 124
              I believe they followed what the FDA said.
 1
         Α
 2
     Mini slings need 522s, and that would be the TVT
 3
             So 8 centimeters or less.
     Secur.
 4
              Okay. So you believe Ethicon's definition
         Q
 5
     of "mini sling" is 8 centimeters or less?
 6
              That's my general understanding of it.
         Α
 7
              Have you ever seen any internal documents
         0
 8
     where Ethicon defines what they believe to be the
 9
     parameters of a mini sling?
10
         Α
              No.
11
              Have you ever reviewed any Ethicon
         0
12
     documents that called the Abbrevo a mini sling?
13
         Α
              No.
14
              You would agree that the safety and
15
     effectiveness of mini slings for female SUI has not
16
     been adequately demonstrated? Is that a fair
17
     statement?
18
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vaque,
     foundation.
19
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this,
         Q
21
             Have you ever been on the FDA Web site to
22
     see what they say about mini slings?
23
              I've read the FDA, PHN, and the update.
         Α
24
     I'm aware of those statements.
25
         Q
              Okay. Are you aware of the FDA statement
```

```
Page 125
     that says, "The safety and effectiveness of mini
 1
 2
     slings for female SUI has not been adequately
 3
     demonstrated"?
 4
         Α
              Yes.
 5
              Okay. Do you agree with the FDA on that
 6
     statement?
 7
         Α
              Yes.
 8
              You no longer use the TVT Retropubic,
         Q
 9
     correct?
10
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
11
     answered.
12
              Yeah.
         Α
13
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You still believe the TVT
14
     Retropubic is the gold standard, and you don't use
15
     it, right, Doctor?
              I use the TVT Exact, and that's a
16
17
     retropubic tape. And I feel that that is part of
18
     that family of products that we mentioned that are,
     you know, mid-urethral slings, either retropubic or
19
2.0
     transobturator.
21
              I put it all together. I don't try to
     separate these products the way you are trying to do.
22
23
              And that's my point. The AUGS statement is
24
     talking about many different products, and it doesn't
25
     separate them, does it?
```

```
Page 126
              The AUGS statement says both retropubic and
 1
 2
     transobturator. I think that's where they try to
 3
     have the separation, between retropubic and
 4
     transobturator.
              And transobturator full-length sling,
 6
     correct?
 7
              The statement says, "Full-length
         Α
     mid-urethral slings."
 8
              Okay. Do you know any of the authors of
 9
     the AUGS position statement?
10
11
         Α
              I do.
12
              Did you know that Dr. Goldman has had
13
     consulting agreements with Ethicon in the past?
14
              MR. SNELL: Foundation objection. Go
15
     ahead.
16
              I've interacted with Dr. Goldman at Ethicon
17
     events. So I would assume that he had a relationship
18
     if he was at the same events I was at.
19
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you know that
2.0
     Dr. Miller had previous consulting agreements and
21
     relationships with Ethicon?
22
              I was aware that he had consulted with
23
     Boston Scientific, but I didn't know about Ethicon.
24
              Do you know Dr. Rovner has had financial
25
     dealings and dealings with Ethicon?
```

```
Page 127
              MR. SNELL: Form, foundation.
 1
                                              Go ahead.
 2
              I have reviewed Dr. Rovner's deposition,
 3
     and I did see that in his deposition.
 4
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you also see in
 5
     Dr. Rovner's deposition where he's now doing more
     mesh revisions and complication surgeries than he is
 6
 7
     implanting meshes?
 8
              I don't recall that statement.
 9
              Would that surprise you?
10
              MR. SNELL:
                          Foundation, form.
11
              I know that he has experience with that.
         Α
12
     was part of a plenary session at the AUA this past
13
     year with Dr. Rovner and Dr. Sendor Herschorn.
14
     three of us did a session at the AUA in the spring of
15
     this year.
16
              So I'm familiar with him doing complication
17
     surgery, but I don't know what percentage that is of
18
     his overall practice.
19
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You don't recall reading
2.0
     that in his deposition one way or another?
21
              I don't recall reading that one way or
         Α
22
     another.
23
              I'm going to ask you about a few products,
24
     and tell me which one you believe is the gold
25
     standard. Mechanical-cut mesh TVT Retropubic.
                                                     Gold
```

```
Page 128
     standard?
 1
 2
              MR. SNELL: Form objection, vague.
 3
              I can't answer that.
         Α
 4
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Why not?
              Because I think I mentioned earlier there's
 5
     more than one gold standard. That's a family of
 6
 7
     products that go across various manufacturers that
     are part of that gold standard.
 8
 9
              So if you ask me that question about any
10
     mid-urethral transobturator or retropubic
11
     polypropylene Type 1 mesh, I would say it's a gold
12
     standard that meets the criteria of a mid-urethral
13
     sling as defined by the AUA, by AUGS, and by NICE.
14
              Have you ever seen any AUA documents that
15
     suggest that there's not adequate evidence to suggest
16
     which procedure for SUI treatment is the best?
17
              MR. SNELL:
                          Form, vaque.
18
              I think the AUA takes a very balanced
19
     approach. I think they -- if you look at the '98
     article and then in 2008, they look across all
20
21
     procedures, including the Burch, bladder neck slings,
22
     pubovaginal slings. And I think they -- they are
23
     very careful to just present the data in terms of
     what the risks and benefits are.
24
25
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So do you believe the AUA
```

```
Page 129
     thinks that mid-urethral slings are the gold standard
 1
 2
     for SUI treatment?
 3
              I would say that the AUA endorses that
         Α
 4
     procedure and is very comfortable standing behind
 5
     that procedure. They put out a position statement in
 6
     those regards, so they are supportive of the
 7
     procedure.
 8
             Do they believe it's the gold standard?
 9
              I don't think they use that terminology,
10
     but none of the professional societies use the
11
     statement "gold standard."
12
              So AUGS doesn't use "the gold standard" in
         0
13
     their last position statement? Is that your
14
     understanding?
15
              I would have to read every word of it
16
     again, but it says, "Both retropubic" --
17
              (Reporter asked the deponent to slow down.)
18
         Α
              "Full-length mid-urethral slings both" --
19
              (Reporter asked the deponent to slow down.)
2.0
              So I'll slow down and repeat that just for
         Α
21
     the court reporter.
22
              You know, "FDA clearly stated that
     polypropylene mid-urethral sling is safe and
23
     effective in the treatment of SUI."
24
25
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) With information up to one
```

```
Page 130
 1
     year, correct?
 2
              No, I believe the information goes well
 3
     beyond one year.
 4
              What's your basis for that opinion, Doctor?
 5
              That basis is on my review of over 75
     randomized trials and over 2,000 articles in the
 6
     medical literature over the last 15 years.
 7
              Tell me which 2,000 of those articles apply
 8
         0
 9
     to the product at issue in this case, the TVT
10
     Abbrevo.
11
              So if we want to start with TVT Abbrevo
         Α
12
     articles, we can start with the --
13
             Let's strike that.
         Q
14
              You agree that there's not 1,000 studies
15
     that address the TVT Abbrevo, correct?
16
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague,
17
     "address."
18
         Α
              There's not 1,000 studies on TVT Abbrevo.
19
         0
             (By Mr. Kuntz) Yeah. There's how many?
2.0
              8 to 10.
         Α
21
              Okay. Are any of those 8 to 10 studies
         0
22
     long-term randomized controlled trials?
23
              Well, I believe the Waltregny 2012 study
24
     has three-year data. So that's -- I consider three
25
     years a longer long-term.
```

```
Page 131
 1
              Is that the paper he published
         Q
 2
     with de Leval?
 3
              I know de Leval had a separate publication
 4
     in 2011. Let me see if de Leval was a coauthor on
 5
     that.
 6
              (Pause.)
 7
              I don't know the answer, if de Leval was
         Α
     part of that. I don't have the article in front of
 8
 9
     me.
10
              Okay. Did you read de Leval's three-year
         0
11
     publication or study?
12
              Comparing TVT Abbrevo to TVT Obturator,
         Α
13
     yes.
14
              Have you read his original study tracking
15
     -- three-year study tracking his modified TVT-O,
16
     which was the -- supposedly the Abbrevo?
17
              MR. SNELL: Form and foundation.
18
              I've read the study where
19
     Professor de Leval compares TVT Abbrevo to TVT
2.0
     Obturator. That's the study I'm referring to.
21
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you know that his
         0
22
     one-year study related to that comparison was
23
     initially rejected by publications?
24
              I wasn't aware of that, but that's not
25
     uncommon.
```

```
Page 132
              So you didn't know that, right?
 1
         Q
 2
              I have no way of knowing that, no.
 3
              Do you know how many products in that study
         0
 4
     were laser-cut versus mechanical-cut mesh?
 5
              Well, all of the TVT Abbrevo products would
 6
     have been laser-cut. That's how that product was
7
     offered from the beginning.
              So that's your understanding, that all the
 8
         0
 9
     Abbrevo's in that study was laser-cut mesh?
10
              That's my general understanding.
11
              Have you ever seen any documents or been
         0
12
     provided any documents by Ethicon's counsel
13
     suggesting otherwise?
14
         Α
              No.
15
              Was that a single-center study; do you
         0
16
     know?
17
              I suspect it was, but I don't know for
         Α
18
     sure.
19
              Have you ever seen any documents from
     Ethicon that Dr. de Leval was concerned that it was a
2.0
21
     single-center study?
22
         Α
              No.
23
              Do you know how many patients in the
24
     three-year follow-up underwent a physical exam at the
25
     last follow-up?
```

```
Page 133
              A physical exam?
 1
         Α
 2
              Yes.
         Q
 3
              I don't know the exact number.
         Α
 4
              Okay. Do you know in the one-year study --
         Q
 5
              MR. SNELL: You can ask for the study.
 6
     Jeff, do you have the study you can provide to him,
 7
     or do you want us to take a break and find it?
 8
              MR. KUNTZ: Take a break and find it, but
 9
     he --
10
              MR. SNELL: Look, Jeff. He's got literally
11
     hundreds of pages and all kinds of stuff over here.
12
              MR. KUNTZ: That's great, but he just
13
    brought up the study on his own for support of his
14
     opinion. So I'm asking him about it, and he doesn't
15
     know the answer to them.
16
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So as we --
17
              MR. SNELL: He said he's looked --
18
              (By Mr. Kuntz) -- sit here right now --
19
              MR. SNELL: -- at hundreds of papers.
20
              (All speaking simultaneously, and reporter
21
              requested clarification.)
22
              MR. SNELL: You asked him to look at a
23
     specific data point. I mean, we will find the study
24
     and --
              MR. KUNTZ: He brought up the study on his
25
```

```
Page 134
     own as support, and I asked him basic questions about
 1
 2
     them, and he doesn't know about them. So if --
 3
              MR. SNELL: That's a mischaracterization.
 4
              MR. KUNTZ: -- you want to go get them and
 5
     show them to him, go for it.
 6
              MR. SNELL: Let's take a break so he can
 7
     get the papers out -- the articles.
 8
              MR. KEITH: All right. Let's go off the
 9
     record.
10
              (Recess from 5:45 p.m. to 6:08 p.m.)
11
              MR. KUNTZ: Okay. We're back on the
12
     record?
13
              MR. KEITH: Yes.
14
              MR. KUNTZ: Let me find my note. Hold on
15
    one second. Are you guys ready?
16
              MR. KEITH: Yeah.
17
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Dr. Flynn, we were talking
18
     about the de Leval study.
19
         Α
              Yes.
20
              Have you ever reviewed any documents from
         Q
     Ethicon related to deficiencies in Dr. de Leval's
21
22
     study?
23
              MR. SNELL: Form, vague, "deficiencies."
24
             No, I have not.
         Α
25
         0
             (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you review his study
```

Page 135 over the break? 1 2 I located the study. I've reviewed it 3 previous. I just couldn't locate it. Now I've 4 located the one-year and the three-year data. 5 Do you know how many patients in that study 6 -- well, strike that. 7 You would agree with me that that wasn't 8 the exact Abbrevo product that was studied in that 9 series, correct? 10 MR. SNELL: Form, vaque. 11 Are you speaking to the one-year data or Α 12 the three-year data? 13 MR. KUNTZ: One-year data. 14 One-year data. I'm aware that this study 15 evaluates the original procedure versus the modified 16 procedure. 17 (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you know what the 18 modified procedure is that was used in that case? 19 It was a shortened tape. The first 2.0 modification related to the shortening of the tape to 21 12 centimeters. This was carried out directly in the 22 operating room. The suture loop was added to it. 23 That was another modification. Do you believe the modified product used in 24 25 this one-year study is the same product that was

```
Page 136
     eventually sold as the Abbrevo?
 1
 2
              I believe it represents the same product.
 3
              Is it the exact same product?
         0
 4
              MR. SNELL: Form, asked and answered.
 5
              I believe it represents it. I believe that
 6
     the study was well done.
 7
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you believe there was a
         Q
 8
     washout curve in this study?
              I'm not certain what you mean by "washout."
 9
10
              Have you reviewed any documents discussing
11
     a washout curve related to de Leval's expertise in
12
     this study?
13
              (Pause.)
              I don't see the word "washout" used in the
14
15
     article. I'm going through it right now.
16
         Q
              Do you recall reviewing any internal
17
     Ethicon documents about a washout curve related to
18
     this study?
19
         Α
              No.
2.0
              Do you know how many of the modified
         Q
21
     products use laser-cut mesh versus mechanical-cut
22
     mesh?
23
              Well, I believe I said earlier that I
24
     thought that all of them were laser-cut. And over
25
     the break, I did look at some data to say that it may
```

Page 137 have been half and half. 1 2 Okay. And were you shown that data by 3 Mr. Snell? 4 A I remember reading that in 5 Dr. Rosenzweig's deposition. And so I looked at Dr. Rosenzweig's deposition, and he's referring to, I 6 believe, an internal Ethicon e-mail where they made 7 reference about it being 50/50. 8 9 But prior to reading plaintiff's expert 10 deposition on the break, you did not know that half 11 the products were mechanical-cut mesh that were used 12 in that study for the modified product? 13 MR. SNELL: Objection. It misstates. I wasn't aware of it until I read the 14 15 deposition. I don't think it states in this de Leval 16 article. 17 (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. So without the 18 benefit of Dr. Rosenzweig's deposition or any internal documents from Ethicon, a physician out in 19 the public who found this article would have no idea 2.0 21 that half of the products used in the modified 22 products were mechanical-cut mesh, would they? 23 MR. SNELL: Objection, form. It misstates, 24 foundation. 25 Α Yeah.

```
Page 138
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you understand the
 1
         Q
 2
     question, Doctor?
 3
              Yeah, I do. I would say if they just
         Α
 4
     looked at this article alone, the article doesn't
 5
     state that, but there's a lot of other ways that they
 6
     could have been made aware of the --
 7
              Like --
         Q
 8
              -- the laser-cut.
 9
              You tell me one way a doctor reading that
10
     study would know that half the products were
11
     mechanical-cut mesh.
12
              Well, I wasn't speaking specific to the
13
     article. I was speaking to the product in general
14
     and their awareness before using the product.
15
              Would you be surprised if Dr. Luu testified
16
     that he did not know anything about laser-cut mesh
17
     prior to the time he implanted Mrs. Perry?
18
         Α
              Yes.
19
              MR. SNELL: Form, vague. Go ahead.
2.0
              I would be surprised. Dr. Luu went to the
         Α
21
     cadaver lab. Dr. Grier attended the lab.
                                                 There were
     other preceptors there. I think there was
22
23
     opportunity for that discussion to happen.
24
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You've testified earlier
25
     there's a thousand studies to support this product,
```

Page 139 the TVT Abbrevo. Is that true? 1 2 I was speaking about the family of TVT 3 products. 4 Okay. But you would agree there's not a 5 thousand studies to support the TVT Abbrevo? 6 MR. SNELL: Form. It misstates. I'm not going to agree to that statement. 7 8 0 (By Mr. Kuntz) How many of those thousand studies use laser-cut mesh that's used in the 9 10 Abbrevo? Have you ever done that analysis? 11 Yeah, I have, and I believe if you just Α 12 break down the literature, you know, by five-year 13 periods, it'd probably match the transition from 14 mechanical-cut to laser-cut. 15 So all literature involving products before 16 '06 would involve mechanical. After '06, it would be 17 more preferential towards laser. So I would think it 18 would be half and half. Doctor, you're guessing about that. 19 2.0 Nowhere in any of those studies after 2006 does it 21 say whether laser-cut or mechanical-cut mesh is used, 22 correct? 23 MR. SNELL: Form and foundation too. 24 I think it mentions specifically, at least 25 for the brand of products after their investigation,

Page 140 once it's an aftermarket study -- if you know what 1 2 the product is aftermarket, that's disclosed, and 3 that's something that you could be aware of. 4 Q (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, have you ever been 5 told by Ethicon or seen any documents what percentage 6 of products being used in the U.S. are mechanical-cut 7 versus laser-cut mesh? 8 I could speak of 2014, and I would say Α virtually all mid-urethral slings involving the 9 10 Ethicon product line, Boston Scientific product line, 11 and any of the popular products involve laser-cut That's what I can state. 12 mesh. 13 Do you have any idea percentage-wise how 14 many -- or what percentage of TVT Obturator products 15 sold in the United States use laser-cut mesh versus 16 mechanical-cut mesh? 17 In 2014? Α 18 0 Yes. 19 I don't use the TVT Obturator product right 20 now, so I don't know the exact number, but I would 21 think that it would be -- the majority would be 22 laser-cut. 23 Okay. And what about in 2013? 24 Similar answer. I would say after -- in

2011, most of the products were laser-cut.

25

Page 141 And I want to ask you -- in all the studies 1 2 that you're talking about after 2007, is there 3 anywhere in any of those studies where it says "laser-cut mesh is used versus mechanical-cut mesh"? 4 5 I think the products are identified in the aftermarket studies. And so if you're familiar with 6 7 the product, you would be familiar with how it's cut. 8 But for example, if we're looking at a Q study that talks about TVT-O in, say, 2009, you know 9 10 Ethicon was using both mechanical-cut mesh and 11 laser-cut mesh at that time, correct, in the TVT-O? 12 That was probably the transition period. Α 13 And none of those studies distinguish 14 whether the TVT-O they were using in the study or 15 comparing to another product are laser-cut TVT-Os or 16 mechanical-cut TVT-Os, do they? 17 MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation. 18 Α I believe we've been through this quite a 19 bit, but I believe that the laser-cut mesh is being 2.0 used commonly in 2014. There was a transition period 21 that we mentioned, and then before 2006, it was 22 mechanical-cut. 23 (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. So what year do you think that all the products started becoming 24 25 laser-cut mesh?

```
Page 142
                          Form.
                                 It misstates.
 1
              MR. SNELL:
 2
              TVT Abbrevo has always been laser-cut.
 3
     Exact, laser-cut. The Retropubic product, there were
 4
     options for that, similar to the Obturator.
 5
     Secur I also believe was always laser-cut.
 6
              So those are statements that I'm confident
 7
     in.
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. I guess we're not
 8
         Q
 9
     seeing eye to eye here.
10
               How would I know if I went and looked at a
     TVT-O study -- say it was comparing TVT-O to TVT
11
12
     Retropubic in 2009. How would I know whether the
13
     product that they were using in TVT-O was laser-cut
14
     or mechanical-cut mesh?
15
              I don't know the answer to that.
         Α
16
         Q
              There's no way to tell, is there?
17
              MR. SNELL: Form.
18
         Α
              There's ways of telling. You can discuss
19
     the article with a Ethicon representative.
20
     know the authors, you can speak to them. If it lists
21
     the years that the meshes were implanted, you can get
22
     a general idea. Some of the things we've been
23
     talking about, but --
              (By Mr. Kuntz) But that's not -- okay.
24
         0
25
              Doctor, they are still using mechanical-cut
```

Page 143 mesh and laser-cut mesh in the TVT-O today. Did you 1 2 know that? 3 I'm not using the TVT-O products. So 4 I'm not as well studied on that currently. 5 Okay. Do you have any idea how many TVT-O laser-cut meshes were sold in 2008 versus TVT-0 6 7 mechanical-cut mesh? 8 I don't know the numbers, no. 9 Have you ever looked at any sales numbers 10 comparing the sales of TVT-O mechanical-cut mesh 11 versus TVT-O laser-cut mesh for any years from 2007 12 to 2014? 13 I've never reviewed the sales numbers for 14 any of these products. 15 Okay. So if I'm a doctor and I go pull a study and it's comparing a product against TVT-O, 16 17 there's no way for me to know whether it's 18 mechanical-cut or laser-cut being used in the TVT-O 19 unless I ask Ethicon, correct? 2.0 MR. SNELL: Form and foundation, asked and 21 answered. 22 There's other sources beyond Ethicon. 23 authors I had mentioned, and then, you know, there's 24 certain products that were exclusively offered as

laser-cut like Abbrevo.

25

```
Page 144
              So there's things that you can infer. You
 1
 2
     can look at -- if you go to the cadaver lab, if you
 3
     attended prof ed events, if you spoke with Ethicon,
 4
     yes. So there's multiple sources.
 5
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree that the only
     other TVT devices Ethicon has sold that has a mesh
 6
     length of less than 45 centimeters is no longer on
 7
     the market?
 8
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
 9
10
              I don't think I can possibly be familiar
11
     with all the products Ethicon has ever offered, but I
12
     know they have 45-centimeter mesh and they have a
13
     12-centimeter mesh.
14
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you believe that the
     Abbrevo is safe and effective for the treatment of
15
16
     stress urinary incontinence in overweight and obese
17
     women?
18
         Α
              I believe obesity is a risk factor for
19
     surgery in general, but certainly the product can be
2.0
     used in that population.
21
              Correct. And you implant the TVT Abbrevo
     in obese women, correct?
22
23
              I have.
              And there's no contraindication not to use
24
25
     the TVT Abbrevo in obese women, correct?
```

```
Page 145
 1
         Α
              Correct.
 2
              Do you have an opinion whether the Prolene
         Q
 3
     mesh used in the TVT Abbrevo degrades?
 4
         Α
              I do.
 5
              What is that opinion?
              That I don't believe it -- I don't believe
 6
         Α
     it degrades. I don't see that --
 7
 8
              How do you -- how do you define
         0
     "degradation"?
 9
10
              How do I define it?
         Α
11
              Yes.
         0
12
              That would be gross visual deterioration of
         Α
13
     the product, a broken product, you know, inside the
14
     patient.
15
              So if you went in to remove a mesh and you
16
     saw it as broken or brittle or falling apart, you
17
     would define that as degradation?
18
         Α
              I said broken. I didn't say brittle.
     said broken.
19
2.0
              Okay. And what do you mean by "broken"?
         Q
21
              The mesh no longer in one piece, separated.
22
              Okay. Have you ever seen any degraded mesh
         Q
23
     in any of your explant or revision surgeries?
              It's not something I've seen on any of my
24
25
     explantation surgeries.
```

```
Page 146
              Do you have any background in polymer
 1
         Q
 2
     chemistry?
 3
              I have as much as any other physician in my
 4
     position, but I'm not a chemist.
 5
              Are you -- have you done any bench research
 6
     on polypropylene?
 7
         Α
              No.
 8
              Have you done any lab research on
         0
     polypropylene?
 9
10
         Α
              No.
11
              Never published any opinions that
         0
12
     polypropylene does not degrade in the human body?
13
              That's not a comment I've made
14
     specifically.
15
              Have you ever looked at any of the mesh
         0
16
     you've explanted under a microscope?
17
         Α
              Yes.
18
              And none of the mesh that you've looked at
19
     under the microscope has shown what you call
2.0
     degradation?
21
              I don't look under SEM. We're just looking
22
     under standard microscopes on H&E preparations.
23
     it's just a standard preparation that any hospital
     would use when sending a specimen for gross or
24
25
     microscopic analysis.
```

```
Page 147
              Have you ever reviewed any SEM photos of
 1
         Q
     explants that showed degradation?
 2
 3
              I'm aware of an article in the literature
         Α
 4
     that shows SEM photos, but I've never prepared any
 5
     SEM photos of any explants that I've been involved
 6
     in.
 7
              What article?
         0
              Well, there's the Clave article, and I
 8
         Α
 9
     think his articles are referenced by a number of
10
     other authors.
11
              Any other articles that you've read on
         0
12
     degradation besides Clave?
13
              Well, there's the Costello case report.
14
     There's other articles looking at degradation of
15
    biological graphs. There's the Patel article.
16
    believe Ostergard is involved in that article.
17
     read editorials authored in rebuttal to the Clave
18
     article.
19
         0
              Anything else?
2.0
              Just to repeat that, the Goldman rebuttal,
         Α
21
     the Clave article, the Costello article.
22
              Have you ever reviewed any internal Ethicon
23
     documents that discuss degradation in the Prolene
24
     mesh?
25
         Α
              No, I have not.
```

```
Page 148
              Have you ever reviewed any internal test
 1
 2
     performed by Ethicon on its Prolene search -- sutures
 3
     that discussed degradation?
 4
              Can you repeat the question?
 5
              Have you ever reviewed any internal test
 6
     performed by Ethicon on its Prolene sutures that
 7
     discussed degradation?
 8
              I'm not aware of that. I know that --
              MR. SNELL: Jeff, I don't think that
 9
10
     Guelcher's exhibits have been finalized by the court
11
     reporter. So whenever they are, we will get them to
12
     him, but I don't think he's had those yet.
13
              MR. KUNTZ: Well, I'm not talking about
14
     Guelcher's exhibits, Burt. I'm talking about many
15
     other documents that have been around way before
16
     Guelcher was involved in this litigation.
17
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So my question is:
         0
18
     you reviewed any internal studies from Ethicon that
     discuss degradation? Simple question.
19
2.0
              My quick answer is no.
              Okay. Do you know what the TVT Abbrevo IFU
21
         0
22
     says about degradation?
2.3
              I don't believe it comments on degradation.
24
         0
              Okay.
25
              MR. SNELL: Do you have a copy of the IFU
```

```
Page 149
 1
     that you can bring out?
 2
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, Doctor, you reviewed
 3
     the IFU in preparation for your deposition, correct?
 4
         Α
              Correct.
              You've used it many times and looked at it
 5
 6
     before using the Abbrevo product, correct?
 7
         Α
              Correct.
 8
              And you have no idea, as you sit here
         Q
 9
     today, what the IFU Abbrevo says about degradation,
10
     correct?
11
              Let me just pause while I get the IFU out.
         Α
12
              Look at the bottom of Page 8 of the IFU.
         Q
13
              (Discussion off the record.)
14
              MR. KEITH: He's looking for it, Jeff.
              MR. KUNTZ: It's in our folder, the first
15
16
     folder, Sean.
17
              MR. KEITH: All right. Do you got it?
18
     What exhibit is that notebook that you're looking at?
     Exhibit 15. Jeff, what did you tell him -- he's got
19
2.0
     the IFU. Where did you tell him to look?
21
              MR. KUNTZ: Bottom of Page 8.
22
              Okay. Under the section Adverse Reactions?
         Α
23
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Under the section Actions,
24
     the last sentence.
25
         Α
              "The material's not absorbed, nor is it
```

```
Page 150
     subject to degradation or weakening by the action of
 1
 2
     tissue enzymes."
 3
              You agree that the Ethicon TVT IFU --
         0
 4
    Abbrevo IFU says that the mesh is not subject to
 5
     degradation?
 6
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, foundation.
7
     The document speaks for itself. Incomplete
 8
     statement.
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you know that before
 9
10
     today, Doctor?
11
         Α
              I knew before today that the whole family
12
     of TVT products do not degrade. Yes, I knew that
13
    before today.
14
              Okay. And what do you base that opinion
15
     on?
16
         Α
              That's based on over 10 years of implanting
     Type I polypropylene mesh from a variety of
17
18
    manufacturers. I've been in one practice in one town
19
     for my entire professional career outside of
20
     residency and fellowship.
21
              I have patients that I followed in my own
22
    personal practice for as long as I've been in
23
    practice. So I feel that --
24
              Have you ever had to look for any research
25
     that talks about degradation of polypropylene meshes
```

```
Page 151
     and the clinical significance related to the
 1
 2
     degradation?
 3
              I'm constantly reviewing the literature,
 4
     and I'm on alert, always looking for new things that
 5
     might become knowledgeable to me. Things -- new
 6
     discoveries.
 7
              So yeah, I have a scientifically
     inquisitive mind, and I read the literature, and if
 8
 9
     there's something new, then I'm going to look at
10
     that.
11
              Okay. Have you been provided by Ethicon
12
     any articles or abstracts that suggests that
13
     degradation occurs with these meshes and causes
14
     clinical complications? Have you ever seen any
15
     articles in those regards?
16
         Α
              That have been provided to me by Ethicon?
17
     Well, if you're speaking to the Clave article, I was
18
     aware of that before --
19
         0
              I'm not talking about Clave.
2.0
         Α
              Okay.
21
              Let me ask you this --
         0
22
         Α
              Sure.
23
              -- from either Ethicon or your own
24
     research, have you ever seen any articles that talk
25
     about degradation of meshes and clinical
```

```
Page 152
     complications therefrom?
 1
 2
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, overbroad,
 3
     vague as to which mesh.
              Yeah, I -- I'm aware of some ex vivo
 4
 5
     studies that discuss mesh that have been explanted
 6
     and then pristine pieces of mesh that have never been
     implanted, but I'm not aware of any clinical
7
 8
     correlations in those papers.
 9
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. So you have never
10
     seen any papers that talk about a clinical
11
     correlation between degradation?
12
              Not provided to me by Ethicon. You may be
         Α
13
     speaking to the Patel paper -- if you'd like, we can
14
     look at that -- and some of the opinions and the
15
     rebuttals to those hypotheses, but they're not
     clinical studies.
16
17
              If you're talking about clinical studies,
18
     you're talking about Type I -- or Level I data,
19
     certainly there's no studies of that level of
2.0
     evidence.
21
              So do you only rely on Type I-level
22
     evidence when you're determining what product to use?
23
              I prioritize the evidence that's important.
24
     So if there is Type I evidence -- Level I evidence --
25
     excuse me -- Level I evidence, I'm going to look at
```

```
Page 153
     that more strongly, but if there's no Level I or II
 1
 2
     and it's a problem -- that I have patients that have
 3
     that problem and need treatment, I'll go to the
 4
     highest level of evidence that I can find.
 5
              Right. You'd agree that de Leval's one-
 6
     and three-year study on the modified -- what became
 7
     the Abbrevo is not Level I evidence, correct?
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation.
 8
 9
              I'm aware that his three-year study
10
     randomized patients. It wasn't multi-study --
11
     multi-center -- excuse me, but it was a randomized
12
     controlled trial, and it had an adequate number of
13
     patients.
14
              The level of evidence in that trial, I
15
     would agree it wasn't Level I.
16
              (Reporter requested clarification.)
17
         Α
              Was not Level I.
18
              (By Mr. Kuntz) When we're talking about
19
     the gold standard, who makes the decision as to
2.0
     whether a product is gold standard or not?
              I don't think it's any one -- one person.
21
22
     It's a consensus amongst professional societies,
23
     amongst community standards.
              It may vary based on geographic region or
24
25
     country based on what's available and what's feasible
```

```
Page 154
     to that medical community.
 1
 2
              Do you believe that Burch is still the gold
 3
     standard for the treatment of stress urinary
 4
     incontinence?
 5
              I believe it's an effective procedure, but
 6
     it's certainly not the most popular procedure, and I
     don't believe it's -- I think the gold standard -- I
 7
 8
     wouldn't use that word with the word "Burch," but I
 9
     think it's an acceptable procedure.
10
              Do you define "gold standard" as the most
11
     popular procedure?
12
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
13
     answered.
14
              I think that the gold standard procedure
15
     isn't always the most popular procedure, but it
16
     usually is. But there's oftentimes -- you know, the
17
     word "terminal procedure" is often the most
18
     efficacious procedure, but it might not be a
19
     procedure that's readily performed by a wide variety
20
     of physicians.
21
              So gold standard, it's usually the most
22
     popular procedure, but it's not necessarily the most
23
     popular.
24
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you think you have to
25
     have valid RCTs for a product to become the gold
```

```
Page 155
     standard?
 1
 2
                   You're only measuring the product
 3
     across other procedures within that category. So,
 4
     you know, for some diseases and some surgeries, it's
 5
     a prevalent enough problem that there would be RCTs
     for less common diseases, less common problems, less
 6
     complications. There may never be an RCT.
 7
 8
         Q
              Okay. So you believe that the
     mechanical-cut mesh TVT is the gold standard,
 9
10
     correct?
11
         Α
              Mechanical-cut?
12
              Mesh.
         0
13
                   I believe Type I macroporous
14
     polypropylene mesh is the most commonly used type of
15
     mid-urethral sling, and I believe the mid-urethral
16
     sling is the gold standard, but I don't differentiate
17
     between laser- and mechanically-cut. It seems very
18
     arbitrary.
              Okay. So you believe any mid-urethral
19
20
     sling that uses an amid Type I macroporous
21
     classification for its mesh is the gold standard,
22
     right?
23
              I believe that that's appropriate for
24
     mid-urethral slings, and the mid-urethral sling is
25
     the gold standard for stress urinary incontinence.
```

```
Page 156
              And we're talking about full-length
 1
 2
     mid-urethral slings, correct?
 3
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. It misstates.
 4
         Α
              If we go back to the AUA statement and the
 5
     SUFU statement, both transobturator and retrobubic
 6
     full-length mid-urethral slings.
 7
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Is that -- what AUA
 8
     statement are you basing it on? The November 2011
 9
     one?
10
              Let me go ahead and pull that up. Yeah.
11
     The 2011 American Urologic Position on the Use of
12
     Vaginal Mess for Surgical Treatment of Stress Urinary
13
     Incontinence. We've marked that as Exhibit 6.
14
                          It's an article in Exhibit 6.
              MR. KEITH:
15
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this,
         0
16
             Do you agree with this statement: The level
17
     of evidence supporting the universal use of any
18
     single SUI surgical procedure for the treatment of
19
     all patients with SUI is poor?
2.0
              Can you repeat the question?
21
              The level of evidence supporting the
22
     universal use of any single SUI surgical procedure
23
     for the treatment of all patients with SUI is poor?
24
                   I would disagree with that.
25
         0
              Okay. Because you believe mid-urethral
```

```
Page 157
     slings are the number one choice and the gold
 1
 2
     standard?
 3
              I believe that, and I believe the
 4
     professional societies believe that, and I believe 95
 5
     percent of practicing urologists and urogynecologists
 6
    believe that.
 7
              Okay. Have you ever talked to Dr. Rovner?
     Does he believe that?
 8
              Dr. Rovner? Certainly. He is one of the
 9
     authors on the statement for SUFU. He's the
10
11
     president of -- former president of SUFU. So I
12
     believe he's quite familiar with that.
13
              Okay. Did you ever get a copy of the AUGS
14
     statement when we were on break?
15
              I believe we always had the statement.
         Α
16
              MR. SNELL: I think you already covered
17
     that.
18
              MR. KUNTZ: Well, no, because you wanted
19
     him to see it, Burt.
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this about
         Q
21
22
              MR. SNELL:
                          He had it -- he pulled it for
     you, I think, or maybe I'm mis --
23
24
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Just answer this question.
         Q
25
     Do you agree or disagree with this statement, Doctor:
```

```
Page 158
     The safety and effectiveness of multi-incision slings
 1
 2
 3
              MR. SNELL: Hold on. You've got to --
 4
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) -- is well established --
 5
              (All speaking simultaneously, and reporter
 6
              requested clarification.)
 7
              MR. KEITH: She can't keep up with you,
     Jeff.
 8
 9
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree with this
     statement: The safety and effectiveness of
10
11
    multi-incision slings is well established in clinical
12
     trials that followed patients for up to one year?
13
              Let me have you repeat that one more time.
14
              The safety and effectiveness of
15
    multi-incision slings is well established in clinical
16
     trials that followed patients for up to one year.
17
              Yes, I would agree that the efficacy and
18
     safety is well established.
19
              For only up to one year?
20
                 Beyond one year, but I think that --
         A
21
     you asked up to one year, yes. If you asked me two
22
     years, I would say yes. Three years, I would say
23
     yes.
24
              How many years would you say it's been
25
     studied for?
```

```
Page 159
              Well, if you look at the Nilsson paper,
 1
 2
     it's been studied for as many as 17 years.
 3
              Have you looked at any of the raw data from
         Q
 4
     the Nilsson study?
              What do you mean by "raw data"?
 5
 6
         0
              Any of the patient-level data.
 7
              MR. SNELL: Form, vague. Go ahead.
 8
              I can get the article out and look at the
         Α
 9
     article, but I'm not -- I don't have his spreadsheet,
10
     if that's what you're asking me.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you know how many
         Q
12
     patients were in the original cohort for the Nilsson
13
     17-vear data?
14
              I know that he did lose an expected
15
     percentage of patients over the 17-year follow-up. I
16
     think at the end of the follow-up, there might have
17
     been half of the cohort left.
18
         0
              Okay.
19
              MR. SNELL: You can get the article and
    pull it out and look at it. So let's not guess.
20
                                                        Ιf
21
     you want to get it, get it.
22
         Α
              We're going to go ahead and pull that
23
     article.
24
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, you -- let me tell
25
     you this -- ask you this: You would agree that the
```

```
Page 160
     Nilsson study did not deal with the laser-cut mesh
 1
 2
     placed in the obturator space, correct?
 3
              Correct. Nilsson study involved TVT
         Α
 4
     Retropubic, also known as TVT Classic.
 5
              MR. KEITH:
                          What exhibit is that?
 6
                             This is Exhibit No. 16.
              THE DEPONENT:
 7
              MR. SNELL: Do you just want to use that
 8
     copy there?
 9
              THE DEPONENT: Yeah.
10
              So it says, "78 percent of potentially
11
     assessable women were evaluated either by clinic
12
     visit or by telephone interview." 78 percent.
13
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So there were no physical
14
     examinations on a good percentage of those women, but
15
     they were interviews over the phone, correct?
16
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague, "good
17
     percentage."
18
              Of the 58 women who were available, 46
     women visited the clinic, 12 were interviewed by
19
20
     telephone. So the -- you know, the majority -- you
21
     know, more than 75 percent were examined.
22
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you know how many
     patients were lost at follow-up at the 17-year time
23
24
     period?
25
         Α
              11 women died. So they were lost to
```

Page 161 follow-up, I guess. 16 women could not be contacted. 1 2 So of the 79 women that were remaining, 16 could not 3 be contacted. Five women had impaired mental 4 capacity. So the number went from 90 to 79 to 58 5 over 17 years. 6 Do we know why -- do you know why any of the women died or how they died? 7 I believe they died of natural causes, but 8 Α 9 this is not a cancer study. So, you know, I don't 10 think that's as important. With cancer studies, we 11 usually look at cancer-free survival versus 12 disease-free survival. 13 Doctor, do you believe that the Prolene 14 mesh and the TVT Abbrevo is lightweight mesh? 15 Like we mentioned earlier, TVT Abbrevo Type 16 I by the mid-classification macroporous -- you can use the word "lightweight" if you want. 17 18 0 What's your definition of "lightweight 19 mesh"? 2.0 Lightweight mesh, in my mind, would be only 21 relevant compared to products in its category. So if you look at the Pam Moalli paper, she studies the 22 23 lightweight meshes. That would include TVT mesh. 24 So meshes that are more than 75 microns in 25 pore size and weigh less than 100 grams per meter

```
Page 162
     squared.
 1
 2
              So less than 100 grams per meter squared
         Q
 3
     you consider lightweight?
 4
              I would. Let me get the Moalli paper out
         Α
 5
     just to be certain of that number. And we could
 6
     submit that as an exhibit.
 7
              MR. KEITH: It's probably part of an
 8
     exhibit already.
 9
              Yeah, it's probably part of the exhibit.
10
              MR. KEITH: Is that in the orange folder?
11
              THE DEPONENT: No. I don't have it in the
12
     orange folder.
13
              MR. SNELL: It might be in this one here
     (indicating).
14
15
              MR. KEITH: Jeff, I'm going to go to the
16
     restroom. You can keep going.
17
              MR. SNELL: Why don't we take a break.
18
     I've got to use the restroom, too.
19
              MR. KEITH:
                          I'm sorry. I didn't want to --
2.0
              MR. SNELL:
                          It's okay.
21
              THE DEPONENT: No, that's all right.
22
              MR. KUNTZ:
                         We're not taking a -- can we
23
     wait until after this line of questioning?
24
              MR. SNELL: Yeah, that's fine. Go ahead.
25
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What do you define --
```

```
Page 163
              MR. SNELL: Hold on. He's looking for the
 1
 2
     Moalli paper.
 3
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this:
         Q
                                                      Is
 4
     the Moalli paper your sole basis of the definition of
 5
     what lightweight mesh is, Doctor?
 6
                   It's one of many criteria or papers I
         Α
 7
     use.
 8
              What are the other papers --
         Q
 9
         Α
              I like to look at the Dietz paper, and then
10
11
              -- for definitions --
         Q
12
              (All speaking simultaneously, and reporter
13
              requested clarification.)
14
              (By Mr. Kuntz) -- for definitions of mesh?
         0
15
              I think for characterization of the meshes
         Α
16
     in terms of pore size, stiffness, breaking strengths,
17
     all those things are important factors that one
18
     consider.
19
         Q
              What Moalli paper are you looking at?
2.0
              We're looking from Exhibit No. 16.
         Α
21
              What's the year on it?
         0
22
         Α
              The year is 2008.
                                  International
23
     Urogynecology Journal, 2008, Pamela Moalli.
24
              Have you read any other of Pam Moalli's
25
     newer articles? Are those on your reliance list?
```

```
Page 164
                   I've looked at her recent article
 1
 2
     looking at carcinogenesis -- or I should say the lack
 3
     of -- with respect to polypropylene mesh.
 4
         Q
              What do you define as a medium-weight mesh?
 5
              MR. SNELL: Form, vague as to application.
              I don't have a definition for that. It's
 6
     not a term I use.
 7
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. So you've never seen
 8
 9
     Ethicon use that term?
10
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague as to
11
     application.
12
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What do you define as a
         Q
13
     heavyweight mesh, Doctor?
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague as to
14
15
     application, asked and answered.
16
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What do you consider a
17
     heavyweight mesh used for stress urinary
18
     incontinence?
              I don't believe there are any on the
19
     market. There's some historic meshes that we can
2.0
21
     speak to.
22
              What's the heaviest weight you know of in a
23
     mesh used for SUI treatment?
24
              Historically or currently?
25
         0
              Let's start with historically.
```

```
Page 165
              Well, historically, I know that products
 1
 2
     such as Gore-Tex, Mersilene, or heavier-weight
 3
     meshes. So many of the Type II, Type III, Type IV
 4
    meshes from the amid classification I would consider
 5
     heavyweight meshes.
 6
              Okay. Anything else? What about Marlex?
 7
              Yeah. Marlex would be a mesh that I'm not
         Α
 8
     as familiar with. I know it was a polypropylene
 9
     mesh, but I believe the pore size on that mesh and
10
     the size of the fibers, specifically the mils were
11
     thicker than -- than on polypropylene or Prolene mesh
12
     or Gynecare TVT mesh.
13
             Doctor, I'm talking about two different
14
     things. I'm not talking about pore size.
15
     talking about weight right now. Do you understand
16
     that?
17
         Α
             I do.
18
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
                                            Go ahead.
              But I think when you're going to ask me to
19
20
     classify lightweight, heavyweight, mid-weight -- I
21
     don't look just at the weight. I look at the mil
22
     fiber; I look at the pore size; I look at the mesh
23
     thickness, the porosity.
              I believe most people when they speak of
24
25
     light- and heavyweight meshes, they're referring to a
```

```
Page 166
     lot more than just the weight.
 1
 2
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, you said lightweight
         Q
 3
    mesh is less than 100 grams. That's a weight
 4
    measurement, correct?
 5
              I said it's right around 100 grams.
 6
     you know, 100 grams to me is a lightweight mesh.
 7
         0
              What about -- what is a heavyweight mesh?
 8
              A heavyweight mesh? I think that you would
         Α
 9
     probably be looking at something that's microporous.
10
              What about weight? I'm not talking about
11
    pore size, Doctor.
12
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
                                            He's
13
     testified as to how he considers it.
14
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What is -- do you have any
15
     idea what the literature calls heavyweight,
16
     lightweight, or medium-weight, Doctor?
17
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague as to
18
     application.
19
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What does Pam Moalli call a
20
     heavyweight mess? How many grams?
21
              MR. SNELL: Same objection, vaque as to
22
     application.
23
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this,
24
     Doctor: Do you think that the weight of mesh change
25
     when it's used in one place of the body versus the
```

Page 167 other? Is that your opinion? 1 2 I think that the weight of the meshes are 3 only relevant to the body part they're used in and 4 when compared to products in that category. 5 So lightweight, heavyweight might have 6 different criteria for hernia than it does for SUI 7 than it does for POP. 8 Okay. So a mesh could be lightweight --9 strike that. 10 A mesh could be heavyweight for hernia use 11 but lightweight for stress urinary incontinence use 12 or for a POP mesh. Is that what you're saying? 13 Absolutely. Someone who is considered 14 heavy in one part of the country might be considered 15 thin in another part. 16 Q What are you talking about? Are you talking about other parts of the country or the body? 17 18 Other parts of the country, other parts of 19 the world. So I think it's all relative in the eyes 2.0 of the beholder. 21 Do you believe that a mesh can be 0

22 heavyweight for a hernia mesh but then if that same

23 mesh is used for stress urinary incontinence, it can

24 be a different weight?

25 MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and

```
Page 168
 1
     answered.
 2
              The weight stays the same.
 3
     characterize it and how we describe it and
 4
     characterize it is what's different.
 5
              So to give an example of mesh that is
 6
     considered heavyweight for prolapse would probably be
 7
     considered lightweight for hernia. Hernia meshes in
     general are going to be much heavier. The mil fibers
 8
 9
                  The pore sizes are different.
     are larger.
10
     overall size surface area is going to be much larger.
11
              So, you know, it's hard to compare across
12
     those three processes: hernia, POP, and SUI.
                                                     So the
13
     weight doesn't change, but how we characterize it
14
     does.
15
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you believe that mesh in
         0
16
     the abdomen acts the same way as mesh in the pelvic
17
     floor?
18
              MR. SNELL:
                          Form, vaque, "acts."
19
         Α
              Yeah. Can you be more specific on "acts"?
20
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you ever seen any
         Q
21
     Ethicon documents discussing how mesh acts or reacts
22
     in the abdomen as it's compared to the pelvic floor?
23
     Is it the same?
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, compound.
24
25
         Α
              Yeah. Again, I'm not going to answer that
```

```
Page 169
     with the word "same." You'd have to give me examples
 1
 2
     in terms of how the body reacts or how -- the forces
 3
     that are applied to the mesh.
 4
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You can't answer that
     question?
 5
 6
              No, I can't answer that question, not --
         Α
 7
         0
              Have you ever seen --
              -- the way you asked it.
 8
         Α
              (All speaking simultaneously, and reporter
 9
10
              requested clarification.)
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you ever seen any
         Q
12
     discussion about that issue in any Ethicon internal
13
     documents?
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague, "that
14
15
             It relates back to the previous objection.
16
         Α
                    I mean, that's -- you're asking me
17
     to speculate there, and I'm not going to do that.
18
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So you haven't seen any
     documents that discuss that issue, right, Doctor?
19
20
              MR. SNELL: Same objection, "that issue,"
21
             It relates back to the previous objection.
22
              All of the professional educational
23
    materials that have been provided to me, comments I
24
     made at meetings, slide shows that I presented, all
25
     understanding that I've ever had with mid-urethral
```

```
Page 170
     tapes across various manufacturers, Obturator,
 1
 2
     Retropubic, full-length or mini, they're all
 3
     lightweight.
 4
              I've never used anything but a lightweight
     mesh for SUI. That's all anybody has ever used for
 5
 6
     the last 15 years.
 7
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Have --
              Heavyweight mesh -- you're bringing up --
 8
         Α
 9
              (All speaking simultaneously.)
10
              You are bringing up a conversation from the
         Α
11
     1980s.
12
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you ever reviewed any
13
     Ethicon internal documents that calls the Prolene
14
     mesh used in the TVT line of products heavyweight?
15
              No.
         Α
16
              Have you ever reviewed any literature that
17
     calls the Prolene mesh in the TVT line of products
18
     heavyweight?
19
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague.
2.0
              Can you repeat the question?
         Α
21
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you ever reviewed any
         0
22
     literature that calls the Prolene mesh in the TVT
23
     line of products heavyweight?
24
              I'm sure Dr. Ostergard and others like him
25
     -- experts that you guys use have called it
```

Page 171 heavyweight, but I don't think that in any of the 1 2 Ethicon material and in any of the RCTs has the mesh 3 ever been called heavyweight. 4 Q You agree that lightweight mesh is better 5 than heavyweight mesh for SUI slings, correct? 6 MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vaque. 7 Α Incorrect. 8 Q (By Mr. Kuntz) Explain why you think that a heavyweight mesh could be better than a lightweight 9 10 mesh for SUI treatment. 11 MR. SNELL: Objection. It misstates 12 testimony opinion. 13 What I mentioned earlier in the deposition, 14 what I'll mention again now is that there's an 15 optimal pore size, there's an optimal weight, there's 16 an optimal stiffness, and it's a matter of finding 17 the right balance. 18 And so extremes in either direction are not 19 going to be optimal. You want to find a balance. So 20 if the mesh is too lightweight, it's going to not 21 provide enough support to the urethra; it's going to 22 be completely infective. 23 So, you know, there's an extreme to how 24 light you can make a mesh, and if it's too light,

it's not going to be effective. So I think that the

25

```
Page 172
     mesh characteristics of the Gynecare TVT are ideal.
 1
 2
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree that there
 3
     should not be any heavyweight meshes for SUI sling
 4
     products on the market today?
 5
              MR. SNELL: Form, foundation, vague.
 6
              Can you repeat the question?
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree that there
 7
         Q
 8
     should be no heavyweight meshes in SUI slings on the
 9
     market today?
10
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vaque,
11
     foundation.
12
              You'd have to give me a number on what you
13
     consider heavyweight, but the heavyweight meshes I'm
14
     aware of that have been used historically haven't
     been used since the 1980s, early 1990s.
15
16
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you tell me what your
         Q
17
     definition of "heavyweight mesh" is? How many grams?
18
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
19
     answered.
2.0
              MR. KUNTZ: I don't think he's ever
21
     answered it.
22
              MR. SNELL: He told you he looks at beyond
     just the number. He's told you that three times, I
23
24
     think.
25
         Α
              If you want an answer, I've defined
```

```
Page 173
     lightweight, and Gynecare TVT is lightweight.
 1
                                                     Pore
 2
     size greater than 75 microns. In fact, the pore size
 3
     is over 1,300 microns, and the weight is 100 grams,
 4
     which is nearly the same weight of any other products
 5
     in its category.
 6
              It's the same weight as the Boston
 7
     Scientific mesh that Dr. Luu is using today.
 8
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What's your basis for
         Q
     believing that the TVT Abbrevo mesh is lightweight?
 9
10
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
11
     answered.
12
              The basis is from information provided to
         Α
13
     me from Ethicon, review of the scientific literature,
14
     including the Moalli paper, review of meshes from
15
     other products like Boston Scientific Obtryx II,
16
     transobturator mid-uretheral sling, which we have
     here as an exhibit. So it's certainly in the same
17
18
     category as that mesh.
19
              So if you're going to call one heavyweight
20
     or one lightweight, those two meshes are married to
21
     each other.
22
              The AUGS/SUFU 2014 position statements -- I
23
     can go on and on, but I think any reasonable surgeon
24
     would consider Gynecare TVT Type I mesh to be
25
     lightweight.
```

```
Page 174
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And, again, you -- you've
 1
 2
     never seen any internal documents from Ethicon that
 3
     call the TVT Prolene mesh heavyweight, correct?
 4
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form and foundation.
 5
              Yeah.
                     I haven't seen any internal
 6
     documents, but, again, I'm not aware of a lot of
 7
     these internal documents.
              I don't work for Ethicon. You know, I'm
 8
 9
     aware of the scientific literature and the prof
10
     education that they provide me.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, you do work for
         0
12
     Ethicon. You have been consulted with them -- you
13
     consulted with them for seven years. So that's not
14
     true.
15
              My question is real simple. You have
16
    binders and binders and binders of documents there,
17
     and you've been preparing for this depo for six
18
    months.
19
              Have you ever been given or reviewed any
20
     documents internally from Ethicon that call the TVT
21
     Prolene mesh heavyweight?
22
              MR. SNELL: Objection. I'm going to move
23
     to strike the attorney comment in the preceding --
24
     leading up to the preceding question, and objection
25
     as asked and answered on the question. Form.
                                                    Asked
```

```
Page 175
     and answered about three times. Go ahead.
 1
 2
              I'm satisfied with my answers at this
 3
     point. I'm not going to spend any more time on that.
 4
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Would it surprise you if
 5
     Ethicon had internal documents that called the TVT
     Prolene mesh heavyweight?
 6
 7
              You know, Ethicon is a corporation. If you
         Α
 8
     want to speak to one person, there might be some
 9
     person who is less informed than others that may have
10
     made that comment somewhere internally during a
11
     private communication, but that's not something that
12
     was taught. That's not something that's in any of
13
     the published literature or literature they share
14
     with their physicians.
15
              So it's an overly broad statement.
16
     might be some employee somewhere -- some new sales
17
     rep that may have mischaracterized the mesh.
18
              Okay. Doctor, do you agree that physicians
19
     should be made aware of all the significant safety
2.0
     risks associated with the product in the IFU?
21
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vague.
22
         Α
              It should be made aware by whom?
23
              (By Mr. Kuntz) By Ethicon in the IFU.
24
     you agree that physicians should be made aware of all
25
     the significant safety risks associated with the
```

```
Page 176
 1
     product in the IFU?
 2
                         Objection, form and foundation,
              MR. SNELL:
 3
     vaque, "all safety."
 4
              I believe that the physician has a personal
 5
     responsibility to read the IFU, and I believe that
 6
     most of their awareness of risk and benefits of
 7
     surgery come from their medical school, residency,
     fellowship, training, and clinical practice.
 8
 9
              MR. KUNTZ: Okay. That's not the answer to
10
     my question.
                   I'll move to strike.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree or disagree
         0
12
     physicians should be made aware of all the
13
     significant safety risks associated with the product
14
     in the IFU?
15
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
16
     answered.
17
             (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree or disagree?
         0
18
         Α
              I disagree.
19
              Okay. Do you agree or disagree that a
2.0
     manufacturer of a medical device that will be
     implanted in a woman's body is required to disclose
21
22
     all significant risks to doctors that come with the
23
     use of the device?
24
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, calls for a
25
     legal conclusion.
```

```
Page 177
              I would disagree.
 1
         Α
 2
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree or disagree?
 3
     The Warnings and Adverse Reaction section should
 4
     include all significant risks and complications
 5
     related to the use of the TVT.
 6
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, calls for a
 7
     legal conclusion, vague.
 8
              I'm going to disagree.
 9
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree or disagree
10
     that an IFU should never exclude known hazards or
11
     complications?
12
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vaque.
13
              I would disagree.
14
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree that it would
15
     be reasonable for physicians to know that the mesh in
16
     the TVT product has been tested multiple times to be
17
     severely or marked cytotoxic?
18
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form and foundation,
     lacks foundation, calls for a legal conclusion, calls
19
     for speculation. Go ahead.
2.0
21
              Can you repeat the question?
22
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Agree that it would be
23
     reasonable for physicians to know that the mesh in
24
     the TVT product has been tested multiple times to be
25
     severely or marked cytotoxic?
```

```
Page 178
              MR. SNELL: Same objections, foundation,
 1
 2
     form.
 3
              I don't know what you're asking me. Are
         Α
 4
     you asking me that if it is cytotoxic, people should
 5
     know, or are you asking me is it cytotoxic?
 6
              (By Mr. Kuntz) I'm asking you if Ethicon
 7
     has testing that shows that if it's cytotoxic, that
     should be put in the IFU and physicians should be
 8
 9
     informed about it?
10
              MR. SNELL: Same objection, lacks
11
     foundation, form, vague.
12
              I would need to know more about the
13
     cytotoxicity. Is that in humans? Is that in
14
     benchwork? Is that in rats?
15
             (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you --
16
              I can't answer that.
17
              Have you reviewed any testing from Ethicon
18
     or been provided with any documents related to
     cytotoxicity testing on the Prolene mesh?
19
2.0
              Well, we have the material safety data
21
     sheet that looks at polypropylene, and that's
22
     something that we had in the exhibit -- in the orange
23
     folder, Exhibit No. 8.
24
              Doctor, have you reviewed any testing that
25
     talks about cytotoxicity of the Prolene mesh or not?
```

```
Page 179
 1
         Α
              Yes.
 2
              Okay. And you're saying that information
 3
     is in the MSDS?
              You know, "cytotoxicity" might not be the
 4
     right word, but it's an evaluation of the
 5
 6
     composition, the hazardous identification, potential
 7
     health effects.
 8
              Okay. Let's just move on.
         0
 9
              All right.
10
              Do you agree that the TVT IFU does not warn
     that there is a chronic foreign body reaction as a
11
12
     result of the TVT mesh?
13
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, the document
14
     speaks for itself.
15
              Yeah.
         Α
16
              MR. SNELL: If you need to get the IFU out
17
18
              Yeah. Let's go back to the IFU. Let me
         Α
19
     get that out.
2.0
              MR. KOOPMANN: Exhibit 15.
21
              THE DEPONENT: 15?
22
              MR. KOOPMANN: Right there (indicating).
23
              Under Adverse Reactions, it speaks to
     punctures, transient local irritation, transitory
24
25
     foreign body response. This response could lead in
```

```
Page 180
     extrusion, erosion, fistula formation, or
 1
 2
     inflammation.
 3
              So they don't specify a time frame, but,
 4
     you know, I think that it's reasonable to understand
 5
     that it could be either acute or chronic.
 6
              (By Mr. Kuntz) But by that statement, you
 7
     believe that physicians would know that it's a
     chronic foreign body reaction?
 8
              If someone results in having an erosion or
 9
10
     a fistula formation, yeah, those things are
11
     representative of chronic problems.
12
         0
              So if somebody has mesh permanently left in
13
     their body and it's a chronic reaction, as you
14
     suggest, a physician would know that? Erosions can
15
     happen anytime in the future, correct?
16
              Can you repeat the question?
17
              You believe that that doesn't imply
18
     transitory because erosions can happen at any time,
19
     and, therefore, physicians would know that it's a
20
     chronic foreign body reaction by that statement even
21
     though it says "transitory"?
22
              I think "transitory" is in the first
23
     sentence.
                The second sentence is a separate
24
     sentence. So I think that it could be transitory or
25
     chronic.
```

```
Page 181
              So why didn't they just place the words
 1
     "chronic" in there, Doctor?
 2
 3
         Α
              I don't know.
 4
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, calls for
 5
     speculation.
 6
         Α
              I'm not going to speculate.
 7
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Do you believe that
         0
     there's a chronic foreign body reaction to the mesh,
 8
     or do you believe it's transitory?
 9
10
              I believe in most instances it's
11
     transitory.
12
              Okay. But there are instances where it can
         Q
13
     be chronic, correct?
14
              Yeah, there are probably a small subset of
     patients that can have a chronic reaction and where
15
16
     you might see inflammatory cells.
17
              Do you agree that if Ethicon knew that the
18
     TVT device was reasonably associated with
19
     dyspareunia, it should have been included in the IFU?
2.0
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form and foundation.
21
              I think that it's pretty clear, if you read
         Α
22
     the IFU, that it warns about complications and injury
23
     to surrounding structures and organs, nerve damage,
24
     et cetera. That's going to lead to dyspareunia.
25
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, the words
```

```
Page 182
     "dyspareunia" never appear in the IFU, do they?
 1
 2
                          Objection. The document speaks
              MR. SNELL:
 3
     for itself as to what specific words appear in it.
 4
         Α
              Yeah.
 5
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, do the words
 6
     "dyspareunia" appear anywhere in the IFU?
 7
                   I don't see the word "dyspareunia."
 8
              Is there anywhere in the IFU that tells a
         0
 9
     patient or a doctor -- strike that.
10
              Is there anywhere in the IFU that states
11
     that one's partner can be injured by the mesh?
12
              MR. SNELL: Form and foundation.
13
              I think that the IFU is a warning to
14
     physicians about the precautions and adverse
15
     reactions.
16
              So the IFU is written for the physician.
17
     It's not written for the patient. It's not written
18
     for the partner.
19
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, do you think women
2.0
     would want to know that their partner could be
21
     injured from a product they're having implanted in
22
     them?
23
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation, form,
24
     calls for speculation.
25
              I'm not going to answer that.
         Α
```

Page 183 Why? (By Mr. Kuntz) 1 Q 2 I think it calls for speculation. I think 3 women want to know what's going to happen to them. 4 It's a rare patient that would have no pain but their 5 partner would have pain. 6 So I think the two things are connected. 7 If the patient has dyspareunia, the husband may have 8 pain as well. It's an unpleasant experience for both 9 of them. 10 Do you warn your patients that their 0 11 partners might have pain during sexual activity 12 before you implant a TVT device in them? 13 Α No, I don't. 14 Have your consents changed over the years? 15 Do you have more stuff in your consent now than you 16 did in, say, 2005 for a TVT product? 17 MR. SNELL: Form, vaque. Go ahead. 18 Α Yeah. I follow what the FDA PHN has 19 recommended, and the document I prepared for the AUA, 20 I gave a list of bullet points that physicians should 21 share with their patients when providing informed 22 consent. So yeah, it has evolved over time. 23 (By Mr. Kuntz) When you've been consulting 24 with Ethicon, have you ever asked them why they 25 haven't updated their IFU for the TVT products in

```
Page 184
     relation to the FDA PHNs?
 1
 2
              MR. SNELL: Objection, lacks foundation.
 3
     It misstates the evidence.
 4
         Α
              I have not had that conversation with them.
 5
     They're -- they're aware of the PHN as much as I am.
 6
     I don't need to advise them in regards to how to
 7
     respond to the PHN.
 8
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree if Ethicon
         0
 9
     knew that the TVT device was reasonably associated
10
     with chronic pain, it should be included in the IFU?
11
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation.
12
         Α
              I feel the IFU is adequate. It warns --
13
              (By Mr. Kuntz) That's not my question,
         Q
14
              I know --
     Doctor.
15
              -- of any --
         Α
16
         Q
              -- you think it's adequate.
17
              (All speaking simultaneously, and
18
              reporter requested clarification.)
19
         Α
              Okay.
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Agree or disagree.
         Q
21
     Ethicon knew that the TVT device was reasonably
22
     associated with chronic pain, it should have been
23
     included in the IFU. Do you disagree or agree with
24
     that?
25
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
```

```
Page 185
              I think I've answered that, but I disagree
 1
 2
     with that.
 3
              MR. SNELL: Can we take a break at some
 4
     point, Jeff?
 5
              MR. KUNTZ: Sure.
 6
              (Recess from 7:23 p.m. to 7:44 p.m.)
 7
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, I want to talk a
         0
     little bit more about the IFUs.
 8
 9
              Yes.
         Α
10
              Do you agree that patients would like to
11
     know the severity, frequency, or duration of the
12
     adverse risks that are associated with the product?
13
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation, form,
14
     compound.
15
              Can you repeat the question?
         Α
16
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Yeah. I'll change it.
17
              Do you agree that patients receiving a TVT
18
     product would like to know the severity of the
19
     adverse risks listed in the IFU?
2.0
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation, calls
21
     for speculation, compound.
22
              Are you asking me if that stuff should be
23
     in the IFU, or are you asking me should doctors tell
24
     patients that?
25
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) I'm asking: Do you think
```

```
Page 186
     patients want to know that information?
 1
 2
              MR. SNELL: Same objections.
 3
              I think when talking to patients about
         Α
 4
     risks and benefits, if it's a risk that occurs
 5
     commonly, then patients -- physicians are going to
 6
     mention that to their patients.
 7
              Yeah, so I would agree patients want to
 8
     know, but I would disagree that that's a purpose of
 9
     the IFU.
10
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree that a company
     cannot avoid warning of an adverse event in the IFU
11
12
     just because it is rare?
13
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, calls for a
14
     legal conclusion.
15
              I would disagree.
         Α
16
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Are you an expert in IFUs?
17
              I've made contributions to IFUs, but I'm
18
     not an expert in it. No. I think it's something
19
     that's prepared by an in-house person within a
20
     corporation, but I've made contributions in terms of
21
     commentary.
22
              Have you ever reviewed any of the FDA
23
     regulations on labeling?
24
              No.
         Α
25
         Q
              Have you ever reviewed any of the FDA
```

```
Page 187
     guidelines on labeling?
 1
 2
         Α
              No.
              Does Ethicon put the severity of adverse
 3
         0
 4
     risks in the IFU?
              The severity of risks or the prevalence of
 5
     risks?
 6
 7
         Q
              Yes.
              I don't see anything about prevalence.
 8
              Okay. Do you see anything in the IFU for
 9
10
     the TVT line of products talking about the duration
11
     of the risks?
12
              I don't see a specific time frame
13
     mentioned. It talks about transient leg pain lasting
14
     24 to 48 hours.
              Nothing about chronic pain in the IFU, is
15
         0
16
     there?
17
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, vaque, asked
18
     and answered.
              Yeah, I mentioned earlier when I said,
19
20
     "This response could result in extrusion, erosion,
21
     fistula formation, or inflammation."
22
              Many of those issues are chronic issues
     that can happen over time or in a delayed fashion.
23
     So I think it speaks to it.
24
25
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) The words "chronic pain"
```

```
Page 188
 1
     never appear in the IFU, do they, Doctor?
 2
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. Did you say
 3
     "the words 'chronic pain'"?
 4
              MR. KUNTZ: Yes.
 5
              MR. SNELL: All right.
 6
                     I don't see the word "chronic." I
         Α
              Okav.
 7
     see the mention of -- about punctures to vessels,
 8
     nerves, bladder.
 9
              MR. KUNTZ: I'll move to strike.
10
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Are the words -- "chronic"
11
     appear anywhere in the IFU?
12
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. The document
13
     speaks for itself as to the words in it.
14
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, do you know if the
15
     word "chronic" appears anywhere in the IFU?
16
              I don't see it in the IFU, the word
     "chronic."
17
18
              How many times does the word "transitory"
19
     appear in the IFU?
2.0
              The word "transitory" appears under the
         Α
21
     section Adverse Reactions.
22
              Do you agree or disagree that the IFU must
23
     put adverse events in the context of severity and
24
     frequency?
25
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
```

```
Page 189
 1
     answered.
 2
              MR. KUNTZ: I didn't ask that exact
 3
     question.
 4
              Yeah, I'm going to disagree to that.
 5
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Have you ever read
 6
     Pete Hinoul's trial testimony from the Batese
 7
     (phonetic) case?
 8
              No, I have not.
         Α
 9
              Do you know who Pete Hinoul is?
10
                     I've authored a paper with Pete. I
11
     know him reasonably well.
12
              You examined Mrs. Perry on December 19th?
         0
13
              That's correct.
14
              And you would agree that Mrs. Perry
15
     currently has pelvic pain?
              Yeah, I would agree that she's had chronic
16
         Α
17
     pelvic pain.
18
              And you agree that when you examined her,
19
     you listed it as pain?
2.0
              MR. SNELL: Form, vague. What pain are you
21
     talking about?
22
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, you examined her and
23
     found pain in four different areas of the vagina,
24
     correct, Doctor?
25
         Α
              I'm looking at my examination right now,
```

```
Page 190
     and I see her describing pain -- one, two, three --
 1
 2
     yeah, four different areas.
 3
              So as of December 19th, you believe she has
         0
 4
     pain in four different areas of her vagina?
              That's what she told me.
 5
              And, in fact, you have no reason not to
 6
 7
     believe her, correct?
 8
              MR. SNELL: Form.
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Let me ask you this,
 9
10
              You're trained to determine whether patients
     Doctor:
11
     are being honest about pain, correct?
12
              We receive very little training on that.
         Α
13
         0
              But part of your job is to determine
14
     whether patients are telling the truth about pain or
15
     not --
             Yes --
16
         Α
17
              -- correct?
18
         Α
              -- that's correct.
              And you fill out a psychiatric part -- or
19
20
     portion of your exam. You state that she answers
21
     questions appropriately within normal effects.
22
              What does that mean?
              It means that she was never extreme about
23
24
     any of her answers. She never seemed angry, never
25
     seemed disturbed with me or confrontational.
```

```
Page 191
 1
              Do you believe she was telling the truth
         0
 2
     about the pain that you noted in your IME?
 3
              I don't have an answer to that.
         Α
 4
              You have to answer that question, Doctor.
         0
 5
     Do you believe --
 6
              MR. SNELL: No, he doesn't have to answer
 7
     -- he can answer it any way he wants.
 8
              It's very difficult to assess someone's
         Α
 9
     ability or inability to tell the truth based on one
10
     exam.
11
              If Coleen Perry was my patient, I would
12
     eventually formulate an opinion over time, but it's
13
     very difficult on a single exam to know if the
14
     patient is telling the truth or not.
15
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So -- and you're not
16
     trained to tell whether patients are telling the
17
     truth or not when you're doing a pain exam?
18
              I mentioned we have little training in
19
     that.
            It comes down to a gut feeling, basically.
2.0
     That's all it is.
21
              What's your gut feeling in this case?
                                                      Was
22
     she telling the truth about her pain or not?
23
              I haven't formulated an opinion on that.
         Α
24
              So you're going to give no opinion on that
25
     at trial in this case?
```

Page 192 I may give an opinion, but it's something 1 2 that I'm still struggling with to determine. 3 Doctor, this is my only chance. 0 4 entitled to know your full opinion right now on this deposition as to what you're going to testify to at 5 6 trial or not. 7 Do you understand that? What I'll testify in trial is: When I 8 Α 9 touched those four areas, she reported pain, and I 10 graded what that pain was. 11 I think it's going to be up to the jury to 12 determine whether or not she was telling the truth or 13 not. 14 Are you going to offer an opinion as to 15 whether she was telling the truth or not? 16 MR. SNELL: No. He just told you the limit 17 of his opinion right there. 18 (By Mr. Kuntz) So you're going to say when 19 you examined her, when you touched those areas, she reported those levels of pain? 20 21 Α That's correct. 22 MR. KUNTZ: And, Sean, can you hand him the 23 diagram of the vagina and mark that as an exhibit. 24 MR. KEITH: I can. I can impress everybody

how quickly I can go to it. That will be Exhibit 29.

25

```
Page 193
              (Exhibit 29 was marked.)
 1
 2
              MR. KEITH: This document that's here,
 3
     where does that go? Does that go in Exhibit 8, that
 4
     orange folder?
 5
              THE DEPONENT: This would go in the orange
 6
     folder, yes.
              MR. KEITH: Do you want to kind of get that
 7
 8
 9
              THE DEPONENT:
                             Sure.
10
              MR. KEITH: Everything that goes in
11
     Exhibit 8, can we get that over there? Do you have
12
     anything, Burt? Did you take anything from
13
    Exhibit 8?
14
              MR. SNELL:
                          No. I just have my exhibit
15
    over here that I hand marked and my documents.
16
              MR. KEITH: Those are yours.
17
              MR. SNELL: This is his. I don't know what
18
    this goes to.
              MR. KEITH: That goes in Exhibit 8. I know
19
2.0
    that for a fact.
              (Discussion off the record.)
21
22
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, I want you to mark
         0
2.3
     on Exhibit 9 the four areas that you reported pain in
24
    her vagina in your IME.
25
              MR. KEITH: It's Exhibit 29, Jeff.
                                                  I'm
```

```
Page 194
 1
     sorry.
 2
              MR. SNELL: And to the extent you're not
 3
     able to accurately mark it or depict it in this
 4
     two-dimensional view, you should note that on the
 5
              This isn't a drawing deposition.
                                                 It's a Q
 6
     and A deposition. Do you understand me?
 7
              THE DEPONENT:
                             T do.
 8
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So go ahead and mark where
         Q
 9
     you believe she had pain along the midline of --
10
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
                                             It misstates
11
     the testimony. His testimony was that that's where
12
     she reported pain.
13
              MR. KUNTZ: Are you going to testify for
14
     him, Burt, or object?
15
              MR. SNELL: In California, we have to make
16
     actually substantive form objections.
17
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Oh.
18
              MR. SNELL: At least that's what Barry
19
     keeps slapping me for.
2.0
              MR. KEITH: Barry is the quiet one at the
21
     end of the table.
22
              (Discussion off the record.)
23
                     I've marked the -- Exhibit 29, the
              Okav.
         Α
24
     four areas that I described in my physical exam.
25
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. And what are those
```

Page 195 four areas? 1 2 So the first area I marked was the 3 posterior scar and perineorrhaphy area, and that area 4 is labeled "Cyst and posterior scar: 8 out of 10." 5 The next area is X on the anterior wall near the urethra, and that's labeled "Anterior wall: 6 7 5 out of 10." And then there's an area on the right lateral vaginal wall labeled "4 out of 10." There's 8 9 an X there. And then there's an X on the left 10 lateral wall labeled "4 out of 10." 11 I want you to draw a line where the sling 0 12 was placed in her. 13 MR. SNELL: I'm going to object to making 14 the witness do that on a two-dimensional document as 15 opposed to three-dimensional. 16 MR. KUNTZ: You can object. Go --17 MR. SNELL: This isn't a drawing You can ask him questions, but I'm going 18 deposition. to tell this witness not to draw something in 19 2.0 two-dimensional. 21 (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, go ahead and mark 22 where the sling was placed. 23 I've been instructed not to do that. 24 not going to do that. 25 MR. KUNTZ: You can't instruct him not to

```
Page 196
     do that, Burt. There's absolutely no basis for that
 1
 2
     objection.
 3
              MR. SNELL: You tell me where in California
 4
     it's proper to have a witness do a drawing at a
 5
     deposition. A deposition is the question and answer
 6
     session. He's --
 7
              (All speaking simultaneously, and reporter
              requested clarification.)
 8
              MR. SNELL: He has provided a full IME
 9
10
     report to you that describes all of his findings.
11
              MR. KUNTZ: Put a diagram in the IME, or
12
     you can put him on the stand and have him draw on
13
     whatever you want. I will keep this deposition open
14
     or sit here all night until he makes that drawing.
              You cannot tell him not to make that
15
16
     drawing where the sling goes on that diagram. That's
17
     an absolutely improper objection --
18
              MR. SNELL: That -- this diagram --
19
              (All speaking simultaneously, and reporter
2.0
              requested clarification.)
21
              MR. SNELL: The diagram --
22
              MR. KEITH: Let him finish.
23
              MR. SNELL: The diagram is not an adequate
     depiction of her three-dimensionally. You have this
24
     printed out from some Web site. I don't know where.
25
```

Page 197 I think it's going to misconstrue his opinion and 1 2 testimony. 3 MR. KEITH: Okay. Go, Jeff. 4 MR. KUNTZ: You can object all you want for 5 the record, and you can fix it with the judge, if you 6 believe that's the rule. And you can ask him on 7 direct to show whatever diagram you want. And if 8 this is used, you can redirect him. (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, draw the line where 9 10 the sling went. 11 MR. SNELL: He can mark an X where the 12 sling was, but I'm not telling him to draw a line 13 where the sling went because this thing is not in any 14 type of three-dimensional form. 15 (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, do you ever use 16 non-three-dimensional models or diagrams to show your 17 patients things? 18 I've brought an exhibit with me -- it's in Exhibit 8 -- of some drawings that I use with my 19 2.0 patients when describing mid-urethral sling 21 procedures to them. I'm going to go ahead and get 22 that out of the orange folder that's already been 23 marked as Exhibit 8. 24 Great. Mark where her sling went on that, 25 and then mark all the areas of pain on that diagram,

```
Page 198
 1
     too.
 2
         Α
              Okay. Great.
 3
              Well, on this document from Ethicon, you
 4
     know, there's already a picture of the sling.
 5
     mean, I can circle what the sling looks like, but
 6
     it's already marked on the drawings.
 7
              Okay.
         0
                     Show me the four areas of pain on
 8
     that drawing as well.
 9
              Okay. This is glossy, so it's going to be
     a little hard to mark up, but we'll see what we can
10
11
     do.
12
              MR. SNELL: I'm going to object to the
13
     depiction again. It's not adequate. It doesn't
14
     demonstrate the areas of her colporrhaphy and
15
    perineoplasty scarring. So there you are.
              MR. KEITH: What page -- you're going to
16
17
    mark it so we'll know clearly. Jeff, he's in the --
18
     what I would describe as a bound flip board, and it
19
     doesn't have page numbers, does it, Doc?
2.0
              THE DEPONENT: The problem with me marking
21
     this, is this is something I use in my practice.
22
     really --
23
              MR. SNELL: He's not going to mark it.
24
              THE DEPONENT: I really don't care to
25
     destroy it.
```

```
Page 199
              MR. SNELL: You don't have to mark it up
 1
 2
     then.
 3
              MR. KEITH: Well, go make a copy of it
 4
     then.
 5
              MR. KUNTZ: You don't have another copy of
 6
     it?
 7
                          Let me see if I can make a
              MR. SNELL:
 8
           That's a good point.
     copy.
 9
              THE DEPONENT: Okay. We can make a copy of
10
     it.
11
              MR. KEITH: Jeff, he has not marked on the
12
     Exhibit 29 yet, and I don't want Burt leaving yet
13
     until -- but I just want you to know he still hasn't
14
     marked on Exhibit 29.
15
              MR. SNELL: Why don't you just ask -- I
16
     don't have a problem if you have him mark like an X
17
     where the sling was, but are you trying to ask him to
18
     draw where the sling incision was? Because you have
19
     given him this 2-D model that I think an X is fine.
20
              I have no problem with marking an X, but
21
     trying to redraw an incision on this, that -- I have
     a problem with that.
22
23
              MR. KUNTZ: That's not what I was saying,
24
     Burt. I was saying mark an X. I'm not saying --
25
              MR. SNELL: Oh, okay. Then if you want to
```

```
Page 200
     mark an X, then I'm okay with that.
 1
 2
              (All speaking simultaneously.)
 3
              MR. KEITH: Let him finish.
 4
              MR. KUNTZ: I was asking where the sling
 5
     went.
 6
              MR. SNELL: Okay. I'm sorry.
 7
     misunderstood you.
 8
              MR. KUNTZ: I'm not trying to say do a
 9
     freak'n, you know, artist rendition.
10
                          That's fine. That's fine.
              MR. SNELL:
                                                       Т
    misunderstood you. Yeah. Go ahead and mark an X
11
12
     wherever the sling would have been, if you can.
                                                       Ιf
     you can't, I mean, you've got to tell us.
13
14
              Well, the pen that Sean gave me was in
15
     blue, so I'm going to mark in black just to try to
16
     distinguish the pain and then the sling.
17
              And instead of putting an X, why don't I
18
     just draw like a couple little solid dots just to try
19
     to distinguish.
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Perfect.
         Q
21
              MR. KEITH: I'm looking at it. Yeah.
                                                      Go
22
     ahead and do that. Okay. So the doc drew four dots
23
     across the --
24
              THE DEPONENT: Five.
25
              MR. KEITH: -- five dots from right to left
```

```
Page 201
     across the upper third of -- kind of where it says
 1
     "urethra," kind of in that area. Drew five dots.
 2
 3
     looks -- so --
 4
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And, Doctor, these are the
 5
     four areas that she reported pain to you during your
     examination?
 6
 7
              Yeah. I'm going --
         Α
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
 8
              I'm going to continue to just draw this
 9
     out. There's no picture of the obturator foramen,
10
11
     but I'm just drawing how it would be lying inside the
12
     body.
13
              MR. KEITH: He's talking about the sling at
14
    this point.
15
              MR. KUNTZ: Gotcha.
16
              MR. KEITH: All right.
                                      Jeff?
17
              MR. SNELL: Put that away. Listen to his
18
     questions. I'll take care of that.
19
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, you've marked the
20
     four areas where you believe -- strike that.
21
              You marked the four areas that she reported
22
     pain?
23
              Yes.
         Α
              And in your IME, you believe that she needs
24
25
     certain treatment for her current pain conditions,
```

Page 202 correct? 1 2 Objection, vague. MR. SNELL: 3 I have assessment and opinions at the end, Α 4 if you want me to go through those. 5 (By Mr. Kuntz) Right. What are the things you believe she needs for treatment for her current 6 7 pain condition that you list on Page 8 of your IME? 8 So you're speaking to No. 2, pelvic pain? Α Correct. 9 10 She may consider pharmacologic therapy, 11 vaginal massage, vaginal suppositories, physical 12 therapy. Tramadol is what she's using currently. 13 So those are the treatments you believe she 14 needs for her current issues related to pain? 15 MR. SNELL: Objection, form. It misstates. 16 Α Those are some potential options that she 17 can consider. 18 (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. What type of pharmacological therapy do you think she needs? 19 20 Objection, form. It misstates. MR. SNELL: 21 Pharmacologic therapy could include Α 22 nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. The vaginal 23 suppositories could be a muscle relaxant. 24 instance, like Valium. Pharmacologic therapy could 25 also include trigger point injection of an anesthetic

Page 203

- 1 and a steroid. Topical creams may be considered like
- 2 vaginal estrogen, lidocaine, jelly, lubricants.
- 3 **Q** (By Mr. Kuntz) What about vaginal massage?
- 4 How often do you think she should get vaginal
- 5 massage?
- 6 MR. SNELL: Again, objection, form. It
- 7 misstates his testimony.
- 8 A I said that's something she can consider,
- 9 but I don't have a specific regimen for her.
- 10 **Q** (By Mr. Kuntz) What is vaginal massage?
- 11 Is that an invasive procedure?
- 12 A No, I wouldn't consider it any more
- invasive than, you know, a sexual encounter.
- 14 **Q** What type of physical therapy do you
- 15 believe she should consider?
- 16 **A** Physical therapy could include heat-based
- 17 therapy, ultrasonic therapy, electrical therapy with
- 18 TENS unit.
- 19 It may be directed at the vagina. It can
- 20 be directed at her groin. It can be directed at her
- 21 lower back, her SI joints. So that might include
- 22 manipulation of the sacroiliac joints. It might mean
- 23 vaginal adjustments or manipulation.
- So there's a lot of overlap between massage
- 25 and therapy. Generally if it's massage, it's

```
Page 204
     performed by a massage therapist. If it's physical
 1
 2
     therapy, I'm speaking to that provided by a licensed
 3
     physical therapist.
 4
              Do you believe smoking is a
 5
     contraindication for the use of the TVT Abbrevo?
 6
              No.
         Α
 7
              Do you put the TVT Abbrevo in smokers?
 8
         Α
              I discuss with them that it's a risk
 9
     factor, but if they accept the risk, then I'm
10
     comfortable doing the surgery.
              What's the conversation you have with them
11
         0
12
     exactly?
13
              Especially in today's environment, I go
14
     over the risks and benefits of the procedure and I
15
     try to help identify who may be an appropriate
16
     candidate, who may not be an appropriate candidate.
17
              Do you -- in your consent or that risk
         Q
18
     discussion, do you write those down in your consent?
19
              I don't write it down in my consent.
20
     don't write risk factors in the consent. My consent
21
     has the goals of the procedure, the risks of the
22
     procedure, alternatives to the procedure, what
23
     happens if you decide to do nothing.
24
              You know, I discuss the anesthesia and
25
     those risks, general medical risks, but I don't go
```

Page 205 over risk factors of the surgery. That's part of the 1 2 discussion. The risk factors, that's not part of the 3 informed consent. That might be part of my patient 4 counseling. 5 Have you ever reviewed any documents from Ethicon that state the TVT Abbrevo should not be used 6 7 in smokers? 8 I've never seen that stated anywhere in their literature. 9 10 Do you believe that Mrs. Perry's smoking 11 contributed to her erosion? 12 MR. SNELL: Form. It misstates prior 13 testimony. 14 I believe it's one of many factors that was 15 contributory. 16 (By Mr. Kuntz) Can you state to a 17 reasonable degree of medical certainty whether her smoking contributed to her erosion? 18 19 MR. SNELL: Objection, form. It misstates. 2.0 He said she has an exposure, not an erosion. That's 21 my form objection, if you want to clean it up. 22 MR. KUNTZ: Okay. 23 I'm just trying to help you out MR. SNELL: 24 because that's the only basis for my objection. 25 (By Mr. Kuntz) You don't believe "erosion" 0

```
Page 206
     is an appropriate term at all, do you, Doctor?
 1
 2
              I believe it's a term that we use commonly,
 3
     but in written communications and in critical
 4
     discussions, I try to use the most accurate term.
 5
              Well, shouldn't Ethicon use the most
     accurate terms in their instructions for use and
 6
 7
     promotional documents?
 8
              I think that, you know, you try to use
 9
     common language, you know, terms that can be
10
     translated into multiple languages. So it's a
11
     difficult process.
12
              Do you believe that Ethicon should use the
13
     accurate terms in their IFUs or promotional
14
     materials? Do you think it's appropriate for them to
     use "erosion" when you don't use that word?
15
16
              I didn't say I don't use that word. I said
17
     I didn't use that word in the IME. I don't use that
18
     in my publications, but it's a word that comes out of
19
     my mouth at least every day.
2.0
              Okay. Do you believe that Mrs. Perry's
         Q
21
     smoking caused or contributed to her exposure?
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
              And you can say that to a reasonable degree
24
     of medical certainty?
25
         Α
              Yes, I can.
```

```
Page 207
              Do you believe Dr. Luu is below the
 1
 2
     standard of care for implanting or prescribing the
 3
     TVT Abbrevo to a patient that smokes?
 4
         Α
              No, I don't believe that he fell below the
 5
     standard of care in offering this procedure to her.
 6
              Do you believe Dr. Luu fell below the
         0
 7
     standard of care in any respect in this case?
 8
         Α
              I have some serious concerns with the way
 9
     he performed the procedure with options that he
10
     didn't offer her. I have some concerns on her
11
     evaluation, her workup, her procedure selection.
                                                        So
12
     I have some concerns.
13
              MR. SNELL: We're not putting him up to say
14
     he breached the standard of care, if that's what
15
     you're asking.
16
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Right.
17
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So you have no opinions
         Q
18
     that he actually fell below the standard of care in
19
     any respect?
2.0
              I'm not going to offer that opinion, but I
         Α
21
     do think that there were some things where the
22
     procedure was done that may have led her to be more
23
     likely to have an exposure.
24
              Well, Doctor, it's a real simple question.
         0
25
              Do you believe he fell below the standard
```

```
Page 208
     of care in any respect or not?
 1
 2
              I'm not going to offer an opinion that he
 3
     fell below the standard of care. So my answer would
 4
     be no.
 5
              Are you going to offer an opinion that
 6
     Dr. Singh fell below the standard of care in any
 7
     respect?
 8
              Dr. Singh?
         Α
              Yes.
 9
10
         Α
              No.
11
              What literature do you rely on to support
         0
     your statement that 40 percent dyspareunia rate after
12
13
     posterior colporrhaphy?
              There is a number of articles. The Jameson
14
15
     article from 1996 is an important one. The Arnold
16
     article, the Karram article.
17
              So I believe that the incidence is
18
     somewhere between 20 and 46 percent.
19
         Q
              20 percent and 46 percent?
2.0
              Somewhere in that range.
              Do you know -- in any of the cases that
21
22
     reported 40 percent and 46 percent, did they plicate
23
     the levators in those procedures?
24
              It's hard to know exactly what were done in
25
     some of the procedures. The incidence is variable,
```

Page 209 depending on the type of posterior colporrhaphy, 1 2 whether or not levators were plicated, whether or not 3 it was site specific, whether or not it was just a 4 plication, was the peroneal body involved. So that's 5 at least the variability. 6 Would you agree that if the levators were plicated in those procedures or in those studies, 7 that the rate of dyspareunia would increase? 8 9 MR. SNELL: Form, foundation. 10 I don't plicate the levators, and I 11 think that's something that you have to be careful 12 about. 13 (By Mr. Kuntz) And you don't plicate the 14 levators because it would increase the rate of 15 dyspareunia or the chances of dyspareunia, correct? 16 Α It would depend on the patients, but on 17 most patients, I don't. On older patients who are 18 not sexually active, plicating the levators would 19 improve your outcome in terms of not having recurrent 20 prolapse. 21 So it's individualized to the patient, depending on what their goals are for the procedure. 22 23 That wasn't my question, Doctor. 24 Would plicating the levators increase the 25 chance for dyspareunia and increase the rates of

```
Page 210
     dyspareunia?
 1
 2
         Α
              The answer would be yes.
 3
              Okay. And Dr. Luu did not plicate the
         0
 4
     levators in his posterior colporrhaphy in this case,
 5
     did he?
 6
              I'm going to get Dr. Luu's operative report
         Α
 7
     out.
              You don't -- you don't know as we sit here
 8
 9
     right now?
10
              I don't believe he did, but I want to be
11
     certain of that, as I'm going to make testimony to
12
     that.
13
              MR. KEITH: He's looking at Exhibit No. --
14
     what do you think it is, Doc?
15
              THE DEPONENT:
                             Seven.
16
              MR. KEITH: He is looking at Exhibit No. 7.
17
              Okay. So I have Dr. Luu's operative report
18
     in front of me. It's part of Exhibit 7 and at the
19
     very -- that's Dr. Allen's. I think I've got it.
2.0
              So when describing the posterior repair,
21
     there's not a lot of detail, but Dr. Luu says that
22
     the endopelvic fascia was reinforced with 2-0 Vicryl.
23
     Posterior vaginal mucosal incision. Re-approximated
24
     with 2-0 Vicryl running-locking. Bulbocavernosus
25
     muscle was re-approximated with 2-0 Vicryl.
```

Page 211 None of those structures I would consider 1 2 as part of the levator complex. A levator complex, 3 in terms of the muscle, is the iliococcygeus and 4 pubococcygeus muscles. 5 (By Mr. Kuntz) So is -- the answer to my 6 question is: You agree that he did not plicate the 7 levators? 8 MR. SNELL: Form. 9 I agree. 10 (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Would you agree that Dr. Luu's procedure was a site-specific repair? 11 12 MR. SNELL: Form. 13 No, I disagree with that. Α 14 (By Mr. Kuntz) You disagree with that? 15 Yeah. He never mentions any -- finding any Α 16 specific defects. He did a compensatory repair. 17 That's the type of repair he did. 18 Are you speaking to the posterior wall? Α 19 0 Yes. 2.0 MR. SNELL: Where are these articles at? 21 Do you have them? 22 (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree with me the 23 posterior repair -- or I guess you -- strike that. You disagree that the posterior repair on 24 Mrs. Perry by Dr. Luu was a site-specific repair? 25

```
Page 212
             Yeah, I disagree with that.
 1
         Α
 2
              Okay. Do you believe that the TVT Abbrevo
     mesh contributed in any way to her current
 3
 4
     conditions?
              No, I don't believe it's contributed to her
 5
 6
     current condition.
 7
              Okay. So the mesh that eroded -- the TVT
     Abbrevo mesh did not contribute to any of her current
 8
     injuries, correct, in your opinion?
 9
10
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. He just
11
     answered that, asked and answered.
12
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. But her smoking
         Q
13
     contributed to it, correct?
14
              Her smoking contributed to the wound
15
     dehiscense that she had anteriorly.
             So that's a "yes"?
16
         Q
17
              MR. SNELL: Objection. It misstates.
                 It's not a "yes." The smoking
18
         Α
              No.
     contributed to the healing abnormality that existed
19
2.0
     in her.
21
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you note in Dr. Singh's
22
     deposition, what he testified to, about the healing
23
     of her wound two months after the procedure?
              Yeah, I'm familiar with the time line and
24
25
     what Dr. Singh had testified. I believe he was the
```

Page 213 only physician up until that point that ever examined 1 2 her after Dr. Luu's surgery. So we'll have to go on Dr. Singh's testimony. 3 4 Okay. And if he believes that two months 5 after the procedure his exam showed that she was 6 healed, do you disagree with that? 7 MR. SNELL: If you need to get his records, 8 get his records out. At eight weeks, Dr. Singh saw her at four 9 10 weeks and then again at eight weeks. And sometime in 11 and around that -- after that eight-week visit, she 12 saw Dr. Singh, and he noted there was a mesh 13 exposure. 14 So I would say somewhere between that 15 second postop visit -- probably within a few days 16 Coleen Perry complained of pain when she had sexual 17 intercourse. 18 (By Mr. Kuntz) That's not even close to my question, Doctor. 19 2.0 Do you know one way or another what 21 Dr. Singh said about Mrs. Perry's healing issues? 22 MR. SNELL: We have the records, I think, 23 Get the records. 24 Let me go ahead and get Dr. Singh's

deposition in front of me.

25

```
Page 214
 1
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do whatever you want.
 2
              When you were reviewing and preparing for
 3
     this deposition and forming your opinions in this
 4
     case, did you take into account what Dr. Singh said
 5
     about Mrs. Perry's healing condition?
 6
              Yeah, I took into my opinion Dr. Singh's
 7
     reports. I did read his office notes.
 8
              MR. SNELL: I might have his records on
 9
     this.
10
              MR. KOOPMANN:
                             I do.
11
              MR. SNELL: Do you have hard copies?
12
              MR. KEITH: What are we looking for?
13
              MR. SNELL: Dr. Singh's records. I don't
14
     know if we -- if those are printed out in a hard
15
     copy. Do you see any records over there?
16
              MR. KEITH:
                          No.
17
              (Discussion off the record.)
18
              THE DEPONENT: We could open up one of the
19
     CDs that's marked as an exhibit.
2.0
              MR. SNELL: That's a good idea. Hold on.
              (Discussion off the record.)
21
22
              (Mr. Keith left the room.)
23
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. What is your
24
     understanding as to Dr. Singh's opinion on
25
     Mrs. Perry's healing issues?
```

```
Page 215
              You know, the notes are hard to read.
 1
 2
     They're handwritten. With that said, I'm looking at
 3
     the March 24, 2011 visit. That's one day post-op.
 4
     He didn't examine her that day. He saw her just to
 5
     remove the Foley catheter.
 6
              April 4, 2011, it says, "Cuff healing," and
 7
     that's on April 4th. So I'm not sure what he's
 8
     describing as the cuff because she didn't have a
 9
     hysterectomy, but he says, "Cuff healing."
10
              On April 15th, she's still having
11
     yellowish, abnormal discharge -- yellowish discharge
12
     less than before.
13
              (Mr. Keith entered the room.)
14
              Physical exam. It says, "Normal
15
     discharge." It looks like it says, "Incision
16
     stable."
              And then we jump to May 16, 2011. It says,
17
18
     "No evidence of mesh erosion. Stitches intact." So
19
     that's -- three months after surgery I guess there's
20
     still stitches there.
21
              (By Mr. Kuntz) So, Doctor, do you know by
         0
22
     any of the information you reviewed in this case and
23
     provided to you by defense counsel what Dr. Singh's
24
     opinion is with respect to Mrs. Perry's healing
25
     issue? Yes or no?
```

```
Page 216
 1
              MR. SNELL: Form, vaque.
 2
              I don't believe Dr. Singh formulated an
 3
     opinion on why she had a healing abnormality.
 4
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you know if Dr. Singh
 5
     formulated an opinion that she did not have a healing
 6
     issue? Do you know one way or the other?
 7
              I know he was concerned about the diet she
         Α
 8
     was on.
              That's not my question. We're talking
 9
10
     about the healing issue, Doctor.
11
              Do you know one way or another, as we sit
12
     here today, as you have been prepared to offer your
13
     opinions in this case, whether Dr. Singh had an
14
     opinion or not whether she had healing issues that
15
     led to her erosion?
16
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
17
     answered.
18
              I don't believe he had an opinion.
19
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Do you know whether
2.0
     Dr. Singh had an opinion whether Mrs. Perry's diet or
21
     weight loss led to her exposure?
22
              I know that he was concerned about her
23
     weight loss, but I don't believe he stated in his
24
     deposition or in the medical record that that was the
25
     cause.
```

```
Page 217
              And you do believe that her rapid weight
 1
 2
     loss and diet was partly -- part of the cause of her
 3
     erosion, correct?
 4
         Α
              Correct.
 5
              MR. SNELL: Form. Hold on. Form as to
     "erosion."
 6
 7
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You believe that her rapid
 8
     weight loss and diet led to her exposure, correct?
 9
              Correct.
10
              And do you know whether Dr. Singh had an
11
     opinion as to whether her weight loss and diet led to
12
     her exposure?
13
              I believe we answered that, but I think he
14
     was concerned about the 19-pound weight loss, and
15
     that was in and around the time he discovered the
16
     exposure, but I don't believe he offered an opinion
17
     that that was the cause.
18
              If he offered an opinion -- okay. So you
     disagree with Dr. Singh then?
19
2.0
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation, form.
21
              Disagree with what statement that he made?
         Α
22
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You say that the weight
23
     loss and diet led to her exposure or contributed to
24
     it. Dr. Singh says it did not. Do you disagree with
25
     Dr. Singh?
```

```
Page 218
              MR. SNELL: Objection, foundation.
 1
 2
              Dr. Singh never stated one way or another.
 3
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. If Dr. Singh did, in
         0
 4
     fact, state that he never had a concern that her
 5
     fluctuation of weight could have caused a problem
     with her implant, do you disagree with that
 6
 7
     statement?
 8
              I would then, yes.
              Okay. And if Dr. Singh stated that he does
 9
10
     not believe that her healing issues related to her
11
     exposure or contributed to her exposure, you would
12
     disagree with Dr. Singh?
13
              I would, yes.
14
              Okay. And he was the person there treating
15
     her at the time that these issues were taking place,
16
     correct?
              That's correct, but Dr. Singh is not a
17
18
     surgeon. He doesn't even perform surgery.
19
              MR. KUNTZ: Move to strike.
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Tell me exactly what the
         Q
     hCG diet is.
21
22
              The hCG is human chorionic gonadotropin.
         Α
23
     It's a popular diet these days amongst women.
     diet that I know a number of institutions have
24
25
     concerns about.
```

```
Page 219
              So the effect of that diet in treating
 1
 2
     obesity is something that causes nutritionists/
 3
     dietitians concern. I think it would be described as
 4
     a fad diet.
              How is the hCG diet administered?
              Administered?
 6
         Α
 7
         Q
              Yeah.
 8
              I believe that they get either a shot or an
         A
     infusion of the hormone.
 9
10
              Is it intermittent or continuous?
11
         Α
              It would be intermittent.
12
              Do you believe that Mrs. Perry had enough
         0
     hormones to avoid the catabolic state? Do you have
13
14
     an opinion on that one way or another?
15
              I believe that her body was put in a
16
     catabolic state by the hCG diet. That's my opinion.
17
              What evidence do you have to support that
         0
     she was in a catabolic state?
18
              That would be based on the review of the
19
2.0
     records of her weight loss. It would be based on her
21
     complaints to Dr. Singh, that she felt light-headed;
22
     she had blurred vision; she just was not feeling
23
     well, having headaches.
              Are you critical of Dr. Singh's decision to
24
25
     offer Ms. Perry the hCG diet after her mesh implant?
```

Page 220

- 1 A Yes, I'm concerned about that.
- 2 **Q** Do you believe that Dr. Singh fell below
- 3 the standard of care in prescribing the hCG diet
- 4 after her implant?
- 5 MR. SNELL: Objection, form. He's already
- 6 testified he's not saying he's below the standard of
- 7 care.
- 8 A I don't believe he was below the standard
- 9 of care, but it's certainly not something I would
- 10 have prescribed.
- 11 **Q** (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you have any idea the
- 12 types of proteins and vitamins that Mrs. Perry was
- 13 using while she was on the hCG diet?
- 14 **A** I am aware that she was getting vitamin C
- 15 infusions, amongst other things.
- 16 Q What hormones was Mrs. Perry on when she
- 17 was on the hCG diet?
- 18 A Well, she has hypothyroidism. And so she
- 19 was getting thyroid replacement. That's something
- 20 that she had been on chronically at the
- 21 recommendation of Dr. Mathur. Also progesterone. I
- 22 believe that she had been on that for quite some time
- 23 at the recommendation of Dr. Mathur.
- 24 **Q** Do you have any literature on your reliance
- 25 list that supports that cysts can spontaneously

Page 221 appear and disappear within weeks? 1 2 I don't believe I have it on my reliance 3 list, but I think that's common knowledge in terms of 4 surgical scars and wound healing. So you believe that she had a cyst that 5 6 could appear and disappear within weeks, correct? 7 I think that that's very common. Α Yeah. 8 These go through cycles where they may drain spontaneously and then reoccur. 9 10 Okay. So like today, she might not have 11 that cyst, correct? 12 That's a possibility. 13 Okay. And did anybody ever -- before the 14 time you did your IME -- ever note this cyst in any 15 of the records you reviewed for Mrs. Perry? 16 Α I don't see any note, but I don't know if 17 anybody ever looked at the posterior wall after 18 Dr. Allen. Did Dr. Allen ever notice a cyst in her 19 20 posterior wall? 21 No. Α Did Dr. Margolis, in his IME, note a cyst 22 23 in her posterior wall? MR. SNELL: Objection, form. He didn't 24 25 note anything on the wall. I'm going to -- that's

```
Page 222
     just incomplete.
 1
 2
              I don't believe he examined the posterior
 3
 4
              MR. SNELL: Calls for speculation.
              -- wall.
 5
         Α
 6
              MR. SNELL: Calls for speculation.
 7
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Safe to say of all the
     depositions, all the records you've reviewed in this
 8
 9
     case, you're the only person to ever note this
10
     disappearing/appearing cyst?
11
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
                                             Tt.
12
     mischaracterizes the doctor's opinion and description
13
     of the cyst. Go ahead.
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Doctor, you're the only
14
15
     person in this case of all the doctors that have ever
16
     treated Mrs. Perry that have noted this cyst,
17
     correct?
18
         Α
              Correct.
              And it's your testimony that this cyst can
19
20
     appear and disappear and reappear, correct?
21
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. I think that
22
     misstates again.
23
              It would be nice to examine her, you know,
     multiple times, you know, over time; but I know, at
24
25
     least when I examined her on December 19th,
```

```
Page 223
     unequivocally I detected a cyst along the posterior
 1
 2
     colporrhaphy perineorrhaphy scar.
 3
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And do you believe at one
         0
 4
     time that her husband was suffering injury from the
 5
     mesh exposure?
 6
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, foundation,
 7
     "suffering."
 8
              I believe the husband had complaints.
         Α
                                                      Ι
 9
     wouldn't characterize it as an injury.
10
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. So he had
     complaints. What were his complaints of?
11
12
              He had mentioned that he felt like he was
         Α
13
     scratched.
14
              Have you ever seen any internal Ethicon
15
     documents or complaints from patients related to a
     husband being scratched on the penis during sex
16
17
     because of mesh exposures?
18
              No, I'm not aware of that.
19
              Do you know how often those have been
20
     reported to Ethicon, those types of injuries?
21
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, "injury."
                                                       Ιt
22
     mischaracterizes.
23
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Doctor, do you think
24
     an abrasion on a man's penis is an injury?
25
         Α
              As much as, you know, a little cut on your
```

Page 224 face from shaving. I mean, it depends on how you 1 describe the word "injury." I think that's a very 2 3 strong description. 4 What would you classify -- what would you 5 call a scrape or abrasion on the penis? How would you classify that? 6 7 I would use those exact words, "a scrape" or "an abrasion." 8 9 Okay. 10 I don't believe anybody ever examined that. 11 I don't see any medical records to support that that 12 ever happened. I don't see that he was ever examined 13 by his physician to document that there was an abrasion. 14 15 Do you think he's telling the truth when he says that? 16 17 I have some concerns about his truthfulness. 18 19 Q So you think he's lying? 2.0 MR. SNELL: Form. It misstates. Go ahead. 21 I think that that complaint is something Α 22 that he either read on the Internet or was fed to 23 him. 24 (By Mr. Kuntz) You have no basis for 25 making that statement, do you?

Page 225 I made the statement, so I must have some 1 basis for it. 2 3 Did he report those injuries before or 0 4 after this lawsuit was filed? That's hard to know. I believe that it was 5 afterwards, but I can only go on his deposition. Who 6 7 did he report the injuries to? Doctor, do you know if he reported any of 8 Q 9 these injuries or Mrs. Perry reported any of those 10 injuries prior to the time this lawsuit was filed? 11 Yes or no? 12 MR. SNELL: Form objection. It misstates, 13 "injuries" again. Compound as to him or Mrs. Perry. 14 I can see in the medical record, on 15 November 10, 2014, from Dr. Allen, that it was 16 mentioned to Dr. Allen. It says, "Husband complains 17 of some abrasion." 18 (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you see it anywhere 19 else in her records prior to 2014? 2.0 I would like to go to Dr. Singh's record Α 21 and look at Dr. Singh's record to see if that was 22 mentioned, when Mrs. Perry was referred to Dr. Allen 23 when the exposure was discovered. 24 MR. KEITH: And for the record, the doctor 25 is looking at Burt's computer, which has Dr. Singh's

```
Page 226
 1
     records opened.
 2
              So there's a note on September 7th.
     says, "Complains of feeling something sticking out of
 3
 4
     her vagina. Patient had TOT 3-2011."
 5
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What date is that record?
 6
         Α
              This is a note from Dr. Singh, September 7,
 7
            "Physical exam: Mesh erosion 2 centimeters at
     2011.
     anterior wall."
 8
              In any event, you think that Mr. Perry was
 9
10
     seeded with this information about having an abrasion
11
     on his penis?
12
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form. It misstates.
13
              What I'm going to state is that I don't see
14
     that he brought that complaint up or that it's ever
     noted in the medical record until November 10, 2014.
15
16
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. You don't have an
         Q
17
     opinion in this case to a medical degree of --
18
     reasonable degree of medical certainty about her
     current condition or her current incontinence
19
2.0
     condition, correct?
21
              Do I have an opinion about a current
     incontinence condition? Is that the question?
22
23
              Yes.
         Q
24
              Okay. What I said in my IME is that her
25
     urinary incontinence is unspecified, meaning
```

```
Page 227
     uncharacterized.
 1
 2
              So you can't say what it is one way or the
 3
     other, correct, to a reasonable degree of medical
 4
     certainty?
              MR. SNELL: Objection. It misstates.
 5
              What do you mean by "one way or another"?
 6
 7
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) You believe that you need
     to do more testing and urodynamics need to be done in
 8
     order to determine her current state of incontinence?
 9
10
              If she was my patient, that's what I would
11
     do next.
12
              And when you performed your cough procedure
         Q
13
     to determine incontinence, it's inconclusive because
14
     she might have voided immediately before the exam,
15
     correct?
              That's --
16
         Α
17
              MR. SNELL: Form.
18
         Α
              The cough test would only rule things in.
     It doesn't rule it out.
19
2.0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Did you tell her to use the
         Q
     restroom before her exam?
21
22
              I asked her if she would like to use the
         Α
23
                I didn't tell her to use the restroom.
24
              And you'd agree you have to have at least
     200 ccs in the bladder for the cough test to be
25
```

Page 228 1 representative, correct? 2 There's a lot of pitfalls to the cough 3 test. I would agree to that. 4 Q Did you do that test when she was standing up or laying down? 5 6 She was laying down. Α 7 Q And she did use the restroom prior to the 8 procedure, correct? 9 Correct. 10 MR. SNELL: I'm going to note on the record 11 an objection as to the questioning of this witness as 12 to the cough test only as if he was given the option 13 of doing urodynamics because plaintiff's counsel 14 insisted that the exam only consist of a history, a 15 physical exam, and plaintiff's counsel did not give 16 the opportunity for any type of urodynamic testing. 17 MR. KUNTZ: Are you done testifying? 18 MR. SNELL: No, no, no. I'm not testifying. I'm just making my record, Jeff. 19 That's 20 all I'm doing. Go ahead. 21 MR. KUNTZ: A lot more than that. You know 22 that, but that's all right. 23 No, no, no. That's purely a MR. SNELL: 24 record statement. Go ahead. 25 0 (By Mr. Kuntz) What literature can you

```
Page 229
    point to that discusses cysts that disappear and
 1
 2
     reappear, Doctor?
 3
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, asked and
 4
     answered.
 5
              What literature? I think you can look at
 6
    multiple Web sites, textbooks. It's well described
 7
     that you can have cysts in a prior scar and an
     incision.
 8
 9
              MR. KUNTZ: I'm almost done.
10
              MR. SNELL: Okay.
11
              (By Mr. Kuntz) What do you believe to be
         0
12
     the exposure rates for the TVT Abbrevo?
13
              I would say less than 3 percent.
         Α
14
              And what do you base that on?
15
              That would be based on my own personal
16
     experience with the device, review of the medical
17
     literature, review of the articles that we mentioned
18
     earlier that speak to TVT Abbrevo: de Leval,
19
     Waltregny, Tommaselli. I have them listed in my
20
     Summary of Opinions.
21
              MR. SNELL: Go ahead and --
22
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Have you ever looked at any
         Q
23
     of the exposure rates for the TVT-S studies since we
24
     know it uses the laser-cut mesh?
25
         Α
              Yeah.
                     I believe the exposure rate for
```

Page 230 TVT-S is probably about the same. I think the whole 1 2 family of products have about the same erosion, 3 exposure, perforation, whatever word you want to use, 4 healing abnormalities. 5 I think the complications with the 6 exception of bladder perforation are the same across 7 all the products. 8 So do you believe the whole TVT line of 9 products roughly has the same exposure rate of 3 10 percent? 11 Α Yeah, 3 percent is probably the highest 12 number I've seen. I've seen it as low as .5 percent. 13 So 3 percent is the highest exposure rate 14 you've seen for the TVT line of products? 15 Yeah, yes. Α 16 What about erosion rate? 17 Well, I'm speaking to exposure, and I think 18 that -- you know, again, that word "erosion" is a tricky one. I would say if you're meaning urinary 19 2.0 tract erosion, now known as urinary tract 21 perforation, it would be somewhere around .5 percent 22 or even less. 23 Okay. Give me one second. 24 Do you agree that you're one of the biggest 25 sling users in Colorado?

Brian Flynn, M.D. Page 231 MR. SNELL: Objection, form, "sling users." 1 2 I realize I have a busy practice in female 3 pelvic medicine and reconstructive surgery with 4 respect to my colleagues in this state. 5 (By Mr. Kuntz) Do you agree with Ethicon's 6 assessment, that you're a big advocate of the 7 Abbrevo? I don't know how they would -- how they 8 9 characterized me, but certainly I stood behind the 10 product. I did the video. You know, I taught the 11 procedure. So that would probably qualify me as an 12 advocate. 13 Right. And you've stood behind the TVT 14 Secur and Prolift in the same way, and they're now 15 off the market, correct? 16 I was a preceptor for those products, and I Α 17 did videos and studies of papers, abstracts, et 18 cetera. How many depositions have you given in your 19 2.0 career in medical-legal work? 21 In the last five years? Α 22 0 No. Ever. 23 Somewhere around, I would say, seven

certainly less than 15. Probably less than 10.

or eight. I'm guessing on the exact number. Less --

24

25

```
Page 232
              Were any of those in mesh cases?
 1
         Q
 2
              In recent years, yes.
         Α
 3
              Did you testify as an expert witness in
 4
     those cases?
                   I've -- this is the first time I've
 5
 6
     given deposition as an expert witness.
 7
         0
              Is the Lewis case and this case the only
 8
     two cases you've been designated as an expert
 9
     witness?
10
         Α
              That's correct.
11
              Okay. Have you ever testified on behalf of
         0
12
     an injured plaintiff in a lawsuit?
13
         Α
              The majority --
14
              MR. SNELL: Form. Objection, form, "on
    behalf," vague.
15
16
              I've testified as a treating physician.
     I've done that a number of times for my patients.
17
18
              (By Mr. Kuntz) On your Summary of Opinions
19
     sheet, did I ask you, did you look at Dr. Grier's
20
     when you were drafting this?
21
              MR. SNELL: Yeah, you already asked him
22
     about this. This is all asked and answered.
23
     ahead.
24
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Is that a "yes" or "no"?
         0
25
         Α
              I reviewed Dr. Grier's exhibits, including
```

Page 233 his Summary of Opinions. 1 2 And you'd agree that some of your summary Q 3 of opinions are exactly the same as his summary of 4 opinions? 5 MR. SNELL: Objection, form. Go ahead. 6 Doug and I had similar practices, and we 7 think very similarly about this product. So yeah, I 8 agree that a lot of the statements are similar to 9 Doug, and they're similar to what 95 percent of 10 urologists and urogynecologists would say. 11 (By Mr. Kuntz) And that's based on the 0 12 AUGS statement and the papers cited in the AUGS 13 statement? 14 MR. SNELL: Objection, form. 15 AUGS statements, conversation with Α 16 colleagues. 17 (By Mr. Kuntz) You've never determined an Q 18 amount or a percentage of your colleagues that you

19 talked to as to 95 percent? You don't know that, do

20 you, Doctor?

21 I wouldn't know the exact number, but I 22 could easily say that the overwhelming majority of 23 colleagues that do what I do use mid-urethral mesh 24 slings.

25 Q You've never done any research to see any

```
Page 234
     institution or doctors who are now not doing slings,
 1
 2
     have you?
 3
              Me, personally, have I done a study?
         Α
 4
         0
              Yes.
              No, I haven't surveyed people around the
 5
         Α
 6
     country, no.
 7
              And the only institution you can mention on
     record that you know might not be using slings is the
 8
     Mayo Clinic, correct?
 9
10
              And I don't even know if that's correct.
11
     It may just be hearsay. I've heard people talk about
12
     that, but I've had patients that have gone there that
13
     have had mesh implanted within the last few years.
14
     So I don't even know if the statement is even true.
15
              All right. Who's your dean or your boss at
16
     the University of Colorado?
              Who's our dean?
17
         Α
18
                    Who's in charge of your department?
19
         Α
              Well, the dean of the medical school is
20
     Dick Krugman.
21
              Okay. Who's in charge of conflict of
         0
22
     interest in your department there?
23
              Well, ultimately the dean is.
24
              Okay. Do you know the name of any of your
25
     medical students or patients that you've talked to
```

```
Page 235
     and discussed the differences between laser-cut mesh
 1
 2
     and mechanical-cut mesh in your training sessions?
 3
              Not medical students or residents, but I
         Α
 4
     could speak to my fellows. Those are the individuals
 5
     that I have the closest relationship with.
 6
              Did you ever do a PowerPoint? Do any of
     your PowerPoints that you have used with them or
 7
     presentations discuss the difference between
 8
 9
     laser-cut mesh and mechanical-cut mesh?
10
         Α
              No.
11
              Okay. But it's your testimony that you
         0
12
     tell them the differences between laser-cut mesh and
13
     mechanical-cut mesh?
              We have discussions about that, but it's
14
15
     not a really big point that I emphasize to them one
16
     way or another.
17
              And like I mentioned earlier in the
18
     deposition, it was mostly during the transition
     years, you know, in and around 2009, 2010, somewhere
19
2.0
     around then when the transitioning was happening.
21
              It's less relevant these days because all
22
     we've used is laser-cut mesh for the last three to
23
     four years.
24
              Okay. Do you know what -- the percentage
25
     of physicians in the United States that are using
```

```
Page 236
     mechanical-cut versus laser-cut mesh?
 1
 2
              I don't know the exact number, but I know
 3
     people that use the Boston Scientific products and
 4
     the Ethicon products use the laser-cut mesh.
 5
              MR. KUNTZ:
                         Okay. I don't have any more
 6
     questions.
 7
              MR. SNELL: All right. Let's take a break.
 8
     I might have some questions, not too many, though.
 9
              (Recess from 9:02 p.m. to 9:07 p.m.)
10
                             EXAMINATION
11
     BY MR. SNELL:
12
              Doctor, you have before you your Summary of
         0
13
     Opinions; is that correct?
14
              That's correct.
         Α
15
             Did we mark that as an exhibit?
         0
16
         Α
              It's been marked as part of the Exhibit 8.
17
              MR. SNELL: I want to mark it separately as
18
     Flynn D1, just so it gets a separate designation.
19
              (The deponent handed Mr. Keith a document.)
2.0
              MR. SNELL: He already has a copy.
21
              MR. KEITH: He's giving it to me to mark.
22
              MR. SNELL: Oh, okay. Well, here. Give it
23
             I'll mark it.
     to me.
24
              MR. KEITH: So it no longer is contained in
25
     Exhibit 8. It's its own exhibit.
```

```
Page 237
              (Exhibit D1 was marked.)
 1
 2
              (By Mr. Snell) Doctor, I've handed you
         0
 3
     Exhibit Flynn D1, and identify this document, please,
 4
     for the record.
              This is Brian J. Flynn's Summary of
 5
     Opinions, Coleen Perry versus Ethicon and Johnson &
 6
 7
               This is a document that I prepared in
     Johnson.
 8
     preparation for this document.
 9
              And does this document contain a summary of
10
     your opinions?
11
         A
              It does.
12
              Do you hold these opinions to a reasonable
         0
13
     degree of medical and scientific certainty?
14
         Α
              Yes.
15
              Was your independent medical examination of
         0
16
     Mrs. Perry marked?
17
              Not separately. It was part of 8, similar
18
     to this Summary of Opinions.
19
              MR. SNELL: I'm going to mark as Flynn D2 a
20
     copy of the IME.
21
              (Exhibit D2 was marked.)
22
         0
              (By Mr. Snell) And, Doctor, I've marked
     your report of your December 19, 2014 IME as Flynn
23
     D2; is that correct?
24
25
              That's correct.
         Α
```

```
Page 238
              And in that report, do you set forth your
 1
 2
     interactions and the medical examination of
 3
     Mrs. Perry?
 4
         Α
              Yes.
 5
              Do you identify your opinions flowing from
 6
     your medical examination in that document as well?
 7
         Α
              Can you repeat the question?
 8
                    Do you set forth your opinions and
         0
              Sure.
 9
     conclusions in that document with regard to
10
     Mrs. Perry?
11
              At the end of the document, I have my
         Α
12
     assessment and opinions, yes.
13
              Do you hold those opinions and assessments
         0
14
     to a reasonable degree of medical certainty?
15
              I do.
         Α
16
              Let's start on some topics that were
17
     recently covered by plaintiff's counsel.
18
              Plaintiff's counsel just asked you recently
19
     about your statement that 95 percent of pelvic
2.0
     surgeons -- or the vast majority of them use mesh
21
     slings TVT mid-urethral slings.
22
              MR. KEITH: Is Jeff on the line?
23
              MR. KUNTZ: Yeah, I'm here.
24
              (By Mr. Snell) Does Paragraph No. 10 of
         0
25
     your summary identify different surveys of AUGS and
```

```
Page 239
     AUA that speak to how commonly mid-urethral slings
 1
 2
     are used by your contemporary surgeons?
 3
                          Objection, compound.
              MR. KUNTZ:
 4
         Α
              Can you repeat the question?
 5
              (By Mr. Snell) Does Paragraph 10 of your
 6
     list of opinions set forth different AUGS and AUA
7
     surveys that speak to whether or not the majority of
 8
     surgeons were using TVT, TVT-O, TVT Abbrevo, and
 9
     other synthetic mid-urethral slings?
10
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
11
              Yes.
         Α
12
              (Mr. Keith left the room.)
13
              (By Mr. Snell) Your testimony that 3
         Q
14
     percent is the higher rate of exposure that you've
15
     seen based on the data, is that based on your review
16
     of various Cochrane reviews and other meta-analyses
17
     that look across large bodies of studies as to
18
     exposure rate?
19
              (Mr. Keith entered the room.)
2.0
              Where that number comes from is, I tried to
         Α
21
     give the most conservative estimate of the
     complications. Cochrane review is one of them.
22
                                                       Ι
23
     will say -- my own personal experience -- it's much
24
     lower than that.
25
              (By Mr. Snell) You were asked some
         Q
```

```
Page 240
     questions about the 1-by-1-centimeter cyst that you
 1
 2
     found in Mrs. Perry's vagina at the time of your IME.
 3
         Α
              Yes.
 4
              Is the occurrence of cysts something that's
 5
     generally known in the medical community?
 6
              Vaginal cysts?
              Yes. That they can appear and then over
 7
         Q
     time disappear?
 8
 9
              Certainly.
10
              Is that general medical knowledge to
11
     surgeons who do vaginal inspections?
12
              It is.
         Α
13
              You were asked some questions about
14
     Mrs. Perry's husband and his claim -- and the claim
     that he had an abrasion.
15
16
              First of all, are you aware of Mrs. Perry's
17
     husband claiming any injuries in this case?
18
              I don't believe that he's a complainant in
19
     this case. I believe he gave a deposition. He's a
2.0
     witness, but I don't believe he has his own separate
21
     lawsuit.
22
              You referenced earlier the November 2014
23
     record from Dr. Allen where it noted that Mrs. Perry
24
     apparently told Dr. Allen that her husband had a
25
     penile abrasion.
```

```
Page 241
              Do you recall that?
 1
 2
              Which date?
         Α
 3
              November 2014 --
         0
 4
         Α
              Yes --
              -- the Allen record.
 5
         Q
              -- I recall that.
 6
         Α
 7
              Was there any mesh exposure found at that
         0
     time?
 8
              Not in November of 2014 on Dr. Allen's exam
 9
     or on my December 2014 exam.
10
11
              So if there was an abrasion to his penis,
         0
12
     as Mrs. Perry reported, do you believe it had
13
     anything to do with the mesh?
14
              I don't believe it had anything to do with
     the mesh at that point.
15
16
              You were asked some questions about the
         Q
17
     different studies you cite to in your report that
18
     provided dyspareunia rates with posterior
19
     colporrhaphy in excess of 20 percent.
2.0
              Do you recall in general that topic at
21
     Paragraph 34?
22
         Α
              Yes.
23
              One of those articles is the Komesu article
24
     that you say had a 57 percent postoperative
25
     dyspareunia rate.
```

```
Page 242
              Do you see that?
 1
 2
              I do.
         Α
 3
              Do you remember you were asked about any --
 4
     whether some of these studies had levatorplasties
 5
     performed concomitantly in them?
 6
              I was asked about whether or not
     levatorplasty would make it more likely or less
 7
     likely to have dyspareunia.
 8
 9
              I have here the Exhibit 25, your files that
10
     you produced. The Komesu article, Posterior Repair
11
     and Sexual Function, is that the study you
12
     referenced?
13
              I referenced five studies, but that's one
14
     of them.
              And for that one, if we look at the Result
15
16
     section on the first page, tell me -- let's see.
17
     talks about the PR group. Is that the posterior
18
     repair group?
              Yeah. No PR. No posterior repair -- PR
19
         Α
20
     group, posterior repair.
21
              And does this state, "None of the patients
22
     had levatorplasty performed"?
23
              That's a correct statement.
24
              And so in this study where there was a 57
25
     percent postoperative dyspareunia rate, none of those
```

```
Page 243
     patients had levatorplasty; is that correct?
 1
 2
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
 3
              That's correct.
         Α
 4
         Q
              (By Mr. Snell) And you also cite to Karram
     and Maher 2013, Tables 1 and 2, which you say shows
 5
     multiple studies documenting dyspareunia rates in
 6
 7
     excess of 20 percent.
                    Table 1 of that study, there is -- it
 8
              Yes.
     looks like nine studies listed in the incidents of
 9
10
     dyspareunia. It ranges from a low of 8 percent to as
11
     high as 45 percent.
              And then Table 2 has posterior vaginal
12
     repair rates of dyspareunia. That's what you also
13
     referenced at Table 2?
14
15
              Yeah, and that table pertains to
16
     site-specific repair.
17
              And that's 19 percent, 46 percent, and
18
     various different rates of dyspareunia, correct?
              It looks like there's a wide range, like
19
20
     Table 1, somewhere between 7 and 45 percent.
21
              And in that study at Page 1837, when
22
     they're talking about site-specific defect repairs
23
     and they're discussing the technique, they say,
     "Traditional levatorplasty is avoided"; is that
24
25
     correct?
```

```
Page 244
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Objection.
 1
 2
              I don't believe levatorplasty is considered
 3
     part of site-specific defect repair.
 4
         Q
              (By Mr. Snell) And in that study under
 5
     Site-Specific Defect Repair, it states, "Traditional
 6
     levatorplasty is avoided"; is that correct?
 7
              That's correct.
         Α
 8
              And in that table -- it points to Table 2.
         0
     So even in the posterior colporrhaphies where they
 9
10
     avoid levatorplasty, is it your opinion that they can
11
     still have significant dyspareunia rates?
12
              Any posterior repair can give you
         Α
13
     dyspareunia regardless of the technique.
14
              You were asked questions about the hCG
15
     diet. Does Paragraph 33 of your opinions state what
16
     you found significant with regard to her healing
17
     complication?
18
              I think Paragraph 33, you know, speaks to
19
     her use of the hCG diet as recommended by Dr. Singh,
2.0
     side effects that she incurred such as light-
21
     headedness, headaches, and blurred vision.
22
              And I feel that that may have been due to
23
     her catabolic state, and that catabolic state would
24
     impair wound healing. That was one of the factors
25
     that I identified in contributing to her wound
```

```
Page 245
     dehiscense.
 1
 2
              Do you have an understanding as to the
 3
     number of calories that that diet would restrict
 4
     Mrs. Perry to?
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection, speculation.
 5
              I don't know the exact caloric restriction.
 6
     I would say probably less than -- less than 500. You
 7
 8
     know, it's coupled with off-label pregnancy hormone
     injections. So it's hard to say, but I mean, it's
 9
10
     somewhere around 500, but it did lead to a 19-pound
11
     weight loss.
12
              (By Mr. Snell) And would a 500-calorie
         0
13
     diet lead to a catabolic state?
14
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
15
              In someone of her size and weight, yes.
         Α
16
         Q
              (By Mr. Snell) And you believe that that
     was a contributing factor to her mesh exposure, the
17
18
     wound healing problem that she developed?
              Yeah, I believe that is one of the factors
19
20
     that I list, along with smoking and some of the other
     factors that we've identified.
21
22
              So she had, you know, multiple risk
23
              weight loss, smoking, anterior vaginal wall
     factors:
24
     incision, her obesity.
25
         0
              Let's go back.
```

```
Page 246
              Earlier in the deposition you were asked
 1
 2
     about different ways a doctor could tell if a mesh
 3
     was laser-cut. Let me ask you: By looking -- just
 4
     looking at the TVT Abbrevo sling, itself, can you
     tell it's laser-cut?
 5
 6
              Yes, I can.
         Α
 7
              Is that obvious to you as a physician?
              If you're familiar with the
 8
         Α
 9
     mechanically-cut and laser-cut, yes, I believe you
10
     can see the differences.
11
              And do you believe there's any difference
         0
12
     -- any clinically significant difference between the
13
    mechanical-cut and laser-cut meshes?
              MR. KUNTZ: Asked and answered. I mean, we
14
15
     have been through this about five times. You stopped
16
     me from asking. I don't know why you need to ask it
17
     again, but go ahead.
18
              I don't believe there's any difference
19
     based on my review of the literature, based on my own
2.0
    personal experience.
21
              If you look at the data from '98 to in and
22
     around 2006 to the data from 2006 to current, the
23
     wound complications, exposure rates, perforations are
24
     essentially the same, as well as the efficacy of the
25
     two products are the same.
```

```
Page 247
              So there was a lot of discussion, a lot of
 1
 2
     strong personal opinions, but that's all it amounted
 3
     to be.
 4
              (By Mr. Snell) You were asked earlier
         0
 5
     about whether there was any study or paper you can
 6
     point to that showed dyspareunia or longer-term pain
 7
     as a risk factor with Burch procedure.
 8
              Do you have a paper by Demirci as one of
 9
     the papers you reviewed?
10
         Α
              I do, and it was part of --
11
              What's that part of?
         0
12
             -- Exhibit 8.
         Α
13
              Did that study report on whether any
         Q
14
     patients who got the Burch had dyspareunia at some
15
     follow-up of greater than one year?
16
         Α
              Looking at the abstract, the dyspareunia
17
     occurred in six patients out of the 220 patients.
18
              Was there any groin -- or pain -- any other
         0
19
     pain in that study?
2.0
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection, leading.
21
              There was --
         Α
              (By Mr. Snell) Hold on. Withdrawn.
22
         Q
23
              Was there any other type of pain documented
24
     in that Burch study?
25
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
```

```
Page 248
 1
         Α
              Yes, there was.
 2
              (By Mr. Snell) And what was it?
         0
 3
              Groin pain and suprapubic pain.
         Α
 4
              And what was the follow up in that study
         0
 5
     for the two different parts?
              4.5 years versus -- 1.5 year for Group 1.
 6
 7
     4.5 years for Group 2.
 8
              And in Paragraph 13 of your report, you
         0
 9
     list pain, pelvic pain, groin pain, and dyspareunia
10
     as risks of incontinence and prolapse surgery; is
11
     that correct?
12
              That's correct.
         Α
13
              And you state that those are basic
         0
14
     elemental surgical risks?
15
              That's correct.
         Α
16
              Are those risks that surgeons would even
17
     know of or should know of based on their medical
18
     school, residencies, and surgical training?
19
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
20
              I think there's a variety of ways
         Α
21
     physicians obtain that information, but certainly
22
     medical school, residency, fellowship, their own
23
     personal practice is fundamental in formulating an
24
     understanding of the risks and benefits of pelvic
25
     surgery.
```

```
Page 249
              (By Mr. Snell)
                              In Paragraph 14, you note
 1
         Q
 2
     that, "Complications are known to occur and range
 3
     from not troubling to mild, moderate, or severe; and
 4
     they can be temporary or chronic, which is basic
 5
     surgical knowledge that all pelvic floor surgeons
 6
     would know or would be expected to know"; is that
 7
     correct?
 8
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Objection.
 9
              (By Mr. Snell) Is that correct?
         0
10
              I would say that all surgeons, not just
11
     pelvic surgeons -- all surgeons should be aware of
12
     complications. The very first thing we mention to
13
     patients when we're doing informed consents, the
14
            bleeding, infection, pain. Those three
15
     things can happen virtually with any surgeon.
16
         Q
              And is that basic elemental surgical
17
     knowledge?
18
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Objection.
19
         Α
              That's fundamental knowledge.
20
              (By Mr. Snell) You were asked questions
         Q
21
     about the gold standard, and I believe you testified
22
     you believe that TVT, TVT Abbrevo, TVT-O are
23
     considered, in your opinion, the gold standard or
24
     within the gold standard.
25
         Α
              Within the gold standard, yes.
```

```
Page 250
              And of the gold standard slings, are there
 1
 2
     any who have more data and study than the TVT mesh
 3
     family?
 4
              It's the most widely studied incontinence
 5
     procedure.
 6
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection. Are you talking
 7
     about TVT or TVT Abbrevo?
              MR. SNELL: You're not questioning here.
 8
                                                        Ι
          I said the TVT family mesh.
 9
10
              MR. KUNTZ: You told me I had to state the
11
     reason for my objection.
12
              MR. SNELL: Okay. Well, then state it
13
     properly. Don't ask him a question.
14
                          All right. Objection.
              MR. KUNTZ:
15
              MR. SNELL: I said TVT family of mesh.
16
         Q
              (By Mr. Snell) Doctor, do you know if the
     same mesh is used in --
17
18
              MR. KUNTZ: And I said that --
19
         Q
             (By Mr. Snell) -- TVT --
2.0
              (All speaking simultaneously, and reporter
21
              requested clarification.)
22
              MR. KUNTZ: -- three different products.
23
     Compound -- or four different products. So which one
24
     are you talking about for the most studied?
25
         0
              (By Mr. Snell) Doctor, do you know if the
```

```
Page 251
     same mesh is used in TVT Retropubic, TVT-O, and the
 1
     TVT Abbrevo?
 2
 3
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection. It misstates the
 4
     evidence in the case.
 5
              (By Mr. Snell) The same polypropylene
         0
    mesh?
 6
 7
         Α
              Yes.
 8
         Q
              All right. And for that TVT family of
 9
    meshes that's the same mesh, is there --
10
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Objection.
11
              (By Mr. Snell) -- any other mesh in the
         0
12
     world that's been studied as much as that mesh?
13
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection, compound. Are you
14
     talking about TVT Abbrevo, TVT-O laser-cut, TVT
15
     Retropubic laser-cut?
              MR. SNELL: I'm not worried about that.
16
              MR. KUNTZ: I know you're not --
17
18
              MR. SNELL: You're asking questions --
19
              MR. KUNTZ: -- worried about it --
2.0
              (All speaking simultaneously, and
21
              reporter requested clarification.)
22
              MR. SNELL: I'm going to object --
23
              MR. KUNTZ: -- the question the right way.
24
              MR. SNELL: Pose a form objection properly.
25
     Quit asking him questions and asking questions that
```

```
Page 252
     mean nothing --
 1
 2
              MR. KUNTZ: It's okay to --
 3
              MR. SNELL: Pose a proper objection.
 4
     That's it.
 5
              MR. KUNTZ: I will. Objection.
 6
     compound because we don't know whether you're talking
 7
     about Abbrevo laser-cut, TVT-O laser-cut, TVT-O
 8
     mechanical-cut.
 9
              You told me under California rules I have
10
     to give you the reason and the basis to correct your
11
     question. So it's compound because you're talking
12
     about six different products.
13
              MR. SNELL: All right.
14
              MR. KUNTZ: So if you want to ask him
15
     individually --
16
              MR. SNELL: No, no, no. That's your basis.
17
     Don't tell me how to ask my questions. I'm asking
18
     about the TVT family of mesh that this witness has
     testified is the same, and in his opinion there's no
19
2.0
     clinical difference for mechanical-cut and laser-cut.
21
              So that's what I'm asking about.
22
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection. We don't know which
23
     product you're talking about.
24
              MR. SNELL: Okay. I know what I'm talking
25
     about, and I know what the witness is testifying to.
```

```
Page 253
              MR. KUNTZ: I beg to differ, but that's all
 1
 2
     right.
 3
              (By Mr. Snell)
                              This mechanical-cut versus
         0
 4
     laser-cut, that's not something you think is even
 5
     clinically relevant; is that correct or not?
 6
              Not at this point.
 7
              And so for the TVT family of meshes,
 8
     whether they are laser-cut or mechanical-cut, is
 9
     there any other family of meshes that has been
10
     studied as much as the TVT family of meshes?
11
         Α
              No.
12
         0
              And you have documents in your file in
13
     probably one of these exhibits that show over 100
14
     randomized controlled trials with the TVT family of
15
     meshes?
16
         Α
              That's correct.
17
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Objection.
18
              (By Mr. Snell) In Paragraph 16, you
         0
19
     identify several long-term studies, you say, support
     the safety and efficacy up to 17 years. "Efficacy in
2.0
21
     these studies is generally consistently in the 80 to
22
     90 percent range with low complications and very few
23
     late-term complications."
24
              Did I read that correctly?
25
              That's correct.
         Α
```

```
Page 254
 1
         Q
              Okay.
 2
                          Objection.
              MR. KUNTZ:
 3
              (By Mr. Snell) Are there any other meshes
         0
 4
     besides the TVT family of mesh that has longer-term
 5
     data than that group of meshes?
 6
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
              For stress urinary incontinence?
 7
         Α
 8
         Q
              (By Mr. Snell) Exactly.
              There's no other product that has been
 9
10
     studied as extensively or for as long a duration as
11
     the TVT SUI products.
12
         Q
              In Paragraph 21 -- you were asked some
13
     questions about degradation, correct?
14
              Correct.
15
              In Paragraph 21, you state that those
16
     long-term clinical studies showing lasting success
17
     are inconsistent with the degradation theory; is that
18
     correct?
19
         Α
              That's correct.
20
              And what do you mean by that?
         Q
21
              Well, if you look at the -- more than 100
         Α
22
     RCTs and more than 1,000 articles in the
23
     peer-reviewed literature looking at clinical results
24
     of TVT and if you ask me about my own personal
25
     experience, there is no evidence of degradation in
```

```
Page 255
     the clinical literature.
 1
 2
              The degradation theory that's been proposed
 3
     is related to mesh explants, and specifically the
 4
     conversation comes from a select number of articles
 5
     that have only been published in the last five years,
 6
     the Clave article that we mentioned earlier, Patel.
 7
     There's a Costello case report.
 8
              You were asked some questions about -- in
         Q
 9
     the original TVT Abbrevo study about whether there
10
     was some mechanically-cut, and I believe you
11
     testified you saw in Dr. Rosenzweig's deposition a
12
     reference to an e-mail or document from Pete Hinoul
13
     that said maybe half were mechanical-cut and half
14
     were laser-cut.
15
              Do you recall that?
16
         Α
              I recall that.
17
                         Objection.
              MR. KUNTZ:
18
              (By Mr. Snell) And do you recall whether
         0
19
     there were any exposures in that one-year data?
2.0
              Not in the Hinoul study.
         Α
21
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Ooh, wow.
22
              (By Mr. Snell) Do we have the de Leval
         Q
     study here?
23
24
              The one-year or the three-year?
25
         0
              The one-year that Dr. Rosenzweig was
```

```
Page 256
     testifying about.
 1
 2
              I'm going to go into the folder, the
 3
     labeled Exhibit 8.
 4
              I think you had that in one of the other
 5
     folders, the big SUI folder. Did you put it over
 6
     there (indicating)? It was in the big one that had
 7
     all the tabs, I thought.
              MR. KEITH: Exhibit 16?
 8
 9
              MR. SNELL: Yeah, I think that might have
10
     been it. Let's see if this is the one.
11
              (By Mr. Snell) Do you see in the de Leval
         0
12
     study where it states, "One patient in the original
13
     TVT-O procedure developed a suburethral vaginal
14
     exposure of the mesh."
15
              Do you see that?
16
         Α
              I do.
              And then, "No other mesh exposure
17
18
     complications were observed during follow-up." Do
19
     you see that?
2.0
         Α
              Yep.
21
              And if Dr. Rosenzweig opined that he
22
     believed that this study showed that there was more
23
     mesh exposure with a laser-cut mesh, would that study
24
     support that opinion?
25
              No, it would not.
         Α
```

```
Page 257
              Earlier you pointed out in the Method
 1
 2
     section of this paper that some of the -- with the
 3
     first modification, tape shortening was carried out
 4
     directly in the operating theater.
 5
         Α
              Yes.
              How would that be done?
 6
         0
              Well, that can be carried out a variety of
 7
         Α
 8
     ways. You can feed a suture through the ends. You
 9
     can mechanically cut the mesh at the edges with your
10
     scissors.
11
              There's obviously ways of shortening
12
              We alter meshes all the time when we implant
    meshes.
13
     them to try to make them fit. It's not one size fits
14
         Even on full-length slings we have to cut the
15
     mesh where it exits the skin when we're deploying the
16
     mesh. So I think that's what de Leval is getting at.
17
              You were asked some questions about foreign
18
     body response. Do you recall, in general, that
19
     topic?
2.0
              I do.
         Α
21
              Is the foreign body response taught to
22
     surgeons during their medical school and residencies
23
     and training?
24
              Yes.
         Α
25
         0
              Is that common, elemental surgical
```

Page 258 knowledge? 1 2 I mean, you have classes and 3 pathology and histology, pathophysiology where you're 4 going to review those mechanisms, immunology. And when you testified earlier you believe 5 6 the IFU is adequate and there are certain things that are already known or should be known by pelvic 7 8 surgeons, are you giving that opinion from the perspective of a pelvic floor surgeon? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 As the -- an intended user of the product, 0 12 the TVT Abbrevo? 13 That's correct. 14 The one who would read the IFU and 15 understand the IFU; is that correct or not? 16 Α I think I mentioned earlier I think the 17 physician has a responsibility to educate themselves 18 on the products they're using. The IFU is one of the tools that's used for that. 19 2.0 So earlier when you testified to Mr. Kuntz 0 21 that although a word like "dyspareunia" -- that word 22 wasn't in the IFU, there were adverse reactions that 23 to you, as a pelvic floor surgeon -- said to you, 24 these could lead to dyspareunia, is that -- were you 25 testifying from the perspective of a pelvic floor

```
Page 259
 1
     surgeon?
 2
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
 3
         Α
              Yes.
 4
              (By Mr. Snell) You were asked a question
         0
 5
     about whether you offered to allow Mrs. Perry to
 6
     utilize the restroom before your IME.
 7
              Do you recall being questioned about that?
              T do.
 8
         Α
 9
              Is that something you would offer your
10
     patients, if they would like to use the restroom?
11
              I want patients to be comfortable when I
         Α
12
     examine them, so yeah, that's a standard part of my
13
     practice with patients. Entering the clinic often
14
     we'll get a urine sample, and they'll empty their
15
     bladder during those time periods.
16
         Q
              In Paragraph 18, you talk about the Boston
17
     Science Obtryx SUI device.
18
              Yes.
         Α
19
              And that's -- is that your understanding,
2.0
     that that's the device that Dr. Luu testified he
21
     uses?
22
         Α
              Currently.
23
              What's the pore size of that device?
         0
24
              The pore size, I believe, is very similar
         Α
25
     to the Ethicon device.
```

Page 260 Paragraph 18. 1 Q 2 So the pore size is 1,182 microns or 3 micrometers. The weight is 100 grams per meter 4 squared. And the TVT Abbrevo, is the pore size --5 6 how does the pore size compare to the Boston 7 Scientific Obtryx? Slightly larger at 1,379 micrometers. 8 The weight is identical at 100 grams per meter squared. 9 10 You were asked a question -- a couple of --11 actually, quite a few questions about the edges of the mechanical- and the laser-cut. 12 13 For which of those two meshes is the edge 14 of the mesh smoother? 15 Just to be clear, for the record, the 16 laser-cut mesh is smoother, meaning it has nontanged 17 edges -- those are often how the edges are described. 18 Smoother, nontanged -- where the mechanically-cut 19 mesh tends to have some fraying at the edges. 2.0 The -- you were asked questions about AUGS, 0 21 AUA, and various statements. Do you believe that the 22 TVT Abbrevo is a mid-urethral polypropylene sling which is encompassed within those position 23 24 statements?

25

Α

It's a --

```
Page 261
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
 1
 2
              It's a mid-urethral transobturator
 3
     polypropylene sling.
 4
              (By Mr. Snell) And is it standard of care?
 5
              I believe it's a commonly used product.
 6
     It's -- the whole family of products are part of the
     standard of care, the most common procedures used.
 7
 8
              And do you believe that the TVT Abbrevo is
         0
 9
     encompassed within those position statements and
10
     quidelines?
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
11
12
              I do.
         Α
13
              (By Mr. Snell) And . . .
         Q
14
              (Pause.)
15
              You were asked to draw or illustrate --
         0
16
     Exhibit 29 -- some different parts of the vagina and
17
     where the sling was. Do you recall that?
18
         Α
              Yes.
19
              Is it your understanding or opinion that
     the incision made at the anterior wall was a long,
2.0
21
     single incision?
22
              In my understanding from review of
23
     Dr. Luu's operative report, is that there was one
24
     single incision anteriorly that allowed placement of
25
     the TVT Abbrevo, as well as the anterior
```

Page 262 colporrhaphy. 1 2 And the scoring that was noted to the anterior wall -- first of all, let me back up. 3 4 Does an anterior colporrhaphy -- is part of 5 that procedure designed to cause scarring? 6 I think any surgery can cause scarring. Some have that as a specific intent. So the 7 scarification can lead to reinforcement and 8 fiberglass growth and infiltration and actually cause 9 10 strengthening of that area. 11 And do you believe that the anterior 0 12 colporrhaphy caused scarring to her anterior vaginal 13 wall? 14 Yes. Α 15 And the posterior colporrhaphy and the Q 16 perineoplasty, did they cause scarring to her vagina 17 as well? 18 Α To the posterior vagina and to the perineal 19 body, yes. 2.0 In Dr. Allen's record of January 2012, it states that, "Mrs. Perry stated her problem was that 21 22 her vagina felt too tight at the opening, and this is 23 what makes it painful for her to have sex." 24 Did I read that correctly? 25 Α Yes. That's a statement directly from

Page 263 Dr. Allen's note on November 11, 2012. 1 2 And is that statement consistent or 3 inconsistent with your opinion that her posterior 4 colporrhaphy and the perineoplasty were the cause of 5 her pain? 6 Α That would be consistent with that opinion. 7 0 And a little further down Mrs. Perry 8 reports that her husband got an abrasion. Do you see 9 that? 10 I see that. Α 11 And Dr. Allen advised the patient of Q 12 various different options at this time? 13 Α Yes. 14 I believe you were asked questions about inflammation or chronic inflammation. Let me ask 15 16 you: Do you have an opinion as to whether Mrs. Perry 17 had chronic inflammation in her vaginal tissues 18 before the TVT Abbrevo was put in? 19 Α Yes, I have an opinion in regards to that. 2.0 What is that? 0 That she's had chronic inflammation in that 21 Α 22 area that was based on previous evidence. 23 And do you see the pathology report as to whether it stated she had chronic inflammation in her 24 25 vaginal tissues?

```
Page 264
                     That's based on my review of the
 1
 2
     pathology report. It's based on my review of the
 3
     medical records, her long-term history that she
 4
     reported pelvic pain, dyspareunia, other procedures
 5
     that she's had done, including what sounds like an
 6
     endometrial ablation using cryo.
              So this is a patient that's had a long-term
 7
     history of pelvic floor disorders, including pelvic
 8
 9
     pain and urinary incontinence.
10
              I think you were asked about some of the
11
     longer-term data with the TV -- with the
12
     transobturator TVT products. Do you recall that?
13
         Α
              Yes.
              And what's this exhibit plaintiff's counsel
14
15
     marked? So within Exhibit 8, do you have various
16
     long-term transobturator TVT-O studies?
17
         Α
              I do.
18
              And do you believe the TVT-O and the TVT
19
     Retropubic studies are applicable to the TVT Abbrevo
2.0
     studies?
21
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
22
         Α
              Can you be more specific about the word
23
     "applicable"?
24
              (By Mr. Snell) Do you believe they are
25
     relevant considering that you testified that they use
```

```
Page 265
     the same mesh?
 1
 2
              Yes --
         Α
 3
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Object.
 4
         Α
              -- I believe that's valuable information.
 5
              (By Mr. Snell) In the AUA statement, it
 6
     says, "Multiple case series and randomized control
     trials attest to the efficacy of synthetic
 7
 8
     polypropylene mesh slings at 5 to 10 years."
 9
              Did I read that correctly?
10
              You did.
         Α
11
              Is that consistent or inconsistent with
         0
12
     your investigation of the longer-term data on the TVT
13
     and the TVT-O Ethicon products?
14
              I believe that the Ethicon products can be
15
     included in that statement. They meet the criteria
16
     as defined by AUA and by AUGS and by SUFU.
17
              I just want to make sure we have one thing
18
     clear, too.
19
              In the IME report -- you were asked about
20
     the pharmacologic therapy and vaginal massage and
21
     those other potential modalities.
22
              Do you recall that?
23
              T do.
         A
              And you say, "She may consider." Is it
24
25
     your opinion that these are things -- strike that.
```

```
Page 266
              It seemed to me that it was suggested that
 1
 2
     these were recommendations that you were making that
 3
     she actually needed. Is that inconsistent -- or
 4
     strike that.
 5
              When you say "She may consider
 6
     pharmacologic therapy, " what did you mean by "may
 7
     consider"?
 8
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection.
 9
              In my opinions, I'm just trying to offer
10
     opinions for options that the patient may consider
11
     going forward with her practitioners, Dr. Allen or
12
     other future practitioners.
13
              (By Mr. Snell) You weren't stating that
         0
14
     she needed to have those procedures?
15
              MR. KUNTZ: Objection, leading, asked and
16
     answered.
              MR. SNELL: I just want to make sure we
17
18
     have it correct.
19
              I'm not saying what she needs or what she
2.0
     doesn't need.
21
              MR. SNELL: Okay. That was the last one I
22
     had. Thank you.
23
                             EXAMINATION
24
     BY MR. KUNTZ:
25
              Doctor, I have a few questions for you.
         Q
```

```
Page 267
              If you go back to your Summary of Opinions,
 1
 2
     Item No. 16, where you list, I believe, 16 different
 3
     studies that support long-term data supporting the
 4
     TVT Abbrevo.
 5
         Α
              Yes.
 6
         0
              Do you see that?
 7
         Α
              I do.
              You would agree not one of those studies, A
 8
         Q
 9
     through P, deals with the TVT Abbrevo, correct?
10
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form, "deals with,"
11
     vague.
12
              MR. KUNTZ: Okay. I'll restate it.
13
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Well, tell me this.
14
     through these studies, A through P, and tell me which
15
     one relates to the Abbrevo.
16
              MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
17
              I believe all of them do.
         Α
18
         0
              (By Mr. Kuntz) And what's your basis for
     that opinion?
19
2.0
              My basis is that the TVT mesh across the
21
     family of products is basically identical, whether
22
     it's laser-cut or mechanically-cut.
23
              So I think you can infer that the
     experience with the other products can be applicable
24
25
     to descriptions of the TVT Abbrevo or future products
```

```
Page 268
     that Ethicon may develop. It's the same mesh.
 1
 2
              For example, Nilsson's 11-year follow-up
 3
     study and 17-year follow-up study you believe is
 4
     applicable to the TVT Abbrevo because it's the same
 5
     mesh clinically?
 6
         Α
              Correct.
              Okay. And scientifically?
 7
         Q
              Correct.
 8
         A
              And so any study testing any type of TVT
 9
10
     Prolene mesh, whether it's mechanical-cut or
11
     laser-cut mesh, can apply to any of the TVT line of
12
     products; that's your testimony?
13
              MR. SNELL: Objection. It misstates.
14
              I think there's going to be an application.
15
     There's going to be relevance. Yes.
16
         Q
              (By Mr. Kuntz) Okay. Because laser-cut
17
     mesh and mechanical-cut mesh are clinically the same?
18
         Α
              That's my testimony.
19
              MR. KUNTZ: Okay. No further questions.
2.0
                             EXAMINATION
21
     BY MR. SNELL:
22
              Doctor, you have seen where TVT-O and TVT
         0
23
     Abbrevo have been studied in the same study, and I
24
     think you list some of them in Paragraph 26, the
25
     Waltregny and de Leval. We already talked about the
```

```
Page 269
     Tommaselli paper and the Shah study.
 1
 2
              Do you recall those?
 3
              Yes.
         Α
 4
              And was it your opinion that the efficacy
 5
     was essentially the same for the TVT Abbrevos and the
 6
     TVT-O?
 7
         Α
              Yes.
              And is that consistent or support for your
 8
     opinion that there is no real clinical significant
 9
10
     difference between mechanical- or laser-cut?
              Yeah. I think there's no difference
11
         Α
12
     between laser-cut and mechanically-cut, and I don't
     think there's a difference between full-length TVT
13
     Obturator and the 12-centimeter TVT Abbrevo.
14
15
              In my experience, the products behave
16
     identically.
17
              Okay.
         0
                          Is that it?
18
              MR. KUNTZ:
19
              (By Mr. Snell) And then TVT Secur, you
     were asked about that product. That's actually a
2.0
21
     mini sling, correct?
22
              TVT is an 8-centimeter sling, so I would
23
     classify it as a mini sling.
              And that's also a single incision, correct?
24
25
         Α
              That's single incision.
```

```
Page 270
              It's put in differently than the TVT-O and
 1
 2
     TVT Abbrevo?
 3
              TVT-O and TVT Abbrevo are multi-incision
         Α
 4
     slings.
              TVT Secur was a single-incision sling.
 5
              MR. SNELL:
                          Okay. That's all I have.
 6
                             EXAMINATION
 7
     BY MR. KUNTZ:
 8
              And, Doctor, when you -- this is -- when
         Q
     you were out talking to physicians, talking in
 9
10
     cadaver labs and training your students based on all
11
     the knowledge you have from Ethicon, you tell them
12
     that the laser-cut mesh and the mechanically-cut mesh
13
     is the same and there's no clinical difference,
14
     correct?
15
              I do at this point, yes.
         Α
16
              MR. KUNTZ: Okay. Thank you. No further
17
     questions.
18
              MR. SNELL:
                          Thanks.
              MR. KUNTZ: Hopefully I will see you out in
19
2.0
    California.
21
                          Okay. Thank you.
              MR. SNELL:
22
              (Discussion off the record.)
23
                          I'm going to make a statement
              MR. SNELL:
24
     for the record. I want everything marked that he
25
     brought because everything he is relying on is in his
```

Page 271 materials list brought here hard copy electronically. 1 2 So I just want it stated and everything 3 marked. So I thought you were going through and 4 marking everything. If there's things you didn't 5 mark, I need to know that because I'm going to mark 6 them. 7 MR. KEITH: As counsel knows, it's a grand amount of material, including much loose-leaf 8 9 material, but to the best of my knowledge and ability 10 as a lawyer that's been practicing for 20 years, I 11 believe that I marked everything that the doctor 12 brought. 13 Now, some of those things are loose and 14 they are contained within exhibits such as Exhibit 15 No. 8. That's probably the most clear example of the 16 loose, but yes. MR. SNELL: I just want to make sure 17 18 everything he brought we marked. 19 MR. KEITH: Well, I would suggest that you 20 go through and make sure it's all -- 1 through 29 21 because I trust you to do that. 22 MR. KUNTZ: How are we going to get this 23 stuff copied? They're going to come pick it 24 MR. KEITH: 25 up in the morning here, the court reporter.

```
Page 272
                          Okay. And they know we need a
 1
              MR. KUNTZ:
 2
     quick turnaround?
 3
              MR. KEITH: Can we go off the record?
 4
              MR. KUNTZ:
                          Yeah.
 5
              MR. SNELL: Just one second just to make
 6
     sure.
 7
              MR. KEITH: Let's go off the record.
              MR. SNELL: Hold on. I have one last
 8
 9
     question for Dr. Flynn.
10
                             EXAMINATION
11
     BY MR. SNELL:
12
              You brought all the materials here, your
         Q
13
     reliance list, the CDs, documents, thumb drives, hard
14
     copy materials that you're relying on?
15
              Correct.
         Α
16
              MR. SNELL: Okay. So we'll try to make
17
     sure -- here counsel -- there's a lot of materials,
18
     and we will try to make sure that everything gets
     marked, and at this point we're up to 29 or 30
19
20
     exhibits, right? So I think that's everything.
21
              MR. KUNTZ: We need copies of thumb drives
22
     and copies of everything, but somebody is coming to
23
     pick those up to copy them tomorrow?
24
              MR. KEITH:
                          That's correct. Can we go off
25
     the record?
```

```
Page 273
               MR. SNELL: Sure.
 1
 2
               (The deposition concluded at 9:59 p.m.,
 3
                January 7, 2015.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 274
 1
     STATE OF COLORADO)
 2
                      )
                         SS.
                              REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
 3
     COUNTY OF DENVER )
 4
              I, Dianna L. Buckstein, do hereby certify
 5
     that I am a Professional Shorthand Reporter and
 6
     Notary Public within the State of Colorado; that
 7
     previous to the commencement of the examination, the
 8
     deponent was duly sworn to testify to the truth.
 9
              I further certify that this deposition was
10
     taken in shorthand by me at the time and place herein
     set forth, that it was thereafter reduced to
11
12
     typewritten form, and that the foregoing constitutes
13
     a true and correct transcript.
14
              I further certify that I am not related to,
15
     employed by, nor of counsel for any of the parties or
16
     attorneys herein, nor otherwise interested in the
     result of the within action.
17
              In witness whereof, I have affixed my
18
     signature this 12th day of January, 2015.
19
2.0
               My commission expires November 25, 2017.
21
2.2
23
                          Dianna L. Buckstein
24
                          216 - 16th Street, Suite 600
                         Denver, Colorado 80202
25
```

```
Page 275
 1
              INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS
 2
 3
                  Please read your deposition
 4
     over carefully and make any necessary
 5
     corrections. You should state the reason
 6
     in the appropriate space on the errata
 7
     sheet for any corrections that are made.
 8
                  After doing so, please sign
 9
     the errata sheet and date it.
10
                  You are signing same subject
11
     to the changes you have noted on the
12
     errata sheet, which will be attached to
13
     your deposition.
14
                  It is imperative that you
15
     return the original errata sheet to the
16
     deposing attorney within thirty (30) days
17
     of receipt of the deposition transcript
18
     by you. If you fail to do so, the
19
     deposition transcript may be deemed to be
20
     accurate and may be used in court.
21
22
23
24
25
```

			Page 276
1			
		ERRATA	
2			
3			
4	PAGE LINE	CHANGE	
5			
6	REASON:		
7			
8	REASON:		
9			
10	REASON:		
11			
12	REASON:		
13			
14	REASON:		
15			
16	REASON:		
17			
18	REASON:		
19			
20	REASON:		
21		·	
22	REASON:		
23			
24	REASON:		
25			

		Page 277			
1					
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT				
3					
4	I,, do				
5	hereby certify that I have read the				
6	foregoing pages, and that the same is				
7	a correct transcription of the answers				
8	given by me to the questions therein				
9	propounded, except for the corrections or				
10	changes in form or substance, if any,				
11	noted in the attached Errata Sheet.				
12					
13					
14					
15	BRIAN FLYNN, M.D. DATE				
16					
17					
18	Subscribed and sworn				
	to before me this				
19	, day of, 20				
20	My commission expires:				
21					
22	Notary Public				
23					
24					
25					

				Page 278
1			LAWYER'S NOTES	
2	PAGE	LINE		
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				